



**South Carolina Department  
of Probation, Parole and  
Pardon Services**

**ANNUAL  
STATISTICAL  
REPORT**

**FISCAL YEAR 2022**

**JERRY B. ADGER  
AGENCY DIRECTOR**

## **Proprietary Disclaimer**

**This document (including all data and statistical information represented herein) was compiled and reported by the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services. Any reproduction or republication of said report, data, or statistics is permitted only if reproduced in its entirety without modification, or omission, and must identify the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services as the source.**

***Jerry B. Adger, Director***

**293 Greystone Blvd, Columbia, SC 29210**

**PO BOX 207 Columbia, SC 29202**

**803-734-9220**

**[www.dppps.sc.gov](http://www.dppps.sc.gov)**

## **Research and Evaluation**

**Sasha Blackmon, Statistical and Research Analyst**

**LaQuenta Weldon, Senior Statistical and Research Analyst**

## TO THE READER

Fiscal Year 2022 (FY 2022) began July 1, 2021 and ended June 30, 2022. This report provides a statistical representation of the work of 669 employees of the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services (SCDPPPS). During the fiscal year, 81% of our probationers and 83% of our parolees successfully completed supervision.

The Department operates its offender programs within a clear framework of public safety in supervising the 67,535 offenders under our legal jurisdiction. Legal jurisdiction includes offenders who were transferred out of state, absconded with active warrants, and others who are not under the active day-to-day supervision. At the end of the fiscal year, 22,112 offenders were under active supervision of the Department. The description of active supervision represents only those offenders who had at least one active case on June 30, 2022. Our responses to offender risks and needs in the community are focused to address present or potential problems that may interfere with the successful completion of supervision without compromising public safety. We maintain a fundamental belief that given support, resources, and service interventions, the offender has the ability to make positive changes in his or her life.

The following tables provide a description of the offender population and answer some commonly asked questions regarding the Department's programmatic efforts. Each table is preceded by a short description of its contents. The reader should be aware that there are different ways of reporting units of data depending upon the purpose. Admissions include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. Closures information reflects only the last order to close during the fiscal year.

In addition, due to rounding, some of the totals will not equal 100%. For additional information or clarification, please contact LaQuenta Weldon in Research and Evaluation at 803-734-4057.

## EXPLANATION OF PROGRAM TYPES

**Probation:** Includes Probation, Conditional Discharged to Probation, Probation Terminated Upon Payment (PTUP), Split Probation (admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison), and Monitor for the Court

**Parole:** Includes Parole .

**Other:** Includes YOA, Community Supervision Program, Supervised Furlough-2, Supervised Furlough-2A, Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and early release program cases.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## SECTION A---TOTAL POPULATION

TABLE 1-A	Total Admissions by Program Type.....	8
TABLE 2-A	Total Admissions by Type of Offense .....	9
TABLE 3-A	Total Admissions by Age .....	10
TABLE 4-A	Total Admissions by Gender & Race.....	11
FIGURE 1	Total Admissions by Gender & Race.....	12
TABLE 5-A	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	13
FIGURE 2	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	14
TABLE 6-A	Total Closures by Type.....	15

## SECTION B---PROBATION

TABLE 1-B	Probation Admissions by Type of Offense .....	18
TABLE 2-B	Probation Admissions by Gender & Race .....	19
TABLE 3-B	Probation Admissions by Age .....	20
TABLE 4-B	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	21
FIGURE 3	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	22
TABLE 5-B	Probation Closures by Type.....	23

## SECTION C---PAROLE

TABLE 1-C	Parole Admissions by Type of Offense.....	26
TABLE 2-C	Parole Admissions by Gender & Race .....	27
TABLE 3-C	Parole Admissions by Age .....	28
TABLE 4-C	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	29
FIGURE 4	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	30
TABLE 5-C	Parole Closures by Type.....	31

## SECTION D---OTHER

TABLE 1-D	Other Admissions by Type of Offense .....	34
TABLE 2-D	Other Admissions by Gender & Race.....	35
TABLE 3-D	Other Admissions by Age .....	36
TABLE 4-D	Active Other Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	37
FIGURE 5	Active Other Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	38
TABLE 5-D	Other Closures by Type .....	39

## SECTION E---SEX OFFENDERS

TABLE 1-E	Active Sex Offenders .....	42
FUGURE 6	Sex Offenders by Supervision Status .....	43

## SECTION F---VIOLATIONS

TABLE 1-F	Violations by County .....	46
TABLE 2-F	Closures by Type .....	47
TABLE 3-F	Administrative Hearing Collections .....	48

## SECTION G---ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE

FIGURE 7	Offenders on Active GPS .....	51
----------	-------------------------------	----

## SECTION H---SUMMARY

TABLE 1-H	Offender Drug Testing .....	54
TABLE 2-H	Population Characteristics .....	55
FIGURE 9	Admissions: A 20-Year Comparison .....	56

**SECTION A**

**TOTAL  
POPULATION**

**FISCAL YEAR 2022**

## TOTAL POPULATION

Tables 1-A through 4-A and Figure 1 represent the total admissions to the SCDPPPS during FY 2022. These tables count admissions to a particular sanction, and include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. These tables also include only the main case even though an offender may have been admitted with more than one case. In FY 2022, there were 15,723 admissions. A state and county total is provided for each category of admission. Within the racial categories, due to the small number of offenders classified as "Asian, Hispanic, Native American, or Other", they have been grouped together and classified as "Other".

- Table 1-A provides information on total admissions by program type. Greenville, Richland, York and Spartanburg counties had the greatest number of total admissions, together accounting for 35% of all admissions.
- Table 2-A presents information on total admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. Total admissions during the fiscal year were predominately non-violent with only 11% admissions for violent offenses.
- Table 3-A describes offender admissions by age category. Majority of those admitted during FY 2022(86%) were 25 years or older at time of admission.
- Table 4-A and Figure 1 illustrate total admissions by gender and race. Admissions overall continue to be predominately male at 78%, with a racial composition of 44% black, 53% white, and 3% of other races.

Table 5-A and Figure 2 describe all active offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2022. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. The level of supervision determines how often the Agent has contact with the offender. Standard risk supervision accounted for 55% of the active population, 15% were medium risk, and 20% were high-risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1% and sex offender supervision accounted for 3% while domestic violence supervision accounted for 5% of all active offenders.

Table 6-A shows total closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2022 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for all offenders closing during FY 2022 was 83%. The unsuccessful rate, 17%, is defined as those offenders whose supervision was revoked due to a technical violation or new offense.

***Note: Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.***

**TABLE 1-A  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY PROGRAM TYPE**

COUNTY	PROBATION	PERCENT PROBATION	PAROLE	PERCENT PAROLE	OTHER	PERCENT OTHER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	148	94%	3	2%	7	4%	158
AIKEN	408	87%	14	3%	48	10%	470
ALLENDALE	10	77%	2	15%	1	8%	13
ANDERSON	505	89%	19	3%	44	8%	568
BAMBERG	19	90%	0	0%	2	10%	21
BARNWELL	47	89%	2	4%	4	8%	53
BEAUFORT	142	84%	11	7%	16	9%	169
BERKELEY	466	88%	22	4%	39	7%	527
CALHOUN	45	87%	3	6%	4	8%	52
CHARLESTON	643	84%	23	3%	102	13%	768
CHEROKEE	360	90%	11	3%	28	7%	399
CHESTER	113	88%	3	2%	13	10%	129
CHESTERFIELD	101	87%	8	7%	7	6%	116
CLARENDON	119	89%	6	5%	8	6%	133
COLLETON	102	89%	3	3%	10	9%	115
DARLINGTON	145	82%	6	3%	25	14%	176
DILLON	88	84%	6	6%	11	10%	105
DORCHESTER	317	88%	19	5%	23	6%	359
EDGEFIELD	95	92%	2	2%	6	6%	103
FAIRFIELD	115	88%	4	3%	11	8%	130
FLORENCE	359	83%	21	5%	53	12%	433
GEORGETOWN	72	69%	11	10%	22	21%	105
GREENVILLE	1,930	90%	56	3%	151	7%	2,137
GREENWOOD	415	92%	5	1%	31	7%	451
HAMPTON	17	68%	3	12%	5	20%	25
HORRY	682	82%	55	7%	97	12%	834
JASPER	33	70%	4	9%	10	21%	47
KERSHAW	90	77%	9	8%	18	15%	117
LANCASTER	178	79%	9	4%	37	17%	224
LAURENS	228	84%	8	3%	36	13%	272
LEE	37	90%	2	5%	2	5%	41
LEXINGTON	623	88%	25	4%	59	8%	707
McCORMICK	48	98%	0	0%	1	2%	49
MARION	55	69%	5	6%	20	25%	80
MARLBORO	71	79%	8	9%	11	12%	90
NEWBERRY	107	87%	5	4%	11	9%	123
OCONEE	393	94%	8	2%	17	4%	418
ORANGEBURG	305	85%	11	3%	41	11%	357
PICKENS	561	93%	10	2%	35	6%	606
RICHLAND	690	80%	41	5%	136	16%	867
SALUDA	46	82%	4	7%	6	11%	56
SPARTANBURG	1,209	86%	33	2%	168	12%	1,410
SUMTER	287	79%	18	5%	57	16%	362
UNION	74	76%	3	3%	21	21%	98
WILLIAMSBURG	48	68%	5	7%	18	25%	71
YORK	972	90%	35	3%	69	6%	1,076
TRANSITIONAL	28	27%	19	18%	58	55%	105
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>13,546</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>580</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1,599</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>15,723</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.



**TABLE 2-A  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	10	6%	148	94%	158
AIKEN	57	12%	413	88%	470
ALLENDALE	3	23%	10	77%	13
ANDERSON	51	9%	517	91%	568
BAMBERG	2	10%	19	90%	21
BARNWELL	8	15%	45	85%	53
BEAUFORT	15	9%	154	91%	169
BERKELEY	50	9%	477	91%	527
CALHOUN	4	8%	48	92%	52
CHARLESTON	98	13%	670	87%	768
CHEROKEE	42	11%	357	89%	399
CHESTER	12	9%	117	91%	129
CHESTERFIELD	9	8%	107	92%	116
CLARENDON	8	6%	125	94%	133
COLLETON	10	9%	105	91%	115
DARLINGTON	16	9%	160	91%	176
DILLON	4	4%	101	96%	105
DORCHESTER	29	8%	330	92%	359
EDGEFIELD	9	9%	94	91%	103
FAIRFIELD	14	11%	116	89%	130
FLORENCE	47	11%	386	89%	433
GEORGETOWN	19	18%	86	82%	105
GREENVILLE	178	8%	1,959	92%	2,137
GREENWOOD	26	6%	425	94%	451
HAMPTON	5	20%	20	80%	25
HORRY	102	12%	732	88%	834
JASPER	9	19%	38	81%	47
KERSHAW	15	13%	102	87%	117
LANCASTER	23	10%	201	90%	224
LAURENS	30	11%	242	89%	272
LEE	4	10%	37	90%	41
LEXINGTON	67	9%	640	91%	707
McCORMICK	3	6%	46	94%	49
MARION	13	16%	67	84%	80
MARLBORO	15	17%	75	83%	90
NEWBERRY	12	10%	111	90%	123
OCONEE	30	7%	388	93%	418
ORANGEBURG	40	11%	317	89%	357
PICKENS	37	6%	569	94%	606
RICHLAND	129	15%	738	85%	867
SALUDA	8	14%	48	86%	56
SPARTANBURG	192	14%	1,217	86%	1,409
SUMTER	43	12%	318	88%	361
UNION	18	18%	80	82%	98
WILLIAMSBURG	11	15%	60	85%	71
YORK	101	9%	975	91%	1,076
TRANSITIONAL	64	61%	41	39%	105
STATE TOTAL	1,692	11%	14,031	89%	15,723

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-A  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	17	11%	141	89%
AIKEN	68	14%	402	86%
ALLENDALE	4	31%	9	69%
ANDERSON	60	11%	508	89%
BAMBERG	5	24%	16	76%
BARNWELL	9	17%	44	83%
BEAUFORT	26	15%	143	85%
BERKELEY	63	12%	464	88%
CALHOUN	3	6%	49	94%
CHARLESTON	139	18%	629	82%
CHEROKEE	48	12%	351	88%
CHESTER	15	12%	114	88%
CHESTERFIELD	21	18%	95	82%
CLARENDON	17	13%	116	87%
COLLETON	15	13%	100	87%
DARLINGTON	29	16%	147	84%
DILLON	19	18%	86	82%
DORCHESTER	73	20%	286	80%
EDGEFIELD	12	12%	91	88%
FAIRFIELD	19	15%	111	85%
FLORENCE	60	14%	373	86%
GEORGETOWN	13	12%	92	88%
GREENVILLE	223	10%	1,914	90%
GREENWOOD	75	17%	376	83%
HAMPTON	3	12%	22	88%
HORRY	167	20%	667	80%
JASPER	4	9%	43	91%
KERSHAW	15	13%	102	87%
LANCASTER	37	17%	187	83%
LAURENS	36	13%	236	87%
LEE	5	12%	36	88%
LEXINGTON	112	16%	595	84%
McCORMICK	10	20%	39	80%
MARION	12	15%	68	85%
MARLBORO	15	17%	75	83%
NEWBERRY	30	24%	93	76%
OCONEE	40	10%	378	90%
ORANGEBURG	75	21%	282	79%
PICKENS	73	12%	533	88%
RICHLAND	125	14%	742	86%
SALUDA	12	21%	44	79%
SPARTANBURG	142	10%	1,267	90%
SUMTER	64	18%	297	82%
UNION	11	11%	87	89%
WILLIAMSBURG	13	18%	58	82%
YORK	188	17%	888	83%
TRANSITIONAL	7	7%	98	93%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>2,229</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>13,494</b>	<b>86%</b>


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-A  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
	Male	Female	Black	Other	White
ABBEVILLE	75%	25%	30%	0%	70%
AIKEN	78%	22%	35%	2%	63%
ALLENDALE	92%	8%	85%	0%	15%
ANDERSON	76%	24%	26%	3%	71%
BAMBERG	90%	10%	57%	5%	38%
BARNWELL	79%	21%	49%	2%	49%
BEAUFORT	80%	20%	57%	8%	36%
BERKELEY	76%	24%	42%	2%	55%
CALHOUN	79%	21%	63%	2%	35%
CHARLESTON	85%	15%	68%	2%	29%
CHEROKEE	71%	29%	25%	2%	72%
CHESTER	81%	19%	49%	1%	50%
CHESTERFIELD	75%	25%	32%	2%	66%
CLARENDON	78%	22%	61%	2%	38%
COLLETON	80%	20%	47%	3%	50%
DARLINGTON	77%	23%	45%	0%	55%
DILLON	87%	13%	67%	1%	32%
DORCHESTER	80%	20%	48%	2%	50%
EDGEFIELD	83%	17%	38%	2%	60%
FAIRFIELD	77%	23%	68%	1%	32%
FLORENCE	79%	21%	64%	1%	35%
GEORGETOWN	83%	17%	60%	0%	40%
GREENVILLE	75%	25%	40%	5%	55%
GREENWOOD	73%	27%	47%	2%	51%
HAMPTON	92%	8%	72%	0%	28%
HORRY	79%	21%	39%	2%	59%
JASPER	85%	15%	57%	6%	36%
KERSHAW	74%	26%	31%	0%	69%
LANCASTER	82%	18%	38%	4%	58%
LAURENS	78%	22%	32%	1%	67%
LEE	88%	12%	83%	0%	17%
LEXINGTON	80%	20%	33%	2%	65%
McCORMICK	80%	20%	61%	0%	39%
MARION	83%	18%	64%	1%	35%
MARLBORO	82%	18%	56%	10%	34%
NEWBERRY	79%	21%	55%	2%	43%
OCONEE	74%	26%	16%	1%	83%
ORANGEBURG	84%	16%	71%	1%	28%
PICKENS	65%	35%	12%	2%	86%
RICHLAND	84%	16%	78%	1%	20%
SALUDA	86%	14%	36%	5%	59%
SPARTANBURG	78%	22%	39%	4%	58%
SUMTER	82%	18%	70%	2%	29%
UNION	80%	20%	35%	0%	65%
WILLIAMSBURG	89%	11%	76%	0%	24%
YORK	76%	24%	38%	3%	58%
TRANSITIONAL	82%	18%	49%	3%	49%
STATE TOTAL	78%	22%	44%	3%	53%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**FIGURE 1**  
**TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**  
**FISCAL YEAR 2022**




**TABLE 5-A  
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	60%	20%	18%	1%	2%	0%	0%	170
AIKEN	67%	12%	11%	2%	5%	4%	0%	800
ALLENDALE	66%	16%	8%	3%	5%	3%	0%	40
ANDERSON	60%	14%	18%	0%	3%	5%	0%	1,196
BAMBERG	74%	11%	11%	3%	3%	0%	0%	50
BARNWELL	65%	8%	22%	0%	5%	0%	0%	84
BEAUFORT	33%	41%	24%	1%	2%	0%	0%	152
BERKELEY	61%	15%	17%	1%	5%	0%	0%	941
CALHOUN	71%	6%	16%	1%	6%	0%	0%	82
CHARLESTON	39%	18%	31%	1%	3%	8%	0%	1,582
CHEROKEE	41%	11%	28%	1%	3%	15%	1%	482
CHESTER	55%	14%	27%	1%	3%	0%	0%	179
CHESTERFIELD	55%	19%	18%	3%	5%	0%	0%	116
CLARENDON	68%	8%	16%	0%	7%	0%	1%	149
COLLETON	61%	17%	17%	0%	5%	0%	0%	190
DARLINGTON	60%	19%	16%	0%	3%	0%	2%	247
DILLON	89%	7%	3%	0%	1%	0%	0%	130
DORCHESTER	51%	9%	26%	1%	4%	9%	0%	531
EDGEFIELD	65%	9%	23%	0%	3%	0%	0%	147
FAIRFIELD	79%	9%	9%	0%	3%	0%	0%	146
FLORENCE	64%	19%	14%	0%	2%	0%	1%	489
GEORGETOWN	76%	11%	11%	0%	1%	0%	0%	255
GREENVILLE	41%	21%	27%	0%	2%	8%	0%	2,642
GREENWOOD	66%	14%	9%	0%	1%	10%	0%	459
HAMPTON	69%	6%	19%	0%	6%	0%	0%	76
HORRY	74%	10%	7%	1%	7%	0%	0%	1,127
JASPER	10%	51%	35%	2%	3%	0%	0%	62
KERSHAW	57%	23%	13%	1%	6%	0%	0%	206
LANCASTER	58%	20%	17%	1%	4%	0%	0%	241
LAURENS	66%	15%	11%	0%	4%	4%	0%	409
LEE	82%	14%	1%	0%	3%	0%	0%	62
LEXINGTON	37%	22%	30%	2%	3%	6%	0%	1,051
McCORMICK	87%	8%	4%	0%	2%	0%	0%	53
MARION	79%	11%	7%	0%	4%	0%	0%	128
MARLBORO	70%	14%	14%	1%	2%	0%	0%	105
NEWBERRY	72%	14%	9%	3%	1%	0%	0%	139
OCONEE	64%	12%	20%	1%	3%	0%	0%	636
ORANGEBURG	63%	5%	19%	1%	1%	11%	0%	630
PICKENS	61%	14%	23%	0%	2%	0%	0%	790
RICHLAND	55%	16%	17%	1%	4%	6%	1%	1,296
SALUDA	81%	9%	3%	2%	5%	0%	0%	65
SPARTANBURG	45%	14%	26%	1%	3%	10%	1%	2,046
SUMTER	77%	9%	8%	0%	4%	0%	2%	547
UNION	60%	19%	15%	0%	4%	0%	2%	182
WILLIAMSBURG	77%	13%	8%	0%	3%	0%	0%	153
YORK	56%	15%	14%	1%	4%	11%	0%	1,029
TRANSITIONAL	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
STATE TOTAL	55%	15%	20%	1%	3%	5%	0%	---
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	12,173	3,377	4,420	180	710	1,158	94	22,112

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**FIGURE 2**  
**ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**  
**JUNE 30, 2022**



**REGULAR CASELOADS CONTACT STANDARDS**

STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Following the initial home contact, the primary form of communication with this supervision level should be of electronic/ remote means.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One home visit progress audit (HVPA) every other month.</li> <li>One Agent Discretion Contact during an HVPA is not conducted.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Two contacts every month, one of which must be a home visit progress audit (HVPA).</li> <li>One Agent Discretion Contact per month.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Three contacts every month, two of which must be home visit progress audit (HVPA).</li> <li>One Agent Discretion Contact per month.</li> </ul>

**SPECIALIZED CASELOADS CONTACT STANDARDS**

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	MENTAL HEALTH -1	MENTAL HEALTH 2	MENTAL HEALTH 3	MENTAL HEALTH 4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One HVPA or FVPA per month</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Two (2) HVPAs after an initial home visit</li> <li>Offender TPA contact biweekly</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One (1) HVPA after an initial home visit</li> <li>Offender TPA contact monthly</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One HVPA bimonthly</li> <li>One TPA contact monthly</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One HVPA as needed</li> <li>One TPA contact monthly</li> </ul>

**TABLE 6-A  
TOTAL CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	108	95%	6	5%
AIKEN	410	82%	90	18%
ALLENDALE	17	68%	8	32%
ANDERSON	588	79%	153	21%
BAMBERG	27	87%	4	13%
BARNWELL	54	90%	6	10%
BEAUFORT	97	86%	16	14%
BERKELEY	459	87%	70	13%
CALHOUN	46	85%	8	15%
CHARLESTON	652	90%	72	10%
CHEROKEE	242	74%	85	26%
CHESTER	95	81%	22	19%
CHESTERFIELD	80	87%	12	13%
CLARENDON	86	75%	29	25%
COLLETON	126	80%	32	20%
DARLINGTON	152	77%	46	23%
DILLON	86	92%	7	8%
DORCHESTER	199	82%	45	18%
EDGEFIELD	66	93%	5	7%
FAIRFIELD	78	95%	4	5%
FLORENCE	273	83%	55	17%
GEORGETOWN	127	83%	26	17%
GREENVILLE	1432	82%	316	18%
GREENWOOD	277	76%	87	24%
HAMPTON	36	88%	5	12%
HORRY	536	85%	94	15%
JASPER	38	70%	16	30%
KERSHAW	113	83%	23	17%
LANCASTER	212	84%	39	16%
LAURENS	256	82%	58	18%
LEE	34	92%	3	8%
LEXINGTON	465	75%	155	25%
MCCORMICK	31	86%	5	14%
MARION	77	96%	3	4%
MARLBORO	58	73%	21	27%
NEWBERRY	86	70%	37	30%
OCONEE	239	80%	58	20%
ORANGEBURG	266	82%	57	18%
PICKENS	435	83%	87	17%
RICHLAND	648	85%	111	15%
SALUDA	37	93%	3	8%
SPARTANBURG	895	76%	284	24%
SUMTER	280	81%	64	19%
UNION	123	75%	40	25%
WILLIAMSBURG	80	88%	11	12%
YORK	705	87%	102	13%
TRANSITIONAL	334	99%	3	1%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>11,761</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>2,483</b>	<b>17%</b>
<b>Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.</b>				

**SECTION B**

**PROBATION**

**FISCAL YEAR  
2022**



## PROBATION

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders placed on probation by the Court. Probation is a court-ordered community sanction which suspends the imposition of all or part of the original sentence of incarceration. It requires the offender, under SCDPPPS supervision in the community, to adhere to a set of conditions which limit the offender's freedom, to make reparation to victims if so ordered, and to provide for judicial revocation for violation of those conditions.

Tables 1-B through 3-B represents all probation admissions during FY 2022. Probation includes Probation, PTUP (Probation Terminated upon Payment), Split Probation admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison, and Monitor for the Court.

- Table 1-B shows probation admissions in terms of offense type, violent or non-violent. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. For FY 2022, 4% of all probation admissions were for violent offenses.
- Table 2-B provides information on probation admissions by gender and race. Probation admissions were predominately male (76%) with a racial composition of 55% white, 42% black, and 3% other.
- Table 3-B reflects probation admissions by age category. The majority (85%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-B and Figure 3 describe active probation offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2022. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Standard risk supervision accounted for 54% of the active population, 16% were medium risk, and 21% were high-risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1%, sex offender supervision accounted for 2%, and domestic violence supervision accounted for 6% of all active probation offenders.

Table 5-B provides data for probation closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2022 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for probationers was 81%, slightly lower than the total offender population success rate of 83%.

**TABLE 1-B  
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	2	1%	146	99%	148
AIKEN	24	6%	384	94%	408
ALLENDALE	0	0%	10	100%	10
ANDERSON	21	4%	484	96%	505
BAMBERG	0	0%	19	100%	19
BARNWELL	3	6%	44	94%	47
BEAUFORT	3	2%	139	98%	142
BERKELEY	14	3%	452	97%	466
CALHOUN	1	2%	44	98%	45
CHARLESTON	30	5%	613	95%	643
CHEROKEE	20	6%	340	94%	360
CHESTER	3	3%	110	97%	113
CHESTERFIELD	3	3%	98	97%	101
CLARENDON	2	2%	117	98%	119
COLLETON	3	3%	99	97%	102
DARLINGTON	5	3%	140	97%	145
DILLON	2	2%	86	98%	88
DORCHESTER	12	4%	305	96%	317
EDGEFIELD	4	4%	91	96%	95
FAIRFIELD	4	3%	111	97%	115
FLORENCE	16	4%	343	96%	359
GEORGETOWN	4	6%	68	94%	72
GREENVILLE	63	3%	1,867	97%	1,930
GREENWOOD	11	3%	404	97%	415
HAMPTON	1	6%	16	94%	17
HORRY	32	5%	650	95%	682
JASPER	2	6%	31	94%	33
KERSHAW	2	2%	88	98%	90
LANCASTER	3	2%	175	98%	178
LAURENS	11	5%	217	95%	228
LEE	1	3%	36	97%	37
LEXINGTON	21	3%	602	97%	623
McCORMICK	2	4%	46	96%	48
MARION	2	4%	53	96%	55
MARLBORO	3	4%	68	96%	71
NEWBERRY	2	2%	105	98%	107
OCONEE	16	4%	377	96%	393
ORANGEBURG	12	4%	293	96%	305
PICKENS	14	2%	547	98%	561
RICHLAND	24	3%	666	97%	690
SALUDA	3	7%	43	93%	46
SPARTANBURG	81	7%	1,128	93%	1,209
SUMTER	9	3%	278	97%	287
UNION	3	4%	71	96%	74
WILLIAMSBURG	2	4%	46	96%	48
YORK	54	6%	918	94%	972
TRANSITIONAL	3	11%	25	89%	28
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>553</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>12,993</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>13,546</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE  
TABLE 2-B**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	74%	26%	30%	0%	70%
AIKEN	75%	25%	33%	2%	65%
ALLENDALE	90%	10%	90%	0%	10%
ANDERSON	75%	25%	26%	3%	71%
BAMBERG	89%	11%	58%	5%	37%
BARNWELL	77%	23%	49%	2%	49%
BEAUFORT	78%	22%	56%	8%	35%
BERKELEY	74%	26%	40%	2%	58%
CALHOUN	76%	24%	60%	2%	38%
CHARLESTON	83%	17%	67%	2%	30%
CHEROKEE	69%	31%	24%	2%	73%
CHESTER	81%	19%	49%	1%	50%
CHESTERFIELD	73%	27%	31%	2%	67%
CLARENDON	76%	24%	58%	2%	40%
COLLETON	79%	21%	47%	4%	49%
DARLINGTON	74%	26%	43%	0%	57%
DILLON	84%	16%	66%	1%	33%
DORCHESTER	78%	22%	46%	2%	52%
EDGEFIELD	83%	17%	38%	2%	60%
FAIRFIELD	76%	24%	67%	1%	32%
FLORENCE	76%	24%	62%	2%	36%
GEORGETOWN	76%	24%	56%	0%	44%
GREENVILLE	74%	26%	39%	5%	56%
GREENWOOD	70%	30%	45%	2%	53%
HAMPTON	88%	12%	71%	0%	29%
HORRY	76%	24%	36%	2%	62%
JASPER	82%	18%	48%	9%	42%
KERSHAW	74%	26%	31%	0%	69%
LANCASTER	80%	20%	36%	4%	60%
LAURENS	75%	25%	29%	1%	69%
LEE	86%	14%	81%	0%	19%
LEXINGTON	79%	21%	31%	2%	67%
McCORMICK	79%	21%	60%	0%	40%
MARION	78%	22%	56%	2%	42%
MARLBORO	80%	20%	51%	11%	38%
NEWBERRY	76%	24%	53%	2%	45%
OCONEE	73%	27%	15%	2%	84%
ORANGEBURG	83%	17%	70%	1%	29%
PICKENS	64%	36%	12%	2%	86%
RICHLAND	81%	19%	76%	2%	23%
SALUDA	83%	17%	30%	7%	63%
SPARTANBURG	76%	24%	38%	3%	59%
SUMTER	78%	22%	71%	2%	27%
UNION	74%	26%	35%	0%	65%
WILLIAMSBURG	85%	15%	71%	0%	29%
YORK	74%	26%	37%	4%	59%
TRANSITIONAL	61%	39%	46%	0%	54%
STATE TOTAL	76%	24%	42%	3%	55%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-B  
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY AGE**


<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	16	11%	132	89%
AIKEN	64	16%	344	84%
ALLENDALE	3	30%	7	70%
ANDERSON	56	11%	449	89%
BAMBERG	5	26%	14	74%
BARNWELL	8	17%	39	83%
BEAUFORT	22	15%	120	85%
BERKELEY	59	13%	407	87%
CALHOUN	3	7%	42	93%
CHARLESTON	127	20%	516	80%
CHEROKEE	45	13%	315	88%
CHESTER	15	13%	98	87%
CHESTERFIELD	21	21%	80	79%
CLARENDON	15	13%	104	87%
COLLETON	12	12%	90	88%
DARLINGTON	27	19%	118	81%
DILLON	19	22%	69	78%
DORCHESTER	66	21%	251	79%
EDGEFIELD	12	13%	83	87%
FAIRFIELD	19	17%	96	83%
FLORENCE	49	14%	310	86%
GEORGETOWN	11	15%	61	85%
GREENVILLE	210	11%	1720	89%
GREENWOOD	73	18%	342	82%
HAMPTON	2	12%	15	88%
HORRY	150	22%	532	78%
JASPER	4	12%	29	88%
KERSHAW	12	13%	78	87%
LANCASTER	29	16%	149	84%
LAURENS	33	14%	195	86%
LEE	5	14%	32	86%
LEXINGTON	106	17%	517	83%
McCORMICK	10	21%	38	79%
MARION	10	18%	45	82%
MARLBORO	13	18%	58	82%
NEWBERRY	28	26%	79	74%
OCONEE	39	10%	354	90%
ORANGEBURG	71	23%	234	77%
PICKENS	68	12%	493	88%
RICHLAND	111	16%	579	84%
SALUDA	10	22%	36	78%
SPARTANBURG	134	11%	1075	89%
SUMTER	58	20%	229	80%
UNION	11	15%	63	85%
WILLIAMSBURG	12	25%	36	75%
YORK	182	19%	790	81%
TRANSITIONAL	3	11%	25	89%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>2,058</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>11,488</b>	<b>85%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-B  
ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	60%	22%	17%	1%	1%	0%	0%	157
AIKEN	67%	11%	12%	2%	4%	4%	0%	544
ALLENDALE	64%	18%	9%	3%	3%	3%	0%	33
ANDERSON	60%	14%	18%	0%	2%	6%	0%	1,027
BAMBERG	71%	11%	11%	3%	3%	0%	0%	35
BARNWELL	63%	10%	24%	0%	4%	0%	0%	51
BEAUFORT	35%	38%	24%	1%	2%	0%	0%	125
BERKELEY	61%	15%	18%	1%	4%	0%	0%	687
CALHOUN	66%	7%	18%	1%	7%	0%	0%	71
CHARLESTON	37%	18%	31%	1%	3%	9%	0%	1,320
CHEROKEE	40%	11%	28%	1%	2%	16%	1%	454
CHESTER	53%	15%	29%	1%	2%	0%	0%	164
CHESTERFIELD	58%	20%	16%	4%	3%	0%	0%	106
CLARENDON	70%	9%	16%	0%	2%	0%	3%	126
COLLETON	61%	18%	18%	0%	3%	0%	0%	133
DARLINGTON	58%	19%	17%	0%	3%	0%	3%	183
DILLON	88%	8%	3%	0%	1%	0%	0%	119
DORCHESTER	51%	8%	26%	1%	4%	10%	0%	529
EDGEFIELD	65%	9%	24%	0%	2%	0%	0%	129
FAIRFIELD	78%	10%	9%	0%	3%	0%	0%	150
FLORENCE	63%	19%	15%	0%	1%	0%	2%	441
GEORGETOWN	74%	12%	12%	0%	1%	0%	0%	170
GREENVILLE	39%	22%	28%	0%	1%	9%	0%	2,451
GREENWOOD	65%	14%	9%	0%	1%	11%	0%	471
HAMPTON	72%	6%	15%	0%	8%	0%	0%	53
HORRY	75%	11%	7%	1%	6%	0%	0%	861
JASPER	13%	56%	29%	2%	0%	0%	0%	45
KERSHAW	57%	24%	13%	1%	6%	0%	0%	118
LANCASTER	59%	22%	17%	1%	2%	0%	0%	167
LAURENS	66%	14%	12%	0%	2%	5%	0%	327
LEE	81%	16%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	69
LEXINGTON	35%	22%	31%	2%	3%	7%	0%	970
McCORMICK	86%	8%	4%	0%	2%	0%	0%	49
MARION	81%	10%	7%	0%	2%	0%	0%	84
MARLBORO	71%	14%	12%	1%	1%	0%	0%	83
NEWBERRY	70%	14%	10%	4%	1%	0%	0%	105
OCONEE	63%	12%	21%	1%	2%	0%	0%	641
ORANGEBURG	61%	5%	19%	1%	1%	13%	0%	535
PICKENS	63%	13%	23%	0%	1%	0%	0%	722
RICHLAND	53%	16%	18%	1%	3%	8%	2%	1,065
SALUDA	79%	9%	4%	2%	6%	0%	0%	47
SPARTANBURG	43%	15%	28%	1%	2%	11%	1%	1,848
SUMTER	76%	9%	9%	0%	2%	0%	3%	466
UNION	61%	19%	12%	0%	2%	0%	5%	98
WILLIAMSBURG	77%	13%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	84
YORK	55%	15%	14%	1%	2%	13%	0%	907
TRANSITIONAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STATE TOTAL	54%	16%	21%	1%	2%	6%	0%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	10,287	2,969	3,931	157	455	1,139	82	19,020
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.								

**FIGURE 3**  
**ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**  
**JUNE 30, 2022**



**PROBATION CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 5-B**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	99	94%	6	6%
AIKEN	353	81%	84	19%
ALLENDALE	14	64%	8	36%
ANDERSON	510	77%	149	23%
BAMBERG	25	86%	4	14%
BARNWELL	47	89%	6	11%
BEAUFORT	71	86%	12	14%
BERKELEY	400	86%	66	14%
CALHOUN	37	84%	7	16%
CHARLESTON	525	90%	58	10%
CHEROKEE	193	70%	81	30%
CHESTER	78	80%	20	20%
CHESTERFIELD	64	86%	10	14%
CLARENDON	68	71%	28	29%
COLLETON	108	78%	30	22%
DARLINGTON	116	75%	39	25%
DILLON	66	94%	4	6%
DORCHESTER	164	79%	43	21%
EDGEFIELD	53	93%	4	7%
FAIRFIELD	62	94%	4	6%
FLORENCE	184	80%	46	20%
GEORGETOWN	90	80%	22	20%
GREENVILLE	1254	80%	308	20%
GREENWOOD	240	74%	85	26%
HAMPTON	32	89%	4	11%
HORRY	396	84%	76	16%
JASPER	29	66%	15	34%
KERSHAW	90	81%	21	19%
LANCASTER	167	84%	32	16%
LAURENS	218	80%	55	20%
LEE	26	90%	3	10%
LEXINGTON	392	74%	137	26%
MCCORMICK	29	85%	5	15%
MARION	57	95%	3	5%
MARLBORO	48	73%	18	27%
NEWBERRY	73	68%	34	32%
OCONEE	216	79%	56	21%
ORANGEBURG	212	80%	53	20%
PICKENS	396	83%	83	17%
RICHLAND	462	82%	99	18%
SALUDA	34	94%	2	6%
SPARTANBURG	722	72%	277	28%
SUMTER	190	79%	51	21%
UNION	101	75%	33	25%
WILLIAMSBURG	59	86%	10	14%
YORK	583	86%	91	14%
TRANSITIONAL	212	99%	3	1%

<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>9,565</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>2,285</b>	<b>19%</b>
--------------------	--------------	------------	--------------	------------

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**SECTION C**

**PAROLE**

**FISCAL YEAR  
2022**



## PAROLE

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders paroled by the South Carolina Board of Pardons and Paroles. Parole is the conditional release of an individual from imprisonment, but not from the legal custody of the state, to complete his or her sentence outside a correctional institution under conditions and provisions of supervision determined by the Board. Should an individual be granted parole, he or she must agree to abide by certain conditions of community supervision. The violation of any of these conditions is sufficient grounds for revocation of parole by the Board, and the imposition of the remainder of the original sentence of incarceration.

Tables 1-C through 3-C represents all parole admissions during FY 2022.

- Table 1-C shows parole admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. A larger percent of parole admissions, 26%, fall into the violent category, as compared to 4% for probation admissions (see Table 1-B).
- Table 2-C describes all parole admissions by gender and race. Parole admissions consisted primarily of males (84%) with a racial composition of 49% black, 50% white, and 1% other.

Table 3-C reflects parole admissions by age category. Majority (88%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-C and Figure 4 describe active parolees by level of supervision on June 30, 2022. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Standard risk supervision accounted for 70% of the active population, 11% were medium risk, and 13% were high-risk offenders. Sex offender supervision accounted for 6% of all active parole offenders. Less than 1% of the active parole population were under Intensive supervision, domestic violence supervision or mental health supervision.

Table 5-C provides data for parole closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2022 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for parolees was 83% and 81% for probationers (see Table 5-B).

**TABLE 1-C  
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	3	100%	0	0%	3
AIKEN	3	21%	11	79%	14
ALLENDALE	2	100%	0	0%	2
ANDERSON	2	11%	17	89%	19
BAMBERG	0	-	0	-	0
BARNWELL	1	50%	1	50%	2
BEAUFORT	1	9%	10	91%	11
BERKELEY	10	45%	12	55%	22
CALHOUN	1	33%	2	67%	3
CHARLESTON	4	17%	19	83%	23
CHEROKEE	5	45%	6	55%	11
CHESTER	1	33%	2	67%	3
CHESTERFIELD	3	38%	5	63%	8
CLARENDON	3	50%	3	50%	6
COLLETON	1	33%	2	67%	3
DARLINGTON	2	33%	4	67%	6
DILLON	0	0%	6	100%	6
DORCHESTER	4	21%	15	79%	19
EDGEFIELD	0	0%	2	100%	2
FAIRFIELD	1	25%	3	75%	4
FLORENCE	3	14%	18	86%	21
GEORGETOWN	2	18%	9	82%	11
GREENVILLE	11	20%	45	80%	56
GREENWOOD	1	20%	4	80%	5
HAMPTON	2	67%	1	33%	3
HORRY	17	31%	38	69%	55
JASPER	0	0%	4	100%	4
KERSHAW	1	11%	8	89%	9
LANCASTER	1	11%	8	89%	9
LAURENS	1	13%	7	88%	8
LEE	1	50%	1	50%	2
LEXINGTON	5	20%	20	80%	25
McCORMICK	0	-	0	-	0
MARION	1	20%	4	80%	5
MARLBORO	4	50%	4	50%	8
NEWBERRY	2	40%	3	60%	5
OCONEE	2	25%	6	75%	8
ORANGEBURG	2	18%	9	82%	11
PICKENS	2	20%	8	80%	10
RICHLAND	10	24%	31	76%	41
SALUDA	1	25%	3	75%	4
SPARTANBURG	6	18%	27	82%	33
SUMTER	5	28%	13	72%	18
UNION	1	33%	2	67%	3
WILLIAMSBURG	1	20%	4	80%	5
YORK	11	31%	24	69%	35
TRANSITIONAL	9	47%	10	53%	19
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>580</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-C  
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	67%	33%	0%	0%	100%
AIKEN	86%	14%	21%	11%	71%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	50%	17%	50%
ANDERSON	74%	26%	16%	4%	79%
BAMBERG	-	-	-	-	-
BARNWELL	100%	0%	50%	8%	50%
BEAUFORT	82%	18%	27%	4%	64%
BERKELEY	77%	23%	64%	8%	36%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	100%	11%	0%
CHARLESTON	96%	4%	70%	7%	30%
CHEROKEE	55%	45%	27%	2%	73%
CHESTER	67%	33%	0%	0%	100%
CHESTERFIELD	75%	25%	50%	4%	50%
CLARENDON	100%	0%	100%	7%	0%
COLLETON	67%	33%	0%	0%	100%
DARLINGTON	67%	33%	67%	4%	33%
DILLON	100%	0%	67%	4%	33%
DORCHESTER	89%	11%	53%	3%	47%
EDGEFIELD	50%	50%	0%	0%	100%
FAIRFIELD	100%	0%	100%	5%	0%
FLORENCE	90%	10%	71%	3%	29%
GEORGETOWN	91%	9%	55%	3%	45%
GREENVILLE	86%	14%	45%	2%	54%
GREENWOOD	100%	0%	60%	3%	40%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	67%	3%	33%
HORRY	87%	13%	35%	1%	65%
JASPER	75%	25%	75%	3%	25%
KERSHAW	56%	44%	22%	1%	78%
LANCASTER	89%	11%	33%	1%	67%
LAURENS	75%	25%	25%	1%	75%
LEE	100%	0%	100%	3%	0%
LEXINGTON	76%	24%	28%	1%	64%
McCORMICK	-	-	-	-	-
MARION	80%	20%	100%	3%	0%
MARLBORO	75%	25%	63%	2%	38%
NEWBERRY	100%	0%	20%	1%	80%
OCONEE	75%	25%	38%	1%	63%
ORANGEBURG	91%	9%	82%	2%	18%
PICKENS	60%	40%	10%	0%	90%
RICHLAND	88%	12%	80%	2%	20%
SALUDA	100%	0%	50%	1%	50%
SPARTANBURG	73%	27%	36%	1%	58%
SUMTER	94%	6%	72%	2%	28%
UNION	67%	33%	0%	0%	100%
WILLIAMSBURG	100%	0%	80%	2%	20%
YORK	86%	14%	54%	1%	46%
TRANSITIONAL	89%	11%	47%	1%	53%
STATE TOTAL	84%	16%	49%	1%	50%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-C  
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	1	33%	2	67%
AIKEN	2	14%	12	86%
ALLENDALE	1	50%	1	50%
ANDERSON	2	11%	17	89%
BAMBERG	0	-	0	-
BARNWELL	0	0%	2	100%
BEAUFORT	3	27%	8	73%
BERKELEY	2	9%	20	91%
CALHOUN	0	0%	3	100%
CHARLESTON	4	17%	19	83%
CHEROKEE	2	18%	9	82%
CHESTER	0	0%	3	100%
CHESTERFIELD	0	0%	8	100%
CLARENDON	1	17%	5	83%
COLLETON	0	0%	3	100%
DARLINGTON	1	17%	5	83%
DILLON	0	0%	6	100%
DORCHESTER	5	26%	14	74%
EDGEFIELD	0	0%	2	100%
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	4	100%
FLORENCE	6	29%	15	71%
GEORGETOWN	2	18%	9	82%
GREENVILLE	5	9%	51	91%
GREENWOOD	1	20%	4	80%
HAMPTON	0	0%	3	100%
HORRY	2	4%	53	96%
JASPER	0	0%	4	100%
KERSHAW	1	11%	8	89%
LANCASTER	2	22%	7	78%
LAURENS	1	13%	7	88%
LEE	0	0%	2	100%
LEXINGTON	2	8%	23	92%
McCORMICK	0	-	0	-
MARION	0	0%	5	100%
MARLBORO	2	25%	6	75%
NEWBERRY	2	40%	3	60%
OCONEE	0	0%	8	100%
ORANGEBURG	0	0%	11	100%
PICKENS	3	30%	7	70%
RICHLAND	4	10%	37	90%
SALUDA	1	25%	3	75%
SPARTANBURG	2	6%	31	94%
SUMTER	4	22%	14	78%
UNION	0	0%	3	100%
WILLIAMSBURG	0	0%	5	100%
YORK	2	6%	33	94%
TRANSITIONAL	3	16%	16	84%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>88%</b>


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-C  
ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DV OFFENDER	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	73%	0%	18%	0%	9%	0%	0%	11
AIKEN	82%	9%	1%	1%	7%	0%	0%	87
ALLENDALE	67%	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	0%	3
ANDERSON	79%	6%	13%	0%	2%	0%	0%	53
BAMBERG	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
BARNWELL	67%	0%	17%	0%	17%	0%	0%	6
BEAUFORT	30%	50%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	30
BERKELEY	71%	6%	10%	3%	10%	0%	0%	68
CALHOUN	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10
CHARLESTON	58%	14%	23%	0%	5%	0%	0%	104
CHEROKEE	60%	5%	30%	0%	5%	0%	0%	20
CHESTER	86%	7%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	14
CHESTERFIELD	42%	8%	33%	0%	17%	0%	0%	12
CLARENDON	57%	0%	7%	0%	36%	0%	0%	14
COLLETON	67%	0%	17%	0%	17%	0%	0%	12
DARLINGTON	72%	20%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	25
DILLON	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11
DORCHESTER	55%	16%	24%	0%	5%	0%	0%	38
EDGEFIELD	67%	8%	17%	0%	8%	0%	0%	12
FAIRFIELD	78%	0%	11%	0%	11%	0%	0%	9
FLORENCE	73%	14%	6%	0%	6%	0%	0%	79
GEORGETOWN	82%	6%	9%	3%	0%	0%	0%	34
GREENVILLE	65%	13%	18%	0%	3%	0%	0%	142
GREENWOOD	82%	7%	7%	0%	4%	0%	0%	28
HAMPTON	63%	0%	38%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8
HORRY	75%	4%	7%	1%	14%	0%	0%	140
JASPER	0%	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10
KERSHAW	56%	16%	16%	0%	12%	0%	0%	25
LANCASTER	71%	8%	8%	0%	13%	0%	0%	24
LAURENS	67%	18%	5%	0%	10%	2%	0%	39
LEE	88%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	8
LEXINGTON	56%	17%	20%	1%	6%	0%	0%	89
McCORMICK	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
MARION	82%	6%	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	17
MARLBORO	79%	7%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14
NEWBERRY	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9
OCONEE	69%	11%	11%	0%	8%	0%	0%	36
ORANGEBURG	79%	2%	19%	0%	0%	2%	0%	42
PICKENS	42%	21%	29%	0%	8%	0%	0%	24
RICHLAND	68%	13%	13%	0%	4%	0%	1%	163
SALUDA	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7
SPARTANBURG	73%	10%	15%	1%	1%	0%	0%	147
SUMTER	84%	3%	7%	0%	7%	0%	0%	61
UNION	67%	0%	22%	0%	11%	0%	0%	9
WILLIAMSBURG	88%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17
YORK	71%	12%	8%	0%	7%	1%	0%	98
TRANSITIONAL	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
STATE TOTAL	70%	11%	13%	0%	6%	0%	0%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	1,262	197	233	7	112	1	1	1,813

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**FIGURE 4**  
**ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**  
**JUNE 30, 2022**



**PAROLE CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 5-C**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	6	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	20	83%	4	17%
ALLENDALE	1	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	28	88%	4	13%
BAMBERG	0	-	0	-
BARNWELL	3	100%	0	0%
BEAUFORT	8	80%	2	20%
BERKELEY	17	89%	2	11%
CALHOUN	1	50%	1	50%
CHARLESTON	39	81%	9	19%
CHEROKEE	17	85%	3	15%
CHESTER	8	89%	1	11%
CHESTERFIELD	6	75%	2	25%
CLARENDON	6	86%	1	14%
COLLETON	5	71%	2	29%
DARLINGTON	14	74%	5	26%
DILLON	5	83%	1	17%
DORCHESTER	9	82%	2	18%
EDGEFIELD	3	75%	1	25%
FAIRFIELD	4	100%	0	0%
FLORENCE	40	83%	8	17%
GEORGETOWN	10	83%	2	17%
GREENVILLE	47	94%	3	6%
GREENWOOD	9	100%	0	0%
HAMPTON	1	100%	0	0%
HORRY	40	77%	12	23%
JASPER	5	100%	0	0%
KERSHAW	6	75%	2	25%
LANCASTER	17	71%	7	29%
LAURENS	13	81%	3	19%
LEE	2	100%	0	0%
LEXINGTON	24	62%	15	38%
MCCORMICK	1	100%	0	0%
MARION	8	100%	0	0%
MARLBORO	2	50%	2	50%
NEWBERRY	3	50%	3	50%
OCONEE	12	92%	1	8%
ORANGEBURG	10	71%	4	29%
PICKENS	10	77%	3	23%
RICHLAND	53	87%	8	13%
SALUDA	1	50%	1	50%
SPARTANBURG	50	89%	6	11%
SUMTER	38	81%	9	19%
UNION	6	50%	6	50%
WILLIAMSBURG	9	90%	1	10%
YORK	49	83%	10	17%
TRANSITIONAL	30	100%	0	0%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>696</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>17%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**SECTION  
D**

**OTHER**

**FISCAL YEAR  
2022**



## OTHER

The “other” category includes YOA, Community Supervision Program, Supervised Furlough-2 (SF-2), Supervised Furlough-2A (SF-2A), Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and Supervised Reentry Program (SRP) cases.

- **YOA** refers to inmates aged 17 through 24, sentenced under the South Carolina Youthful Offender Act (YOA) to an indeterminate period of incarceration, not to exceed six years, within the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC). *This program is being taken over by the South Carolina Department of Corrections. SCDPPPS will no longer receive admissions to this program.*
- **Community Supervision Program (CSP)** is a mandatory release program for offenders who have been sentenced to a “No Parole” offense and have served 85% of their sentence at SCDC. Offenders released to the Community Supervision Program have a two-year period of supervision. If at any time they violate the terms of supervision, a Circuit Court Judge may revoke any part of the remaining incarcerative portion of the sentence for up to one year at a time.
- **SF-2** is an early release program which allows certain inmates to be released into the community to serve the last six months of their sentence. The difference between SF2 and SF-2A is most are required to be on Electronic Monitoring for the duration of their furlough under **SF-2A**.
- **DJJ** is a program where SCDPPPS supervises offenders who are at least 17 years of age, but less than 21, who have been adjudicated delinquent by a Family Court and who have been conditionally released from SCDC by the Juvenile Parole Board.
- **SRP** refers to an inmate not required to participate in CSP. Inmates who have been incarcerated for a minimum of two years shall be released to reentry supervision 180 before their release date.

Tables 1-D through 3-D represents all other admissions during FY 2022.

- Table 1-D displays other admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. Sixty-two (62%) of all admission in ‘other’ category were violent.
- Table 2-D describes other admissions by gender and race. Admissions were predominately male (94%) and black (60%).
- Table 3-D describes other admissions by age category. Majority (94%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-D and Figure 5 describe the active population for other release offenders in terms of level of supervision on June 30, 2022. Of the total active other population, 49% were supervised at standard level, 16% at medium, 20% at high, 1% at intensive, 11% at the sex offender supervision level, and 1% at the domestic violence and less than 1% mental health supervision levels.

Table 5-D shows 97% of other offenders closing successfully compared to 83% of the parole population (See Table 5-C) and 81% of the probation population (See Table 5-B).

**TABLE 1-D  
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	5	71%	2	29%	7
AIKEN	30	63%	18	38%	48
ALLENDALE	1	100%	0	0%	1
ANDERSON	28	64%	16	36%	44
BAMBERG	2	100%	0	0%	2
BARNWELL	4	100%	0	0%	4
BEAUFORT	11	69%	5	31%	16
BERKELEY	26	67%	13	33%	39
CALHOUN	2	50%	2	50%	4
CHARLESTON	64	63%	38	37%	102
CHEROKEE	17	61%	11	39%	28
CHESTER	8	62%	5	38%	13
CHESTERFIELD	3	43%	4	57%	7
CLARENDON	3	38%	5	63%	8
COLLETON	6	60%	4	40%	10
DARLINGTON	9	36%	16	64%	25
DILLON	2	18%	9	82%	11
DORCHESTER	13	57%	10	43%	23
EDGEFIELD	5	83%	1	17%	6
FAIRFIELD	9	82%	2	18%	11
FLORENCE	28	53%	25	47%	53
GEORGETOWN	13	59%	9	41%	22
GREENVILLE	104	69%	47	31%	151
GREENWOOD	14	45%	17	55%	31
HAMPTON	2	40%	3	60%	5
HORRY	53	55%	44	45%	97
JASPER	7	70%	3	30%	10
KERSHAW	12	67%	6	33%	18
LANCASTER	19	51%	18	49%	37
LAURENS	18	50%	18	50%	36
LEE	2	100%	0	0%	2
LEXINGTON	41	69%	18	31%	59
McCORMICK	1	1	0	0	1
MARION	10	50%	10	50%	20
MARLBORO	8	73%	3	27%	11
NEWBERRY	8	73%	3	27%	11
OCONEE	12	71%	5	29%	17
ORANGEBURG	26	63%	15	37%	41
PICKENS	21	60%	14	40%	35
RICHLAND	95	70%	41	30%	136
SALUDA	4	67%	2	33%	6
SPARTANBURG	105	63%	62	37%	167
SUMTER	29	52%	27	48%	56
UNION	14	67%	7	33%	21
WILLIAMSBURG	8	44%	10	56%	18
YORK	36	52%	33	48%	69
TRANSITIONAL	52	90%	6	10%	58
STATE TOTAL	990	62%	607	38%	1,597

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-D  
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	100%	0%	43%	0%	57%
AIKEN	98%	2%	56%	4%	40%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
ANDERSON	91%	9%	41%	0%	59%
BAMBERG	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
BARNWELL	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
BEAUFORT	100%	0%	81%	0%	19%
BERKELEY	92%	8%	59%	3%	38%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
CHARLESTON	97%	3%	76%	1%	23%
CHEROKEE	96%	4%	36%	4%	61%
CHESTER	85%	15%	62%	0%	38%
CHESTERFIELD	100%	0%	29%	0%	71%
CLARENDON	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
COLLETON	90%	10%	60%	0%	40%
DARLINGTON	96%	4%	48%	0%	52%
DILLON	100%	0%	73%	0%	27%
DORCHESTER	96%	4%	65%	0%	35%
EDGEFIELD	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
FAIRFIELD	82%	18%	64%	0%	36%
FLORENCE	98%	2%	74%	0%	26%
GEORGETOWN	100%	0%	77%	0%	23%
GREENVILLE	91%	9%	53%	9%	38%
GREENWOOD	97%	3%	74%	0%	26%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	80%	0%	20%
HORRY	95%	5%	58%	2%	40%
JASPER	100%	0%	80%	0%	20%
KERSHAW	83%	17%	33%	0%	67%
LANCASTER	92%	8%	49%	3%	49%
LAURENS	94%	6%	50%	0%	50%
LEE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
LEXINGTON	95%	5%	58%	0%	42%
McCORMICK	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
MARION	95%	5%	75%	0%	25%
MARLBORO	100%	0%	82%	9%	9%
NEWBERRY	100%	0%	91%	0%	9%
OCONEE	94%	6%	29%	0%	71%
ORANGEBURG	93%	7%	73%	0%	27%
PICKENS	86%	14%	11%	0%	89%
RICHLAND	99%	1%	92%	0%	8%
SALUDA	100%	0%	67%	0%	33%
SPARTANBURG	90%	10%	46%	5%	50%
SUMTER	98%	2%	63%	2%	36%
UNION	100%	0%	38%	0%	62%
WILLIAMSBURG	94%	6%	89%	0%	11%
YORK	96%	4%	52%	0%	48%
TRANSITIONAL	90%	10%	50%	5%	45%
STATE TOTAL	94%	6%	60%	2%	38%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-D  
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	0	0%	7	100%
AIKEN	2	4%	46	96%
ALLENDALE	0	0%	1	100%
ANDERSON	2	5%	42	95%
BAMBERG	0	0%	2	100%
BARNWELL	1	25%	3	75%
BEAUFORT	1	6%	15	94%
BERKELEY	2	5%	37	95%
CALHOUN	0	0%	4	100%
CHARLESTON	8	8%	94	92%
CHEROKEE	1	4%	27	96%
CHESTER	0	0%	13	100%
CHESTERFIELD	0	0%	7	100%
CLARENDON	1	13%	7	88%
COLLETON	3	30%	7	70%
DARLINGTON	1	4%	24	96%
DILLON	0	0%	11	100%
DORCHESTER	2	9%	21	91%
EDGEFIELD	0	0%	6	100%
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	11	100%
FLORENCE	5	9%	48	91%
GEORGETOWN	0	0%	22	100%
GREENVILLE	8	5%	143	95%
GREENWOOD	1	3%	30	97%
HAMPTON	1	20%	4	80%
HORRY	15	15%	82	85%
JASPER	0	0%	10	100%
KERSHAW	2	11%	16	89%
LANCASTER	6	16%	31	84%
LAURENS	2	6%	34	94%
LEE	0	0%	2	100%
LEXINGTON	4	7%	55	93%
McCORMICK	0	0	1	1
MARION	2	10%	18	90%
MARLBORO	0	0%	11	100%
NEWBERRY	0	0%	11	100%
OCONEE	1	6%	16	94%
ORANGEBURG	4	10%	37	90%
PICKENS	2	6%	33	94%
RICHLAND	10	7%	126	93%
SALUDA	1	17%	5	83%
SPARTANBURG	6	4%	161	96%
SUMTER	2	4%	54	96%
UNION	0	0%	21	100%
WILLIAMSBURG	1	6%	17	94%
YORK	4	6%	65	94%
TRANSITIONAL	1	2%	57	98%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>1,495</b>	<b>94%</b>


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-D  
ACTIVE OTHER OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DV OFFENDER	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	45%	9%	27%	0%	18%	0%	0%	11
AIKEN	28%	31%	16%	3%	19%	3%	0%	32
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
ANDERSON	45%	14%	24%	0%	14%	4%	0%	51
BAMBERG	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1
BARNWELL	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
BEAUFORT	17%	50%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12
BERKELEY	54%	20%	13%	0%	13%	0%	0%	46
CALHOUN	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
CHARLESTON	45%	17%	31%	0%	3%	2%	0%	86
CHEROKEE	50%	5%	23%	0%	18%	5%	0%	22
CHESTER	47%	7%	33%	7%	7%	0%	0%	15
CHESTERFIELD	0%	33%	33%	0%	33%	0%	0%	3
CLARENDON	0%	0%	40%	0%	40%	0%	20%	5
COLLETON	60%	20%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	5
DARLINGTON	44%	11%	33%	0%	0%	0%	11%	9
DILLON	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
DORCHESTER	35%	13%	30%	0%	13%	9%	0%	23
EDGEFIELD	50%	0%	25%	0%	25%	0%	0%	4
FAIRFIELD	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11
FLORENCE	51%	20%	20%	0%	4%	0%	4%	45
GEORGETOWN	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14
GREENVILLE	44%	16%	28%	2%	8%	2%	0%	131
GREENWOOD	54%	17%	21%	0%	8%	0%	0%	24
HAMPTON	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
HORRY	57%	12%	19%	0%	12%	0%	0%	68
JASPER	0%	38%	38%	0%	25%	0%	0%	8
KERSHAW	62%	31%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13
LANCASTER	35%	20%	25%	10%	10%	0%	0%	20
LAURENS	58%	19%	4%	0%	12%	8%	0%	26
LEE	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
LEXINGTON	47%	22%	22%	2%	6%	0%	0%	49
McCORMICK	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
MARION	58%	25%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12
MARLBORO	33%	17%	33%	0%	17%	0%	0%	6
NEWBERRY	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4
OCONEE	60%	20%	7%	0%	13%	0%	0%	15
ORANGEBURG	73%	5%	11%	0%	9%	2%	0%	44
PICKENS	39%	21%	21%	0%	18%	0%	0%	28
RICHLAND	54%	15%	18%	1%	8%	0%	3%	136
SALUDA	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4
SPARTANBURG	39%	17%	21%	1%	18%	3%	1%	145
SUMTER	63%	10%	3%	0%	23%	0%	3%	40
UNION	42%	21%	26%	0%	11%	0%	0%	19
WILLIAMSBURG	63%	13%	6%	0%	19%	0%	0%	16
YORK	37%	19%	19%	7%	17%	2%	0%	59
TRANSITIONAL	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
STATE TOTAL	49%	16%	20%	1%	11%	1%	1%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	624	211	256	16	143	18	11	1,279
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.								

**FIGURE 5**

**ACTIVE OTHER OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION  
JUNE 30, 2022**



**TABLE 5-D  
OTHER CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	3	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	37	95%	2	5%
ALLENDALE	2	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	50	100%	0	0%
BAMBERG	2	100%	0	0%
BARNWELL	4	100%	0	0%
BEAUFORT	18	90%	2	10%
BERKELEY	42	95%	2	5%
CALHOUN	8	100%	0	0%
CHARLESTON	88	95%	5	5%
CHEROKEE	32	97%	1	3%
CHESTER	9	90%	1	10%
CHESTERFIELD	10	100%	0	0%
CLARENDON	12	100%	0	0%
COLLETON	13	100%	0	0%
DARLINGTON	22	92%	2	8%
DILLON	15	88%	2	12%
DORCHESTER	26	100%	0	0%
EDGEFIELD	10	100%	0	0%
FAIRFIELD	12	100%	0	0%
FLORENCE	49	98%	1	2%
GEORGETOWN	27	93%	2	7%
GREENVILLE	131	96%	5	4%
GREENWOOD	28	93%	2	7%
HAMPTON	3	75%	1	25%
HORRY	100	94%	6	6%
JASPER	4	80%	1	20%
KERSHAW	17	100%	0	0%
LANCASTER	28	100%	0	0%
LAURENS	25	100%	0	0%
LEE	6	100%	0	0%
LEXINGTON	49	94%	3	6%
MCCORMICK	1	100%	0	0%
MARION	12	100%	0	0%
MARLBORO	8	89%	1	11%
NEWBERRY	10	100%	0	0%
OCONEE	11	92%	1	8%
ORANGEBURG	44	100%	0	0%
PICKENS	29	97%	1	3%
RICHLAND	133	97%	4	3%
SALUDA	2	100%	0	0%
SPARTANBURG	123	99%	1	1%
SUMTER	52	93%	4	7%
UNION	16	94%	1	6%
WILLIAMSBURG	12	100%	0	0%
YORK	73	99%	1	1%
TRANSITIONAL	92	1	0	0
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>3%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**SECTION E**

**SEX  
OFFENDERS**

**FISCAL YEAR 2022**



## SEX OFFENDERS

The Department is responsible for supervising those offenders sentenced to community supervision by the Court of General Sessions or released from incarceration on other supervision programs who have been convicted of sex offenses.

SCDPPPS utilizes the Sex Offender Management Program to supervise those sex offenders who are currently serving an active sentence for a sex offense. For those offenders currently under supervision for an offense that is not a sex offense but who are required to register as a sex offender for a previous offense, SCDPPPS provides general supervision according to the offender’s risk assessment score. Table 1-E and Figure 6 compares the number of sex offenders supervised under general supervision (19%) with those in the Sex Offender Management Program (81%).

A male sex offender’s level of supervision is determined by his score on the Static-99 risk assessment. The three levels of male sex offender (SO) supervision are SO-High, SO-Intensive, and SO-Containment. Female sex offenders are supervised at the SO-High level of supervision for the entirety of their supervision period.

## SEX OFFENDER CONTACT STANDARDS


SO-HIGH	SO-INTENSIVE	SO-CONTAINMENT
1 Home Visit Progress Audit per month	1 Home Visit Progress Audit per month	1 Home Visit Progress Audit per month
1 Home Visits OR Field Visit per month	2 Home Visits OR Field Visit per month (either)	2 Home Visits per month
1 Employment Verification per Month	1 Employment Verification per Month	1 Employment Verification per Month
1 Face-to-Face Treatment Provider Contact per Month	1 Face-to-Face Treatment Provider Contact per Month	1 Additional Progress Audit (any type) 1 Face-to-Face Treatment Provider Contact per Month

**TABLE 1-E  
ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT SUPERVISION		GENERAL SUPERVISION		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS
ABBEVILLE	4	100%	0	0%	4
AIKEN	35	97%	1	3%	36
ALLENDALE	2	100%	0	0%	2
ANDERSON	30	68%	14	32%	44
BAMBERG	1	50%	1	50%	2
BARNWELL	3	75%	1	25%	4
BEAUFORT	3	75%	1	25%	4
BERKELEY	42	89%	5	11%	47
CALHOUN	5	100%	0	0%	5
CHARLESTON	45	78%	13	22%	58
CHEROKEE	15	71%	6	29%	21
CHESTER	5	71%	2	29%	7
CHESTERFIELD	5	100%	0	0%	5
CLARENDON	10	91%	1	9%	11
COLLETON	7	78%	2	22%	9
DARLINGTON	7	70%	3	30%	10
DILLON	1	50%	1	50%	2
DORCHESTER	25	100%	0	0%	25
EDGEFIELD	4	57%	3	43%	7
FAIRFIELD	5	63%	3	38%	8
FLORENCE	12	60%	8	40%	20
GEORGETOWN	2	67%	1	33%	3
GREENVILLE	49	72%	19	28%	68
GREENWOOD	6	46%	7	54%	13
HAMPTON	4	100%	0	0%	4
HORRY	77	92%	7	8%	84
JASPER	2	100%	0	0%	2
KERSHAW	10	77%	3	23%	13
LANCASTER	8	100%	0	0%	8
LAURENS	14	100%	0	0%	14
LEE	2	50%	2	50%	4
LEXINGTON	35	76%	11	24%	46
McCORMICK	1	100%	0	0%	1
MARION	4	57%	3	43%	7
MARLBORO	2	50%	2	50%	4
NEWBERRY	1	50%	1	50%	2
OCONEE	19	79%	5	21%	24
ORANGEBURG	7	100%	0	0%	7
PICKENS	16	73%	6	27%	22
RICHLAND	51	100%	0	0%	51
SALUDA	3	75%	1	25%	4
SPARTANBURG	57	79%	15	21%	72
SUMTER	23	77%	7	23%	30
UNION	5	83%	1	17%	6
WILLIAMSBURG	3	60%	2	40%	5
YORK	38	79%	10	21%	48
TRANSITIONAL	-	-	-	-	-
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>705</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>873</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**FIGURE 6**  
**ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION**  
**JUNE 30, 2022**



**SECTION F**

**VIOLATIONS**

**FISCAL YEAR 2022**

## **VIOLATIONS & CLOSURES**

Offenders charged by their supervising Agents with violations of the conditions of supervision are reviewed through a violations matrix to determine the most appropriate response while ensuring a consistent application of sanctions statewide.

Table 1-F provides data by county on the violation process. Statewide, 4,025 violation hearings were held during the fiscal year. At those hearings, 2,128 cases were continued or recommended for continuation, while 1,897 cases were revoked or recommended for revocation.

Table 2-F shows fees collected in FY 2022 as a result of the Administrative Hearing Process. Offenders pay restitution, supervision fees, and fines just prior to their Administrative Hearing to avoid incarceration. During the year, \$81,806.69 was collected in delinquent restitution payments, \$136,771.68 in supervision fees, and \$14,713.15 in court-ordered fines and fees. The total of \$233,291.52 collected demonstrates the effectiveness of the administrative hearing process in bringing offenders who have the means to become compliant with their monetary obligations.

Table 3-F provides a comparison of changes in active population and the types of closure for FY 2018 to FY 2022. Of the 14,244 closures during FY 2022, 83% closed successfully. The majority (59%) of unsuccessful closures resulted in compliance revocations.

**TABLE 1-F  
VIOLATIONS BY COUNTY**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Cases Heard</b>	<b>Cases Revoked or Recommended for Revocation Cases</b>	<b>Continued or Recommended for Continuation</b>
ABBEVILLE	1	0	1
AIKEN	93	35	58
ALLENDALE	4	1	3
ANDERSON	120	53	67
BAMBERG	10	2	8
BARNWELL	3	0	3
BEAUFORT	11	4	7
BERKELEY	116	66	50
CALHOUN	6	1	5
CHARLESTON	310	149	161
CHEROKEE	230	120	110
CHESTER	46	28	18
CHESTERFIELD	5	2	3
CLARENDON	38	17	21
COLLETON	24	11	13
DARLINGTON	28	23	5
DILLON	13	8	5
DORCHESTER	76	45	31
EDGEFIELD	17	5	12
FAIRFIELD	13	1	12
FLORENCE	112	22	90
GEORGETOWN	16	10	6
GREENVILLE	416	206	210
GREENWOOD	89	47	42
HAMPTON	8	2	6
HORRY	126	77	49
JASPER	2	1	1
KERSHAW	46	27	19
LANCASTER	36	26	10
LAURENS	74	37	37
LEE	0	0	0
LEXINGTON	259	121	138
McCORMICK	4	2	2
MARION	3	3	0
MARLBORO	6	1	5
NEWBERRY	76	39	37
OCONEE	146	62	84
ORANGEBURG	113	35	78
PICKENS	84	27	57
RICHLAND	247	126	121
SALUDA	34	12	22
SPARTANBURG	454	240	214
SUMTER	124	56	68
UNION	77	42	35
WILLIAMSBURG	20	7	13
YORK	289	98	191
TRANSITIONAL			
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>4,025</b>	<b>1,897</b>	<b>2,128</b>

<b>TABLE 2-F</b>				
<b>FEES COLLECTED THROUGH ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS PROCESS</b>				
<b>Month</b>	<b>Supervision Fee</b>	<b>Fine/Court Cost</b>	<b>Restitution</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>July</b>	\$8,975.00	\$123.75	\$3,528.01	<b>\$12,626.76</b>
<b>August</b>	\$8,780.00	\$128.75	\$6,631.49	<b>\$15,540.24</b>
<b>September</b>	\$14,254.00	\$959.75	\$14,260.42	<b>\$29,474.17</b>
<b>October</b>	\$12,505.39	\$0.00	\$6,130.60	<b>\$18,635.99</b>
<b>November</b>	\$8,191.97	\$165.00	\$1,659.03	<b>\$10,016.00</b>
<b>December</b>	\$7,422.75	\$3,380.75	\$5,542.77	<b>\$16,346.27</b>
<b>January</b>	\$9,878.00	\$868.25	\$4,389.60	<b>\$15,135.85</b>
<b>February</b>	\$7,062.74	\$1,113.75	\$5,310.49	<b>\$13,486.98</b>
<b>March</b>	\$10,946.50	\$2,366.40	\$9,135.81	<b>\$22,448.71</b>
<b>April</b>	\$20,581.40	\$1,153.00	\$10,086.20	<b>\$31,820.60</b>
<b>May</b>	\$17,535.93	\$2,622.00	\$4,943.11	<b>\$25,101.04</b>
<b>June</b>	\$10,638.00	\$1,831.75	\$10,189.16	<b>\$ 22,658.91</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$136,771.68</b>	<b>\$14,713.15</b>	<b>\$81,806.69</b>	<b>\$233,291.52</b>

**CLOSURES BY TYPE**

**TABLE 3-F**

<b>FY 2022</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Ret-CD <sup>6</sup></b>	
Probation	19,020	9,565	4	9	299	1,619	354	2,285
Parole	1,813	696	3	0	19	124	0	146
Other Releases	1,279	1,500	20	0	0	32	0	52
<b>Total</b>	<b>22,112</b>	<b>11,761</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>1,775</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>2,483</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.1%	0.4%	12.8%	71.5%	14.3%	

<b>FY 2021</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Ret-CD <sup>6</sup></b>	
Probation	18,533	10,983	14	2	230	1,359	304	1,909
Parole	2,441	906	2	0	22	135	0	159
Other Releases	1,318	1,483	19	0	0	22	0	41
<b>Total</b>	<b>22,292</b>	<b>13,372</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>1,516</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>2,109</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.7% <sup>✓</sup>	0.1% <sup>✓</sup>	11.9% <sup>✓</sup>	71.9% <sup>✓</sup>	14.4%	

<b>FY 2020</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Ret-CD <sup>6</sup></b>	
Probation	21,935	11,701	12	2	376	1,889	591	2,870
Parole	2,693	871	6	0	25	118	0	149
Other Releases	1,322	1,396	24	0	0	15	0	39
<b>Total</b>	<b>25,950</b>	<b>13,968</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>2,022</b>	<b>591</b>	<b>3,058</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.4% <sup>✓</sup>	0.1% <sup>✓</sup>	13.1% <sup>✓</sup>	66.1% <sup>✓</sup>	19.3%	

<b>FY 2019</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Ret-CD <sup>6</sup></b>	
Probation	24,506	11,792	10	1	427	1,983	511	2,932
Parole	2,804	836	5	0	21	159	0	185
Other Releases	1,372	1,490	27	0	1	33	0	61
<b>Total</b>	<b>28,682</b>	<b>14,118</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>449</b>	<b>2,175</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>3,178</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.3%	0.0%	14.1%	68.4%	16.1%	

<b>FY 2018</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I</b>	<b>JC-I</b>	<b>Rev-C</b>	<b>Rev-T</b>	<b>Ret-CD <sup>6</sup></b>	
Probation	25,039	11,871	13	3	423	1,783	599	2,821
Parole	2,637	675	6	0	28	101	0	135
Other Releases	1,495	1,609	33	0	3	42	0	78
<b>Total</b>	<b>29,171</b>	<b>14,155</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>454</b>	<b>1,926</b>	<b>599</b>	<b>3,034</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.7%	0.1%	15.0%	63.5%	19.7%	

**Footnotes:**

1 Exp-I - Expired Offender in Institution

4 Rev-T - Revoke, Technical Charges

2 JC-I - Judicial Closure in Institution

5 Rev TC - Revoke, Technical Charges & New Charges Pending

3 Rev-C - Revoke, New Conviction

6 Ret-CD Returned - Conditional Discharge

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.



**SECTION G**


**ELECTRONIC  
SURVEILLANCE**

**FISCAL YEAR 2022**

## Electronic Surveillance

The Department utilizes electronic surveillance to monitor certain offenders. On June 8, 2006, Jessie's Law, a bill aimed at protecting the state's children through tougher penalties for sexual predators was signed into law with an effective date of July 1, 2006. Named after Jessica Marie Lunsford -- who was murdered in 2005 by a registered sex offender in Florida -- the law imposes a mandatory minimum of 25 years in prison for sexual predators and mandates active Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) monitoring for sex offenders convicted of certain offenses. GPS can pinpoint within 15 meters a person's position on Earth using 24 satellites in orbit at 11,000 nautical miles above the Earth. The satellites are owned and operated by the U. S. Department of Defense and continuously transmit signals which can be detected by anyone possessing a GPS receiver. The use of Active-GPS enhances public safety and provides a more modern and efficient way to ensure accountability and enforce home detention and curfews for those offenders requiring a heightened supervision strategy. Of the 938 offenders on Active GPS on June 9, 2022, 693 (74%) offenders were on GPS under Jessie's Law.

**FIGURE 7**  
**OFFENDERS ON ACTIVE GPS**  
**FISCAL YEAR 2022**



**SECTION H**

**SUMMARY**

**FISCAL YEAR  
2022**

## SUMMARY

Table 1-H shows drug testing activity during FY 2022. This table represents the number of individual offenders tested (14,787), the number of individuals testing positive (6,053), the total number of positive tests (11,934) and the number of times offenders were tested (19,214).

Table 2-H summarizes the population characteristics of SCDPPPS offenders by supervision programs as well as offender involvement in drug testing.

### Population Overview:

- The proportion of violent offenses among parole (26%) and probation (4%) admissions in FY 2022 differed from the violent offenses among parole (21%) and probation (5%) admissions in FY 2021.
- Overall, the most utilized level of supervision was standard (55%), followed by high (20%), medium (15%), domestic violence (5%), sex offender (3%), and intensive (1%) for all active cases. Less than 1% were supervised under mental health supervision.
- The overall success rate for all closures was 83%. The overall success rate for parolees is 83% and probationers is 81% for FY 2022.
- Of the 14,787 offenders tested for drug use while under supervision, 6,053 or 2% tested positive for drugs.

Figure 9 compares the number of admissions for each fiscal year from 2003 to 2022.

**TABLE 1-H  
OFFENDER DRUG TESTING**

COUNTY	INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	TOTAL NUMBER OF POSITIVE TESTS	NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS WERE TESTED
ABBEVILLE	84	47	56%	97	94
AIKEN	326	156	48%	335	425
ALLEDALE	27	21	78%	44	31
ANDERSON	341	147	43%	256	384
BAMBERG	40	21	53%	41	53
BARNWELL	61	22	36%	38	69
BEAUFORT	275	120	44%	201	535
BERKELEY	488	174	36%	319	512
CALHOUN	49	16	33%	39	52
CHARLESTON	1011	346	34%	600	1285
CHEROKEE	524	224	43%	457	858
CHESTER	86	45	52%	81	328
CHESTERFIELD	106	60	57%	154	150
CLARENDON	73	16	22%	25	89
COLLETON	164	84	51%	159	200
DARLINGTON	133	85	64%	194	153
DILLON	68	5	7%	10	72
DORCHESTER	60	12	20%	25	108
EDGEFIELD	131	59	45%	112	157
FAIRFIELD	107	37	35%	50	111
FLORENCE	407	141	35%	284	486
GEORGETOWN	165	52	32%	104	227
GREENVILLE	1851	830	45%	1659	2135
GREENWOOD	358	139	39%	257	472
HAMPTON	52	13	25%	17	60
HORRY	814	219	27%	411	923
JASPER	102	17	17%	33	279
KERSHAW	140	52	37%	70	145
LANCASTER	243	100	41%	182	290
LAURENS	346	129	37%	320	546
LEE	57	16	28%	22	59
LEXINGTON	915	449	49%	1079	1323
MCCORMICK	37	15	41%	16	37
MARION	95	34	36%	55	108
MARLBORO	98	35	36%	101	127
NEWBERRY	144	44	31%	112	211
OCONEE	428	215	50%	534	559
ORANGEBURG	405	111	27%	187	527
PICKENS	463	158	34%	339	524
RICHLAND	961	439	46%	729	1255
SALUDA	57	20	35%	39	70
SPARTANBURG	1079	445	41%	919	1459
SUMTER	399	201	50%	301	439
UNION	174	70	40%	154	226
WILLIAMSBURG	79	24	30%	41	82
YORK	754	384	51%	724	966
CENTRAL	10	4	40%	8	13
					0
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>14,787</b>	<b>6,053</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>11,934</b>	<b>19,214</b>

**TABLE 2-H  
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS**

<b>ADMISSIONS</b>								
CATEGORY	Probation		Parole		Other		Total	
	FY 21	FY 22	FY 21	FY 22	FY 21	FY 22	FY 21	FY 22
<b><u>RACE:</u></b>								
BLACK	44%	42%	47%	47%	59%	60%	46%	44%
WHITE	54%	55%	51%	51%	37%	38%	52%	53%
OTHER	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%
<b><u>GENDER:</u></b>								
MALE	77%	76%	85%	85%	94%	96%	80%	78%
FEMALE	23%	24%	15%	15%	6%	6%	20%	22%
<b><u>OFFENSE TYPE:</u></b>								
VIOLENT	5%	4%	21%	26%	57%	67%	13%	11%
NON-VIOLENT	95%	96%	79%	74%	43%	38%	87%	89%


<b>ACTIVES</b>								
LEVEL OF SUPERVISION:	Probation		Parole		Other		Total	
	FY 21	FY 22	FY 21	FY 22	FY 21	FY 22	FY 21	FY 22
STANDARD	55%	54%	64%	64%	50%	49%	56%	55%
MEDIUM	15%	16%	13%	13%	17%	16%	15%	15%
HIGH	21%	21%	17%	17%	19%	20%	21%	20%
INTENSIVE	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
SEX OFFENDER	3%	2%	5%	5%	12%	11%	3%	3%
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	6%	6%	0%	0%	1%	1%	5%	5%
MENTAL HEALTH	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%

<b>CLOSURES</b>								
CASE OUTCOME:	Probation		Parole		Other		Total	
	FY 21	FY 22	FY 21	FY 22	FY 21	FY 22	FY 21	FY 22
SUCCESSFUL	85%	81%	85%	83%	97%	97%	86%	83%
UNSUCCESSFUL	15%	19%	15%	17%	3%	3%	14%	17%

<b>DRUG TESTING</b>		
	FY 21	FY 22
INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	5,750	14,787
INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	1,832	6,053
PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	31.86%	40.93%
TOTAL POSITIVE TESTS	3,263	11,934
NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS TESTED	7,008	19,214

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**FIGURE 9**  
**ADMISSIONS: A 20-YEAR COMPARISON**





**1<sup>st</sup> Printing/SCDPPPS**  
**15 copies of this document were published: \$5.07 per copy/\$76.05 total.**