



**South Carolina Department  
of Probation, Parole and  
Pardon Services**

**ANNUAL  
STATISTICAL  
REPORT**

**FISCAL YEAR 2017**

**JERRY B. ADGER  
AGENCY DIRECTOR**

## **Proprietary Disclaimer**

**This document (including all data and statistical information represented herein) was compiled and reported by the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services. Any reproduction or republication of said report, data, or statistics is permitted only if reproduced in its entirety without modification, or omission, and must identify the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services as the source.**

***Jerry B. Adger, Director***

**Post Office Box 50666  
Columbia, South Carolina 29250  
803-734-9220  
[www.dppps.sc.gov](http://www.dppps.sc.gov)**

## **Research and Evaluation**

**LaQuenta Weldon, Statistical and Research Analyst  
Marchar Stagg, Senior Statistical and Research Analyst**

## TO THE READER

Fiscal Year 2017 (FY 2017) began July 1, 2016 and ended June 30, 2017. This report provides a statistical representation of the work of 652 employees of the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services (SCDPPPS). During the fiscal year, 77% of our probationers and 81% of our parolees successfully completed supervision.

The Department operates its offender programs within a clear framework of public safety in supervising the 52,194 offenders under our legal jurisdiction. Legal jurisdiction includes offenders who were transferred out of state, absconded with active warrants, and others who are not under the active day-to-day supervision. At the end of the fiscal year, 29,644 offenders were under active supervision of the Department. The description of active supervision represents only those offenders who had at least one active case on June 30, 2017. Our responses to offender risks and needs in the community are focused to address present or potential problems that may interfere with the successful completion of supervision without compromising public safety. We maintain a fundamental belief that given support, resources, and service interventions, the offender has the ability to make positive changes in his or her life.

The following tables provide a description of the offender population and answer some commonly asked questions regarding the Department's programmatic efforts. Each table is preceded by a short description of its contents. The reader should be aware that there are different ways of reporting units of data depending upon the purpose. Admissions include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. Closures information reflects only the last order to close during the fiscal year.

In addition, due to rounding, some of the totals will not equal 100%. For additional information or clarification, please contact LaQuenta Weldon in Research and Evaluation at 803-734-4057.

## EXPLANATION OF PROGRAM TYPES

**Probation:** Includes Probation, Conditional Discharged to Probation, Probation Terminated Upon Payment (PTUP), Split Probation (admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison), Monitor for the Court, and Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity (NGRI).

**Parole:** Includes Parole.

**Other:** Includes YOA, Community Supervision Program, Supervised Furlough-2, Supervised Furlough-2A, Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and early release program cases.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## SECTION A---TOTAL POPULATION

TABLE 1-A	Total Admissions by Program Type.....	8
TABLE 2-A	Total Admissions by Type of Offense .....	9
TABLE 3-A	Total Admissions by Age .....	10
TABLE 4-A	Total Admissions by Gender & Race.....	11
FIGURE 1	Total Admissions by Gender & Race.....	12
TABLE 5-A	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	13
FIGURE 2	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	14
TABLE 6-A	Total Closures by Type.....	15

## SECTION B---PROBATION

TABLE 1-B	Probation Admissions by Type of Offense .....	18
TABLE 2-B	Probation Admissions by Gender & Race .....	19
TABLE 3-B	Probation Admissions by Age .....	20
TABLE 4-B	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	21
FIGURE 3	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	22
TABLE 5-B	Probation Closures by Type.....	23

## SECTION C---PAROLE

TABLE 1-C	Parole Admissions by Type of Offense.....	26
TABLE 2-C	Parole Admissions by Gender & Race .....	27
TABLE 3-C	Parole Admissions by Age .....	28
TABLE 4-C	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	29
FIGURE 4	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	30
TABLE 5-C	Parole Closures by Type.....	31

## SECTION D---OTHER

TABLE 1-D	Other Admissions by Type of Offense .....	34
TABLE 2-D	Other Admissions by Gender & Race.....	35
TABLE 3-D	Other Admissions by Age .....	36
TABLE 4-D	Active Other Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	37
FIGURE 5	Active Other Offenders by Level of Supervision .....	38
TABLE 5-D	Other Closures by Type .....	39

## SECTION E---SEX OFFENDERS

TABLE 1-E	Active Sex Offenders .....	42
FUGURE 6	Sex Offenders by Supervision Status .....	43

## SECTION F---VIOLATIONS

TABLE 1-F	Violations by County .....	46
TABLE 2-F	Closures by Type .....	47
TABLE 3-F	Administrative Hearing Collections .....	48

## SECTION G---ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE

FIGURE 7	Offenders on Active GPS .....	51
----------	-------------------------------	----

## SECTION H---SUMMARY

FIGURE 8	Monthly DNA Collections .....	54
TABLE 1-H	Offender Drug Testing .....	55
TABLE 2-H	Population Characteristics .....	56
FIGURE 9	Admissions: A 20-Year Comparison .....	57

**SECTION A**

**TOTAL  
POPULATION**

**FISCAL YEAR 2017**

## TOTAL POPULATION

Tables 1-A through 4-A and Figure 1 represent the total admissions to the SCDPPPS during FY 2017. These tables count admissions to a particular sanction, and include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. These tables also include only the main case even though an offender may have been admitted with more than one case. In FY 2017, there were 17,615 admissions. A state and county total is provided for each category of admission. Within the racial categories, due to the small number of offenders classified as "Asian, Hispanic, Native American, or Other", they have been grouped together and classified as "Other".

- Table 1-A provides information on total admissions by program type. Charleston, Greenville, Richland and Spartanburg counties had the greatest number of total admissions, together accounting for 34% of all admissions.
- Table 2-A presents information on total admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. Total admissions during the fiscal year were predominately non-violent with only 10% admissions for violent offenses.
- Table 3-A describes offender admissions by age category. Majority of those admitted during FY 2017 (79%) were 25 years or older at time of admission.
- Table 4-A and Figure 1 illustrate total admissions by gender and race. Admissions overall continue to be predominately male at 78%, with a racial composition of 47% black, 51% white, and 2% of other races.

Table 5-A and Figure 2 describe all active offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2017. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. The level of supervision determines how often the Agent has contact with the offender. Standard risk supervision accounted for 62% of the active population, 13% were medium risk, and 21% were high risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 2% and sex offender supervision accounted for 3% of all active offenders.

Table 6-A shows total closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2017 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for all offenders closing during FY 2017 was 79%. The unsuccessful rate, 21%, is defined as those offenders whose supervision was revoked due to a technical violation or new offense.

***Note: Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.***

**TABLE 1-A  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY PROGRAM TYPE**

COUNTY	PROBATION	PERCENT PROBATION	PAROLE	PERCENT PAROLE	OTHER	PERCENT OTHER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	94	89%	6	6%	6	6%	106
AIKEN	390	81%	47	10%	45	9%	482
ALLENDALE	23	82%	1	4%	4	14%	28
ANDERSON	550	90%	22	4%	42	7%	614
BAMBERG	42	89%	0	0%	5	11%	47
BARNWELL	55	79%	6	9%	9	13%	70
BEAUFORT	228	87%	8	3%	27	10%	263
BERKELEY	559	88%	37	6%	41	6%	637
CALHOUN	48	84%	2	4%	7	12%	57
CHARLESTON	1185	85%	80	6%	128	9%	1,393
CHEROKEE	396	88%	21	5%	32	7%	449
CHESTER	102	82%	8	6%	14	11%	124
CHESTERFIELD	53	77%	8	12%	8	12%	69
CLARENDON	130	90%	4	3%	11	8%	145
COLLETON	155	86%	11	6%	15	8%	181
DARLINGTON	124	74%	21	13%	22	13%	167
DILLON	84	78%	12	11%	12	11%	108
DORCHESTER	359	87%	29	7%	24	6%	412
EDGEFIELD	95	86%	5	5%	10	9%	110
FAIRFIELD	98	86%	10	9%	6	5%	114
FLORENCE	420	80%	46	9%	59	11%	525
GEORGETOWN	127	71%	15	8%	36	20%	178
GREENVILLE	1706	88%	90	5%	153	8%	1,949
GREENWOOD	271	86%	18	6%	27	9%	316
HAMPTON	56	81%	6	9%	7	10%	69
HORRY	661	79%	75	9%	104	12%	840
JASPER	123	89%	7	5%	8	6%	138
KERSHAW	217	83%	21	8%	23	9%	261
LANCASTER	250	88%	16	6%	17	6%	283
LAURENS	295	87%	14	4%	29	9%	338
LEE	45	78%	5	9%	8	14%	58
LEXINGTON	601	77%	71	9%	104	13%	776
McCORMICK	45	90%	3	6%	2	4%	50
MARION	100	72%	13	9%	25	18%	138
MARLBORO	52	68%	8	10%	17	22%	77
NEWBERRY	146	91%	7	4%	8	5%	161
OCONEE	299	93%	8	2%	14	4%	321
ORANGEBURG	334	85%	27	7%	34	9%	395
PICKENS	552	93%	18	3%	26	4%	596
RICHLAND	787	74%	87	8%	189	18%	1,063
SALUDA	86	83%	4	4%	13	13%	103
SPARTANBURG	1301	82%	138	9%	149	9%	1,588
SUMTER	359	79%	40	9%	56	12%	455
UNION	143	83%	17	10%	12	7%	172
WILLIAMSBURG	126	86%	7	5%	14	10%	147
YORK	782	83%	61	6%	97	10%	940
TRANSITIONAL	31	30%	24	24%	47	46%	102
STATE TOTAL	14,685	83%	1,184	7%	1,746	10%	17,615

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.



**TABLE 2-A  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	5	5%	101	95%	106
AIKEN	59	12%	423	88%	482
ALLENDALE	3	11%	25	89%	28
ANDERSON	63	10%	551	90%	614
BAMBERG	5	11%	42	89%	47
BARNWELL	7	10%	63	90%	70
BEAUFORT	28	11%	235	89%	263
BERKELEY	49	8%	588	92%	637
CALHOUN	10	18%	47	82%	57
CHARLESTON	120	9%	1273	91%	1393
CHEROKEE	29	6%	420	94%	449
CHESTER	9	7%	115	93%	124
CHESTERFIELD	6	9%	63	91%	69
CLARENDON	4	3%	141	97%	145
COLLETON	17	9%	164	91%	181
DARLINGTON	14	8%	153	92%	167
DILLON	8	7%	100	93%	108
DORCHESTER	35	8%	377	92%	412
EDGEFIELD	11	10%	99	90%	110
FAIRFIELD	10	9%	104	91%	114
FLORENCE	41	8%	484	92%	525
GEORGETOWN	24	13%	154	87%	178
GREENVILLE	201	10%	1748	90%	1949
GREENWOOD	14	4%	302	96%	316
HAMPTON	7	10%	62	90%	69
HORRY	84	10%	756	90%	840
JASPER	6	4%	132	96%	138
KERSHAW	23	9%	238	91%	261
LANCASTER	24	8%	259	92%	283
LAURENS	25	7%	313	93%	338
LEE	4	7%	54	93%	58
LEXINGTON	109	14%	667	86%	776
McCORMICK	5	10%	45	90%	50
MARION	15	11%	123	89%	138
MARLBORO	13	17%	64	83%	77
NEWBERRY	11	7%	150	93%	161
OCONEE	21	7%	300	93%	321
ORANGEBURG	52	13%	343	87%	395
PICKENS	35	6%	561	94%	596
RICHLAND	195	18%	868	82%	1063
SALUDA	9	9%	94	91%	103
SPARTANBURG	179	11%	1409	89%	1588
SUMTER	41	9%	414	91%	455
UNION	6	3%	166	97%	172
WILLIAMSBURG	16	11%	131	89%	147
YORK	103	11%	837	89%	940
TRANSITIONAL	47	46%	55	54%	102
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,802</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>15,813</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>17,615</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-A  
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	18	17%	88	83%
AIKEN	85	18%	397	82%
ALLENDALE	3	11%	25	89%
ANDERSON	114	19%	500	81%
BAMBERG	11	23%	36	77%
BARNWELL	22	31%	48	69%
BEAUFORT	72	27%	191	73%
BERKELEY	135	21%	502	79%
CALHOUN	11	19%	46	81%
CHARLESTON	268	19%	1125	81%
CHEROKEE	77	17%	372	83%
CHESTER	25	20%	99	80%
CHESTERFIELD	24	35%	45	65%
CLARENDON	42	29%	103	71%
COLLETON	49	27%	132	73%
DARLINGTON	37	22%	130	78%
DILLON	32	30%	76	70%
DORCHESTER	109	26%	303	74%
EDGEFIELD	29	26%	81	74%
FAIRFIELD	22	19%	92	81%
FLORENCE	121	23%	404	77%
GEORGETOWN	46	26%	132	74%
GREENVILLE	304	16%	1645	84%
GREENWOOD	73	23%	243	77%
HAMPTON	20	29%	49	71%
HORRY	189	23%	651	78%
JASPER	38	28%	100	72%
KERSHAW	66	25%	195	75%
LANCASTER	53	19%	230	81%
LAURENS	61	18%	277	82%
LEE	16	28%	42	72%
LEXINGTON	132	17%	644	83%
McCORMICK	8	16%	42	84%
MARION	38	28%	100	72%
MARLBORO	27	35%	50	65%
NEWBERRY	54	34%	107	66%
OCONEE	53	17%	268	83%
ORANGEBURG	83	21%	312	79%
PICKENS	108	18%	488	82%
RICHLAND	273	26%	790	74%
SALUDA	20	19%	83	81%
SPARTANBURG	279	18%	1309	82%
SUMTER	108	24%	347	76%
UNION	37	22%	135	78%
WILLIAMSBURG	28	19%	119	81%
YORK	222	24%	718	76%
TRANSITIONAL	14	14%	88	86%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>3,656</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>13,959</b>	<b>79%</b>


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-A**  
**TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**  
**N=17,615**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	74%	26%	35%	1%	64%
AIKEN	78%	22%	39%	1%	60%
ALLENDALE	79%	21%	82%	0%	18%
ANDERSON	75%	25%	27%	2%	71%
BAMBERG	96%	4%	57%	0%	43%
BARNWELL	87%	13%	54%	0%	46%
BEAUFORT	83%	17%	54%	7%	39%
BERKELEY	80%	20%	39%	1%	60%
CALHOUN	88%	12%	61%	4%	35%
CHARLESTON	83%	17%	64%	1%	35%
CHEROKEE	74%	26%	28%	2%	70%
CHESTER	81%	19%	53%	1%	46%
CHESTERFIELD	77%	23%	43%	3%	54%
CLARENDON	82%	18%	63%	3%	34%
COLLETON	77%	23%	46%	2%	52%
DARLINGTON	78%	22%	58%	0%	42%
DILLON	78%	22%	55%	6%	40%
DORCHESTER	82%	18%	43%	2%	55%
EDGEFIELD	79%	21%	59%	2%	39%
FAIRFIELD	89%	11%	75%	0%	25%
FLORENCE	75%	25%	66%	1%	33%
GEORGETOWN	85%	15%	59%	2%	39%
GREENVILLE	75%	25%	39%	4%	57%
GREENWOOD	80%	20%	51%	3%	47%
HAMPTON	90%	10%	80%	0%	20%
HORRY	77%	23%	34%	2%	64%
JASPER	78%	22%	73%	4%	23%
KERSHAW	78%	22%	43%	1%	56%
LANCASTER	73%	27%	37%	1%	62%
LAURENS	78%	22%	37%	2%	61%
LEE	86%	14%	81%	0%	19%
LEXINGTON	81%	19%	30%	2%	68%
McCORMICK	76%	24%	68%	0%	32%
MARION	86%	14%	82%	1%	17%
MARLBORO	86%	14%	71%	4%	25%
NEWBERRY	83%	17%	61%	1%	38%
OCONEE	69%	31%	13%	2%	85%
ORANGEBURG	81%	19%	75%	1%	25%
PICKENS	74%	26%	14%	1%	85%
RICHLAND	83%	17%	76%	1%	23%
SALUDA	79%	21%	47%	2%	51%
SPARTANBURG	73%	27%	38%	3%	60%
SUMTER	82%	18%	75%	1%	25%
UNION	65%	35%	36%	1%	63%
WILLIAMSBURG	86%	14%	74%	1%	25%
YORK	78%	22%	40%	2%	58%
TRANSITIONAL	91%	9%	56%	4%	40%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>51%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


**FIGURE 1**  
**TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**  
**FISCAL YEAR 2017**



**TABLE 5-A  
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	68%	11%	17%	2%	2%	0%	192
AIKEN	69%	11%	16%	1%	2%	0%	1,000
ALLENDALE	87%	7%	2%	0%	4%	0%	82
ANDERSON	59%	10%	27%	1%	3%	0%	1,432
BAMBERG	69%	12%	15%	0%	3%	0%	91
BARNWELL	76%	7%	10%	1%	6%	0%	143
BEAUFORT	81%	8%	8%	0%	3%	0%	298
BERKELEY	58%	10%	27%	2%	4%	0%	1,037
CALHOUN	82%	3%	7%	2%	6%	0%	99
CHARLESTON	48%	18%	32%	1%	2%	0%	2,450
CHEROKEE	68%	15%	14%	2%	2%	0%	731
CHESTER	58%	11%	26%	1%	4%	0%	185
CHESTERFIELD	67%	15%	13%	1%	4%	0%	129
CLARENDON	67%	9%	22%	0%	2%	0%	231
COLLETON	56%	11%	29%	0%	3%	0%	334
DARLINGTON	75%	8%	12%	3%	2%	0%	379
DILLON	84%	8%	5%	0%	3%	0%	141
DORCHESTER	64%	11%	21%	1%	4%	0%	689
EDGEFIELD	70%	13%	12%	2%	4%	0%	182
FAIRFIELD	63%	11%	23%	1%	2%	0%	201
FLORENCE	69%	12%	14%	2%	3%	0%	804
GEORGETOWN	66%	9%	20%	1%	4%	0%	268
GREENVILLE	54%	18%	24%	1%	2%	0%	3,488
GREENWOOD	72%	11%	14%	1%	1%	0%	541
HAMPTON	60%	12%	24%	1%	4%	0%	130
HORRY	77%	9%	9%	0%	5%	0%	1,238
JASPER	62%	16%	18%	0%	3%	0%	173
KERSHAW	58%	16%	20%	2%	4%	0%	378
LANCASTER	70%	14%	14%	1%	1%	0%	370
LAURENS	62%	15%	20%	2%	2%	0%	493
LEE	71%	9%	17%	2%	2%	0%	115
LEXINGTON	62%	13%	20%	4%	2%	0%	1,241
McCORMICK	65%	8%	21%	3%	3%	0%	75
MARION	81%	10%	5%	2%	3%	0%	196
MARLBORO	69%	15%	12%	0%	4%	0%	106
NEWBERRY	62%	12%	21%	4%	0%	0%	212
OCONEE	67%	10%	19%	2%	3%	0%	578
ORANGEBURG	67%	7%	25%	1%	1%	0%	986
PICKENS	53%	13%	31%	1%	2%	0%	999
RICHLAND	54%	15%	25%	3%	2%	0%	2,046
SALUDA	69%	12%	15%	1%	3%	0%	123
SPARTANBURG	59%	16%	20%	2%	3%	0%	2,611
SUMTER	70%	13%	14%	1%	3%	0%	705
UNION	70%	16%	12%	1%	1%	0%	244
WILLIAMSBURG	64%	8%	25%	2%	2%	0%	266
YORK	66%	11%	14%	2%	2%	5%	1,215
TRANSITIONAL	12%	0%	88%	0%	0%	0%	17
STATE TOTAL	62%	13%	21%	2%	3%	0%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	18,285	3,899	6,202	445	749	64	29,644
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.							

**FIGURE 2  
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION  
JUNE 30, 2017**



**CONTACT STANDARDS**

**STANDARD**

- One Progress Report every quarter
- Following the initial home contact, additional field contacts will be conducted in the event of community complaints or a violation investigation

**MEDIUM**

- One Progress report every other month
- One additional progress report must be conducted every six months as a Field Visit or Home Visit

**HIGH**

- One Progress Report Every Other Month
- An additional progress report must be conducted as a Field Visit or Home Visit every quarter

**INTENSIVE**

- Two Progress Reports per Month
- One of the two progress reports must be conducted as a Field Visit or Home Visit

**TABLE 6-A  
TOTAL CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	100	96%	4	4%
AIKEN	257	73%	95	27%
ALLENDALE	29	88%	4	12%
ANDERSON	449	77%	133	23%
BAMBERG	27	77%	8	23%
BARNWELL	54	73%	20	27%
BEAUFORT	227	83%	48	17%
BERKELEY	369	81%	86	19%
CALHOUN	41	89%	5	11%
CHARLESTON	1096	86%	179	14%
CHEROKEE	339	74%	118	26%
CHESTER	111	77%	34	23%
CHESTERFIELD	67	93%	5	7%
CLARENDON	106	88%	15	12%
COLLETON	121	77%	36	23%
DARLINGTON	153	81%	37	19%
DILLON	116	89%	15	11%
DORCHESTER	238	68%	111	32%
EDGEFIELD	81	85%	14	15%
FAIRFIELD	76	82%	17	18%
FLORENCE	371	74%	132	26%
GEORGETOWN	109	77%	33	23%
GREENVILLE	1463	78%	420	22%
GREENWOOD	313	84%	59	16%
HAMPTON	45	85%	8	15%
HORRY	466	77%	137	23%
JASPER	97	86%	16	14%
KERSHAW	167	78%	47	22%
LANCASTER	188	90%	21	10%
LAURENS	247	83%	50	17%
LEE	56	88%	8	13%
LEXINGTON	482	77%	147	23%
MCCORMICK	22	71%	9	29%
MARION	116	89%	15	11%
MARLBORO	60	85%	11	15%
NEWBERRY	106	82%	24	18%
OCONEE	204	81%	48	19%
ORANGEBURG	310	79%	80	21%
PICKENS	367	76%	118	24%
RICHLAND	950	77%	280	23%
SALUDA	53	82%	12	18%
SPARTANBURG	1050	69%	472	31%
SUMTER	346	81%	81	19%
UNION	151	81%	36	19%
WILLIAMSBURG	99	78%	28	22%
YORK	624	86%	105	14%
TRANSITIONAL	346	99%	2	1%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>12,865</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>3,383</b>	<b>21%</b>
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.				

**SECTION B**

**PROBATION**

**FISCAL YEAR  
2017**



## PROBATION

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders placed on probation by the Court. Probation is a court-ordered community sanction which suspends the imposition of all or part of the original sentence of incarceration. It requires the offender, under SCDPPPS supervision in the community, to adhere to a set of conditions which limit the offender's freedom, to make reparation to victims if so ordered, and to provide for judicial revocation for violation of those conditions.

Tables 1-B through 3-B represents all probation admissions during FY 2017. Probation includes Probation, PTUP (Probation Terminated upon Payment), Split Probation admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison, Monitor for the Court, and NGRI (Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity).

- Table 1-B shows probation admissions in terms of offense type, violent or non-violent. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. For FY 2017, 4% of all probation admissions were for violent offenses.
- Table 2-B provides information on probation admissions by gender and race. Probation admissions were predominately male (76%) with a racial composition of 54% white, 44% black, and 2% other.
- Table 3-B reflects probation admissions by age category. Majority (78%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-B and Figure 3 describe active probation offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2017. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Standard risk supervision accounted for 62% of the active population, 13% were medium risk, and 21% were high risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1% and sex offender supervision accounted for 2% of all active probation offenders.

Table 5-B provides data for probation closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2017 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for probationers was 77%, slightly lower than the total offender population success rate of 79%.

**TABLE 1-B  
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	1	1%	93	99%	94
AIKEN	27	7%	363	93%	390
ALLENDALE	1	4%	22	96%	23
ANDERSON	28	5%	522	95%	550
BAMBERG	1	2%	41	98%	42
BARNWELL	2	4%	53	96%	55
BEAUFORT	7	3%	221	97%	228
BERKELEY	16	3%	543	97%	559
CALHOUN	2	4%	46	96%	48
CHARLESTON	39	3%	1146	97%	1185
CHEROKEE	14	4%	382	96%	396
CHESTER	3	3%	99	97%	102
CHESTERFIELD	1	2%	52	98%	53
CLARENDON	1	1%	129	99%	130
COLLETON	4	3%	151	97%	155
DARLINGTON	2	2%	122	98%	124
DILLON	2	2%	82	98%	84
DORCHESTER	10	3%	349	97%	359
EDGEFIELD	3	3%	92	97%	95
FAIRFIELD	6	6%	92	94%	98
FLORENCE	12	3%	408	97%	420
GEORGETOWN	4	3%	123	97%	127
GREENVILLE	87	5%	1619	95%	1706
GREENWOOD	3	1%	268	99%	271
HAMPTON	5	9%	51	91%	56
HORRY	22	3%	639	97%	661
JASPER	1	1%	122	99%	123
KERSHAW	8	4%	209	96%	217
LANCASTER	13	5%	237	95%	250
LAURENS	8	3%	287	97%	295
LEE	1	2%	44	98%	45
LEXINGTON	34	6%	567	94%	601
McCORMICK	2	4%	43	96%	45
MARION	3	3%	97	97%	100
MARLBORO	4	8%	48	92%	52
NEWBERRY	4	3%	142	97%	146
OCONEE	11	4%	288	96%	299
ORANGEBURG	22	7%	312	93%	334
PICKENS	18	3%	534	97%	552
RICHLAND	51	6%	736	94%	787
SALUDA	2	2%	84	98%	86
SPARTANBURG	72	6%	1229	94%	1301
SUMTER	15	4%	344	96%	359
UNION	1	1%	142	99%	143
WILLIAMSBURG	5	4%	121	96%	126
YORK	42	5%	740	95%	782
TRANSITIONAL	1	3%	30	97%	31
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>621</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>14,064</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>14,685</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE  
TABLE 2-B**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	72%	28%	36%	1%	63%
AIKEN	76%	24%	36%	2%	63%
ALLENDALE	74%	26%	83%	0%	17%
ANDERSON	73%	27%	26%	2%	72%
BAMBERG	95%	5%	57%	0%	43%
BARNWELL	85%	15%	47%	0%	53%
BEAUFORT	80%	20%	52%	6%	43%
BERKELEY	78%	22%	36%	1%	63%
CALHOUN	85%	15%	65%	2%	33%
CHARLESTON	81%	19%	62%	1%	36%
CHEROKEE	72%	28%	26%	2%	71%
CHESTER	83%	17%	54%	1%	45%
CHESTERFIELD	72%	28%	42%	4%	55%
CLARENDON	82%	18%	60%	3%	37%
COLLETON	74%	26%	43%	3%	55%
DARLINGTON	75%	25%	56%	0%	44%
DILLON	74%	26%	56%	6%	38%
DORCHESTER	79%	21%	42%	2%	56%
EDGEFIELD	77%	23%	55%	2%	43%
FAIRFIELD	88%	12%	77%	0%	23%
FLORENCE	71%	29%	63%	1%	36%
GEORGETOWN	83%	17%	57%	2%	41%
GREENVILLE	74%	26%	38%	4%	58%
GREENWOOD	77%	23%	47%	3%	51%
HAMPTON	88%	13%	77%	0%	23%
HORRY	74%	26%	30%	2%	68%
JASPER	76%	24%	73%	3%	24%
KERSHAW	74%	26%	41%	1%	58%
LANCASTER	72%	28%	37%	0%	63%
LAURENS	76%	24%	36%	2%	62%
LEE	82%	18%	82%	0%	18%
LEXINGTON	78%	22%	29%	2%	69%
McCORMICK	73%	27%	67%	0%	33%
MARION	82%	18%	81%	2%	17%
MARLBORO	81%	19%	77%	4%	19%
NEWBERRY	82%	18%	62%	1%	37%
OCONEE	68%	32%	12%	2%	86%
ORANGEBURG	77%	23%	74%	1%	26%
PICKENS	73%	27%	15%	1%	84%
RICHLAND	80%	20%	73%	1%	26%
SALUDA	78%	22%	47%	1%	52%
SPARTANBURG	69%	31%	35%	3%	63%
SUMTER	80%	20%	73%	1%	27%
UNION	61%	39%	32%	1%	67%
WILLIAMSBURG	84%	16%	73%	0%	27%
YORK	75%	25%	37%	2%	61%
TRANSITIONAL	87%	13%	58%	3%	39%
STATE TOTAL	76%	24%	44%	2%	54%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-B  
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	17	18%	77	82%
AIKEN	75	19%	315	81%
ALLENDALE	3	13%	20	87%
ANDERSON	104	19%	446	81%
BAMBERG	11	26%	31	74%
BARNWELL	20	36%	35	64%
BEAUFORT	64	28%	164	72%
BERKELEY	119	21%	440	79%
CALHOUN	11	23%	37	77%
CHARLESTON	237	20%	948	80%
CHEROKEE	75	19%	321	81%
CHESTER	23	23%	79	77%
CHESTERFIELD	21	40%	32	60%
CLARENDON	40	31%	90	69%
COLLETON	41	26%	114	74%
DARLINGTON	33	27%	91	73%
DILLON	24	29%	60	71%
DORCHESTER	103	29%	256	71%
EDGEFIELD	28	29%	67	71%
FAIRFIELD	20	20%	78	80%
FLORENCE	109	26%	311	74%
GEORGETOWN	37	29%	90	71%
GREENVILLE	288	17%	1418	83%
GREENWOOD	63	23%	208	77%
HAMPTON	14	25%	42	75%
HORRY	170	26%	491	74%
JASPER	33	27%	90	73%
KERSHAW	63	29%	154	71%
LANCASTER	49	20%	201	80%
LAURENS	55	19%	240	81%
LEE	13	29%	32	71%
LEXINGTON	108	18%	493	82%
McCORMICK	6	13%	39	87%
MARION	32	32%	68	68%
MARLBORO	20	38%	32	62%
NEWBERRY	49	34%	97	66%
OCONEE	52	17%	247	83%
ORANGEBURG	72	22%	262	78%
PICKENS	102	18%	450	82%
RICHLAND	231	29%	556	71%
SALUDA	20	23%	66	77%
SPARTANBURG	259	20%	1042	80%
SUMTER	94	26%	265	74%
UNION	35	24%	108	76%
WILLIAMSBURG	26	21%	100	79%
YORK	201	26%	581	74%
TRANSITIONAL	6	19%	25	81%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>3,276</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>11,409</b>	<b>78%</b>


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-B  
ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	67%	13%	18%	1%	1%	0%	168
AIKEN	70%	10%	17%	1%	2%	0%	861
ALLENDALE	92%	7%	1%	0%	0%	0%	73
ANDERSON	59%	10%	27%	1%	3%	0%	1,310
BAMBERG	73%	10%	15%	0%	2%	0%	81
BARNWELL	76%	7%	11%	0%	6%	0%	121
BEAUFORT	80%	8%	8%	0%	4%	0%	250
BERKELEY	59%	9%	26%	2%	3%	0%	921
CALHOUN	85%	3%	7%	2%	3%	0%	91
CHARLESTON	47%	19%	32%	1%	1%	0%	2,138
CHEROKEE	70%	14%	14%	1%	2%	0%	649
CHESTER	57%	13%	26%	1%	3%	0%	154
CHESTERFIELD	67%	17%	12%	0%	4%	0%	100
CLARENDON	67%	9%	22%	0%	1%	0%	208
COLLETON	57%	11%	30%	0%	2%	0%	293
DARLINGTON	77%	8%	12%	1%	2%	0%	319
DILLON	85%	9%	4%	0%	2%	0%	101
DORCHESTER	65%	10%	21%	1%	3%	0%	613
EDGEFIELD	72%	14%	11%	1%	3%	0%	159
FAIRFIELD	63%	10%	23%	2%	2%	0%	180
FLORENCE	72%	11%	14%	1%	2%	0%	672
GEORGETOWN	71%	9%	18%	0%	2%	0%	204
GREENVILLE	54%	19%	25%	1%	2%	0%	3,156
GREENWOOD	73%	12%	14%	1%	1%	0%	493
HAMPTON	61%	11%	25%	0%	4%	0%	114
HORRY	79%	8%	9%	0%	4%	0%	1,012
JASPER	63%	16%	19%	0%	3%	0%	145
KERSHAW	60%	16%	20%	1%	4%	0%	322
LANCASTER	70%	14%	14%	0%	1%	0%	327
LAURENS	62%	15%	19%	2%	2%	0%	434
LEE	76%	8%	15%	1%	0%	0%	98
LEXINGTON	64%	13%	19%	3%	1%	0%	1,052
McCORMICK	67%	7%	21%	3%	1%	0%	67
MARION	85%	10%	3%	1%	1%	0%	153
MARLBORO	69%	15%	13%	0%	4%	0%	80
NEWBERRY	63%	13%	21%	3%	1%	0%	192
OCONEE	68%	9%	19%	1%	2%	0%	539
ORANGEBURG	68%	8%	24%	0%	0%	0%	882
PICKENS	54%	13%	32%	1%	1%	0%	934
RICHLAND	55%	16%	25%	3%	1%	0%	1,665
SALUDA	72%	11%	13%	1%	4%	0%	109
SPARTANBURG	60%	16%	20%	1%	2%	0%	2,270
SUMTER	70%	13%	14%	1%	2%	0%	584
UNION	70%	16%	11%	1%	1%	0%	205
WILLIAMSBURG	64%	8%	24%	1%	2%	0%	219
YORK	67%	10%	15%	1%	1%	6%	1,042
TRANSITIONAL	6%	0%	94%	0%	0%	0%	1600%
STATE TOTAL	62%	13%	21%	1%	2%	0%	---
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	16,080	3,431	5,428	278	495	64	25,776

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**FIGURE 3**  
**ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**  
**JUNE 30, 2017**



**PROBATION CLOSURES BY TYPE**

**TABLE 5-B**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	91	96%	4	4%
AIKEN	204	70%	88	30%
ALLENDALE	25	86%	4	14%
ANDERSON	376	74%	133	26%
BAMBERG	21	75%	7	25%
BARNWELL	35	66%	18	34%
BEAUFORT	207	82%	45	18%
BERKELEY	325	80%	82	20%
CALHOUN	35	88%	5	13%
CHARLESTON	964	85%	170	15%
CHEROKEE	298	73%	112	27%
CHESTER	93	76%	29	24%
CHESTERFIELD	56	97%	2	3%
CLARENDON	86	85%	15	15%
COLLETON	98	75%	33	25%
DARLINGTON	133	79%	35	21%
DILLON	100	88%	13	12%
DORCHESTER	202	68%	96	32%
EDGEFIELD	70	85%	12	15%
FAIRFIELD	65	80%	16	20%
FLORENCE	301	72%	118	28%
GEORGETOWN	61	70%	26	30%
GREENVILLE	1291	76%	412	24%
GREENWOOD	275	84%	52	16%
HAMPTON	35	81%	8	19%
HORRY	335	73%	127	27%
JASPER	86	85%	15	15%
KERSHAW	146	78%	42	22%
LANCASTER	165	89%	20	11%
LAURENS	210	83%	44	17%
LEE	49	86%	8	14%
LEXINGTON	381	73%	139	27%
MCCORMICK	18	69%	8	31%
MARION	96	90%	11	10%
MARLBORO	43	86%	7	14%
NEWBERRY	96	81%	22	19%
OCONEE	184	80%	47	20%
ORANGEBURG	265	78%	76	22%
PICKENS	331	74%	117	26%
RICHLAND	757	75%	252	25%
SALUDA	43	80%	11	20%
SPARTANBURG	862	65%	462	35%
SUMTER	278	79%	74	21%
UNION	133	79%	35	21%
WILLIAMSBURG	74	73%	28	27%
YORK	522	84%	98	16%
TRANSITIONAL	262	99%	2	1%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>10,783</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>3,180</b>	<b>23%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**SECTION C**

**PAROLE**

**FISCAL YEAR  
2017**



## PAROLE

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders paroled by the South Carolina Board of Pardons and Paroles. Parole is the conditional release of an individual from imprisonment, but not from the legal custody of the state, to complete his or her sentence outside a correctional institution under conditions and provisions of supervision determined by the Board. Should an individual be granted parole, he or she must agree to abide by certain conditions of community supervision. The violation of any of these conditions is sufficient grounds for revocation of parole by the Board, and the imposition of the remainder of the original sentence of incarceration.

Tables 1-C through 3-C represents all parole admissions during FY 2017.

- Table 1-C shows parole admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. A larger percent of parole admissions, 17%, fall into the violent category, as compared to 4% for probation admissions (see Table 1-B).
- Table 2-C describes all parole admissions by gender and race. Parole admissions consisted primarily of males (87%) with a racial composition of 50% black, 49% white, and 1% other.
- Table 3-C reflects parole admissions by age category. Majority (81%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-C and Figure 4 describe active parolees by level of supervision on June 30, 2017. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Standard risk supervision accounted for 62% of the active population, 11% were medium risk, and 16% were high risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 7% and sex offender supervision accounted for 4% of all active parole offenders.

Table 5-C provides data for parole closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2017 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for parolees (81%) was higher than that of probationers (77%, see Table 4-B).

**TABLE 1-C  
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	0	0%	6	100%	6
AIKEN	5	11%	42	89%	47
ALLENDALE	0	0%	1	100%	1
ANDERSON	3	14%	19	86%	22
BAMBERG	---	---	---	---	---
BARNWELL	3	50%	3	50%	6
BEAUFORT	2	25%	6	75%	8
BERKELEY	10	27%	27	73%	37
CALHOUN	1	50%	1	50%	2
CHARLESTON	9	11%	71	89%	80
CHEROKEE	1	5%	20	95%	21
CHESTER	2	25%	6	75%	8
CHESTERFIELD	1	13%	7	88%	8
CLARENDON	1	25%	3	75%	4
COLLETON	2	18%	9	82%	11
DARLINGTON	3	14%	18	86%	21
DILLON	1	8%	11	92%	12
DORCHESTER	7	24%	22	76%	29
EDGEFIELD	2	40%	3	60%	5
FAIRFIELD	2	20%	8	80%	10
FLORENCE	8	17%	38	83%	46
GEORGETOWN	2	13%	13	87%	15
GREENVILLE	15	17%	75	83%	90
GREENWOOD	0	0%	18	100%	18
HAMPTON	0	0%	6	100%	6
HORRY	12	16%	63	84%	75
JASPER	1	14%	6	86%	7
KERSHAW	8	38%	13	62%	21
LANCASTER	6	38%	10	63%	16
LAURENS	4	29%	10	71%	14
LEE	1	20%	4	80%	5
LEXINGTON	18	25%	53	75%	71
McCORMICK	1	33%	2	67%	3
MARION	1	8%	12	92%	13
MARLBORO	0	0%	8	100%	8
NEWBERRY	1	14%	6	86%	7
OCONEE	1	13%	7	88%	8
ORANGEBURG	5	19%	22	81%	27
PICKENS	3	17%	15	83%	18
RICHLAND	17	20%	70	80%	87
SALUDA	1	25%	3	75%	4
SPARTANBURG	21	15%	117	85%	138
SUMTER	5	13%	35	88%	40
UNION	2	12%	15	88%	17
WILLIAMSBURG	2	29%	5	71%	7
YORK	11	18%	50	82%	61
TRANSITIONAL	6	25%	18	75%	24
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>977</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>1,184</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-C  
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	67%	33%	0%	0%	100%
AIKEN	79%	21%	49%	0%	51%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
ANDERSON	91%	9%	18%	5%	77%
BAMBERG	---	---	---	---	---
BARNWELL	83%	17%	83%	0%	17%
BEAUFORT	100%	0%	63%	13%	25%
BERKELEY	92%	8%	51%	0%	49%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
CHARLESTON	95%	5%	66%	1%	33%
CHEROKEE	81%	19%	43%	0%	57%
CHESTER	50%	50%	63%	0%	38%
CHESTERFIELD	100%	0%	38%	0%	63%
CLARENDON	75%	25%	75%	0%	25%
COLLETON	91%	9%	27%	0%	73%
DARLINGTON	90%	10%	62%	0%	38%
DILLON	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
DORCHESTER	97%	3%	55%	3%	41%
EDGEFIELD	100%	0%	80%	0%	20%
FAIRFIELD	90%	10%	70%	0%	30%
FLORENCE	89%	11%	72%	0%	28%
GEORGETOWN	80%	20%	47%	0%	53%
GREENVILLE	79%	21%	31%	0%	69%
GREENWOOD	100%	0%	61%	0%	39%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	83%	0%	17%
HORRY	87%	13%	36%	1%	63%
JASPER	100%	0%	71%	14%	14%
KERSHAW	100%	0%	38%	0%	62%
LANCASTER	75%	25%	25%	6%	69%
LAURENS	93%	7%	36%	0%	64%
LEE	100%	0%	80%	0%	20%
LEXINGTON	90%	10%	31%	3%	66%
McCORMICK	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
MARION	92%	8%	85%	0%	15%
MARLBORO	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
NEWBERRY	100%	0%	43%	0%	57%
OCONEE	63%	38%	38%	0%	63%
ORANGEBURG	100%	0%	70%	4%	26%
PICKENS	83%	17%	0%	0%	100%
RICHLAND	83%	17%	66%	2%	32%
SALUDA	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
SPARTANBURG	82%	18%	44%	0%	56%
SUMTER	90%	10%	83%	0%	18%
UNION	82%	18%	53%	0%	47%
WILLIAMSBURG	86%	14%	86%	0%	14%
YORK	85%	15%	48%	0%	52%
TRANSITIONAL	92%	8%	54%	8%	38%
STATE TOTAL	87%	13%	50%	1%	49%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-C  
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 Under</b>	<b>&amp; Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	1	17%	5	83%
AIKEN	8	17%	39	83%
ALLENDALE	0	0%	1	100%
ANDERSON	7	32%	15	68%
BAMBERG	---	---	---	---
BARNWELL	1	17%	5	83%
BEAUFORT	5	63%	3	38%
BERKELEY	15	41%	22	59%
CALHOUN	0	0%	2	100%
CHARLESTON	22	28%	58	73%
CHEROKEE	0	0%	21	100%
CHESTER	1	13%	7	88%
CHESTERFIELD	2	25%	6	75%
CLARENDON	1	25%	3	75%
COLLETON	6	55%	5	45%
DARLINGTON	2	10%	19	90%
DILLON	7	58%	5	42%
DORCHESTER	6	21%	23	79%
EDGEFIELD	1	20%	4	80%
FAIRFIELD	2	20%	8	80%
FLORENCE	7	15%	39	85%
GEORGETOWN	1	7%	14	93%
GREENVILLE	8	9%	82	91%
GREENWOOD	5	28%	13	72%
HAMPTON	4	67%	2	33%
HORRY	7	9%	68	91%
JASPER	4	57%	3	43%
KERSHAW	1	5%	20	95%
LANCASTER	3	19%	13	81%
LAURENS	2	14%	12	86%
LEE	1	20%	4	80%
LEXINGTON	15	21%	56	79%
McCORMICK	2	67%	1	33%
MARION	3	23%	10	77%
MARLBORO	3	38%	5	63%
NEWBERRY	3	43%	4	57%
OCONEE	1	13%	7	88%
ORANGEBURG	6	22%	21	78%
PICKENS	3	17%	15	83%
RICHLAND	23	26%	64	74%
SALUDA	0	0%	4	100%
SPARTANBURG	14	10%	124	90%
SUMTER	4	10%	36	90%
UNION	2	12%	15	88%
WILLIAMSBURG	1	14%	6	86%
YORK	8	13%	53	87%
TRANSITIONAL	6	25%	18	75%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>960</b>	<b>81%</b>


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-C  
ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	73%	0%	20%	7%	0%	15
AIKEN	68%	11%	13%	5%	3%	88
ALLENDALE	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	1
ANDERSON	53%	8%	25%	7%	7%	59
BAMBERG	25%	50%	0%	0%	25%	4
BARNWELL	73%	0%	13%	7%	7%	15
BEAUFORT	86%	7%	7%	0%	0%	28
BERKELEY	57%	12%	26%	0%	5%	65
CALHOUN	75%	0%	25%	0%	0%	4
CHARLESTON	57%	11%	27%	3%	1%	174
CHEROKEE	58%	19%	9%	9%	4%	53
CHESTER	72%	0%	28%	0%	0%	18
CHESTERFIELD	75%	10%	10%	0%	5%	20
CLARENDON	71%	7%	14%	0%	7%	14
COLLETON	45%	18%	27%	5%	5%	22
DARLINGTON	61%	13%	8%	16%	3%	38
DILLON	86%	3%	7%	0%	3%	29
DORCHESTER	57%	15%	19%	6%	2%	47
EDGEFIELD	69%	6%	13%	6%	6%	16
FAIRFIELD	62%	23%	15%	0%	0%	13
FLORENCE	62%	8%	8%	16%	6%	86
GEORGETOWN	59%	6%	19%	6%	9%	32
GREENVILLE	64%	11%	11%	11%	3%	183
GREENWOOD	74%	3%	12%	6%	6%	34
HAMPTON	50%	25%	17%	8%	0%	12
HORRY	73%	10%	6%	1%	11%	161
JASPER	60%	27%	0%	0%	13%	15
KERSHAW	56%	6%	13%	13%	13%	32
LANCASTER	81%	15%	0%	4%	0%	26
LAURENS	59%	19%	22%	0%	0%	27
LEE	50%	10%	20%	10%	10%	10
LEXINGTON	53%	6%	24%	13%	5%	102
McCORMICK	33%	17%	33%	0%	17%	6
MARION	80%	4%	4%	8%	4%	25
MARLBORO	84%	11%	0%	0%	5%	19
NEWBERRY	42%	0%	42%	17%	0%	12
OCONEE	57%	14%	14%	5%	10%	21
ORANGEBURG	55%	5%	35%	4%	2%	55
PICKENS	43%	11%	17%	20%	9%	35
RICHLAND	58%	11%	19%	11%	3%	200
SALUDA	50%	17%	33%	0%	0%	6
SPARTANBURG	58%	14%	23%	4%	1%	205
SUMTER	76%	7%	11%	1%	5%	76
UNION	81%	15%	4%	0%	0%	27
WILLIAMSBURG	64%	4%	20%	8%	4%	25
YORK	66%	10%	12%	9%	3%	116
TRANSITIONAL	---	---	---	---	---	---
STATE TOTAL	62%	11%	16%	7%	4%	---
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	1,419	241	370	149	92	2,271

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**FIGURE 4**  
**ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**  
**JUNE 30, 2017**



**PAROLE CLOSURES BY TYPE  
TABLE 5-C**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	---	---	---	---
AIKEN	14	70%	6	30%
ALLENDALE	---	---	---	---
ANDERSON	16	100%	0	0%
BAMBERG	3	100%	0	0%
BARNWELL	7	88%	1	13%
BEAUFORT	5	83%	1	17%
BERKELEY	12	86%	2	14%
CALHOUN	---	---	---	---
CHARLESTON	25	89%	3	11%
CHEROKEE	15	83%	3	17%
CHESTER	4	80%	1	20%
CHESTERFIELD	1	33%	2	67%
CLARENDON	8	100%	0	0%
COLLETON	2	50%	2	50%
DARLINGTON	8	89%	1	11%
DILLON	5	71%	2	29%
DORCHESTER	5	42%	7	58%
EDGEFIELD	2	67%	1	33%
FAIRFIELD	4	100%	0	0%
FLORENCE	20	69%	9	31%
GEORGETOWN	10	63%	6	38%
GREENVILLE	46	94%	3	6%
GREENWOOD	10	63%	6	38%
HAMPTON	2	100%	0	0%
HORRY	36	84%	7	16%
JASPER	2	67%	1	33%
KERSHAW	4	44%	5	56%
LANCASTER	5	100%	0	0%
LAURENS	9	75%	3	25%
LEE	1	100%	0	0%
LEXINGTON	25	86%	4	14%
MCCORMICK	3	75%	1	25%
MARION	8	73%	3	27%
MARLBORO	4	50%	4	50%
NEWBERRY	4	80%	1	20%
OCONEE	7	88%	1	13%
ORANGEBURG	9	75%	3	25%
PICKENS	10	100%	0	0%
RICHLAND	38	75%	13	25%
SALUDA	1	50%	1	50%
SPARTANBURG	48	84%	9	16%
SUMTER	18	86%	3	14%
UNION	5	100%	0	0%
WILLIAMSBURG	7	100%	0	0%
YORK	25	86%	4	14%
TRANSITIONAL	18	100%	0	0%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>19%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**SECTION  
D**

**OTHER**

**FISCAL YEAR  
2017**



## OTHER

The “other” category includes YOA, Community Supervision Program, Supervised Furlough-2 (SF-2), Supervised Furlough-2A (SF-2A), Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and Supervised Reentry Program (SRP) cases.

- **YOA** refers to inmates aged 17 through 24, sentenced under the South Carolina Youthful Offender Act (YOA) to an indeterminate period of incarceration, not to exceed six years, within the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC). *This program is being taken over by the South Carolina Department of Corrections. SCDPPPS will no longer receive admissions to this program.*
- **Community Supervision Program (CSP)** is a mandatory release program for offenders who have been sentenced to a “No Parole” offense and have served 85% of their sentence at SCDC. Offenders released to the Community Supervision Program have a two-year period of supervision. If at any time they violate the terms of supervision, a Circuit Court Judge may revoke any part of the remaining incarcerative portion of the sentence for up to one year at a time.
- **SF-2** is an early release program which allows certain inmates to be released into the community to serve the last six months of their sentence. The difference between SF2 and SF-2A is most are required to be on Electronic Monitoring for the duration of their furlough under **SF-2A**.
- **DJJ** is a program where SCDPPPS supervises offenders who are at least 17 years of age, but less than 21, who have been adjudicated delinquent by a Family Court and who have been conditionally released from SCDC by the Juvenile Parole Board.
- **SRP** refers to an inmate not required to participate in CSP. Inmates who have been incarcerated for a minimum of two years shall be released to reentry supervision 180 before their release date.

Tables 1-D through 3-D represents all other admissions during FY 2017.

- Table 1-D displays other admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. Fifty-six percent (56%) of all admission in ‘other’ category were violent.
- Table 2-D describes other admissions by gender and race. Admissions were predominately male (94%) and black (65%).
- Table 3-D describes other admissions by age category. Majority (91%) of offenders were 25 years or old at time of admission.

Table 4-D and Figure 5 describe the active population for other release offenders in terms of level of supervision on June 30, 2017. Of the total active other population, 49% were supervised at standard level, 14% at medium, 25% at high, 1% at intensive, and 10% at the sex offender supervision level.

Table 5-D shows 95% of other offenders closing successfully compared to 81% of the parole population (See Table 5-C) and 77% of the probation population (See Table 5-B).

**TABLE 1-D  
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	4	67%	2	33%	6
AIKEN	27	60%	18	40%	45
ALLENDALE	2	50%	2	50%	4
ANDERSON	32	76%	10	24%	42
BAMBERG	4	80%	1	20%	5
BARNWELL	2	22%	7	78%	9
BEAUFORT	19	70%	8	30%	27
BERKELEY	23	56%	18	44%	41
CALHOUN	7	100%	0	0%	7
CHARLESTON	72	56%	56	44%	128
CHEROKEE	14	44%	18	56%	32
CHESTER	4	29%	10	71%	14
CHESTERFIELD	4	50%	4	50%	8
CLARENDON	2	18%	9	82%	11
COLLETON	11	73%	4	27%	15
DARLINGTON	9	41%	13	59%	22
DILLON	5	42%	7	58%	12
DORCHESTER	18	75%	6	25%	24
EDGEFIELD	6	60%	4	40%	10
FAIRFIELD	2	33%	4	67%	6
FLORENCE	21	36%	38	64%	59
GEORGETOWN	18	50%	18	50%	36
GREENVILLE	99	65%	54	35%	153
GREENWOOD	11	41%	16	59%	27
HAMPTON	2	29%	5	71%	7
HORRY	50	48%	54	52%	104
JASPER	4	50%	4	50%	8
KERSHAW	7	30%	16	70%	23
LANCASTER	5	29%	12	71%	17
LAURENS	13	45%	16	55%	29
LEE	2	25%	6	75%	8
LEXINGTON	57	55%	47	45%	104
McCORMICK	2	100%	0	0%	2
MARION	11	44%	14	56%	25
MARLBORO	9	53%	8	47%	17
NEWBERRY	6	75%	2	25%	8
OCONEE	9	64%	5	36%	14
ORANGEBURG	25	74%	9	26%	34
PICKENS	14	54%	12	46%	26
RICHLAND	127	67%	62	33%	189
SALUDA	6	46%	7	54%	13
SPARTANBURG	86	58%	63	42%	149
SUMTER	21	38%	35	63%	56
UNION	3	25%	9	75%	12
WILLIAMSBURG	9	64%	5	36%	14
YORK	50	52%	47	48%	97
TRANSITIONAL	40	85%	7	15%	47
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>974</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>772</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>1,746</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-D  
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
AIKEN	96%	4%	58%	0%	42%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
ANDERSON	93%	7%	43%	2%	55%
BAMBERG	100%	0%	60%	0%	40%
BARNWELL	100%	0%	78%	0%	22%
BEAUFORT	96%	4%	74%	15%	11%
BERKELEY	98%	2%	66%	0%	34%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	57%	14%	29%
CHARLESTON	95%	5%	75%	2%	23%
CHEROKEE	91%	9%	41%	0%	59%
CHESTER	79%	21%	43%	0%	57%
CHESTERFIELD	88%	13%	63%	0%	38%
CLARENDON	91%	9%	100%	0%	0%
COLLETON	93%	7%	93%	0%	7%
DARLINGTON	86%	14%	64%	0%	36%
DILLON	83%	17%	50%	8%	42%
DORCHESTER	100%	0%	38%	0%	63%
EDGEFIELD	90%	10%	90%	0%	10%
FAIRFIELD	100%	0%	67%	0%	33%
FLORENCE	97%	3%	85%	0%	15%
GEORGETOWN	97%	3%	72%	3%	25%
GREENVILLE	90%	10%	58%	4%	39%
GREENWOOD	100%	0%	85%	4%	11%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
HORRY	91%	9%	61%	3%	37%
JASPER	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
KERSHAW	91%	9%	70%	0%	30%
LANCASTER	94%	6%	59%	0%	41%
LAURENS	100%	0%	48%	3%	48%
LEE	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
LEXINGTON	93%	7%	35%	5%	61%
McCORMICK	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
MARION	96%	4%	84%	0%	16%
MARLBORO	94%	6%	65%	6%	29%
NEWBERRY	88%	13%	63%	0%	38%
OCONEE	86%	14%	14%	0%	86%
ORANGEBURG	97%	3%	88%	0%	12%
PICKENS	96%	4%	12%	0%	88%
RICHLAND	95%	5%	91%	1%	8%
SALUDA	77%	23%	46%	8%	46%
SPARTANBURG	93%	7%	60%	4%	36%
SUMTER	93%	7%	82%	2%	16%
UNION	92%	8%	58%	8%	33%
WILLIAMSBURG	100%	0%	79%	7%	14%
YORK	99%	1%	62%	3%	35%
TRANSITIONAL	94%	6%	55%	2%	43%
STATE TOTAL	94%	6%	65%	2%	33%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-D  
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY AGE**


<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Age 24 Under</b>	<b>&amp; Percent 24 &amp; Under</b>	<b>Age 25 &amp; Over</b>	<b>Percent 25 &amp; Over</b>
ABBEVILLE	0	0%	6	100%
AIKEN	2	4%	43	96%
ALLENDALE	0	0%	4	100%
ANDERSON	3	7%	39	93%
BAMBERG	0	0%	5	100%
BARNWELL	1	11%	8	89%
BEAUFORT	3	11%	24	89%
BERKELEY	1	2%	40	98%
CALHOUN	0	0%	7	100%
CHARLESTON	9	7%	119	93%
CHEROKEE	2	6%	30	94%
CHESTER	1	7%	13	93%
CHESTERFIELD	1	13%	7	88%
CLARENDON	1	9%	10	91%
COLLETON	2	13%	13	87%
DARLINGTON	2	9%	20	91%
DILLON	1	8%	11	92%
DORCHESTER	0	0%	24	100%
EDGEFIELD	0	0%	10	100%
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	6	100%
FLORENCE	5	8%	54	92%
GEORGETOWN	8	22%	28	78%
GREENVILLE	8	5%	145	95%
GREENWOOD	5	19%	22	81%
HAMPTON	2	29%	5	71%
HORRY	12	12%	92	88%
JASPER	1	13%	7	88%
KERSHAW	2	9%	21	91%
LANCASTER	1	6%	16	94%
LAURENS	4	14%	25	86%
LEE	2	25%	6	75%
LEXINGTON	9	9%	95	91%
McCORMICK	0	0%	2	100%
MARION	3	12%	22	88%
MARLBORO	4	24%	13	76%
NEWBERRY	2	25%	6	75%
OCONEE	0	0%	14	100%
ORANGEBURG	5	15%	29	85%
PICKENS	3	12%	23	88%
RICHLAND	19	10%	170	90%
SALUDA	0	0%	13	100%
SPARTANBURG	6	4%	143	96%
SUMTER	10	18%	46	82%
UNION	0	0%	12	100%
WILLIAMSBURG	1	7%	13	93%
YORK	13	13%	84	87%
TRANSITIONAL	2	4%	45	96%
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>1,590</b>	<b>91%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-D  
ACTIVE OTHER OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	78%	0%	0%	0%	22%	9
AIKEN	53%	18%	12%	2%	16%	51
ALLENDALE	50%	13%	13%	0%	25%	8
ANDERSON	60%	3%	22%	3%	11%	63
BAMBERG	50%	17%	33%	0%	0%	6
BARNWELL	71%	14%	0%	0%	14%	7
BEAUFORT	75%	10%	10%	0%	5%	20
BERKELEY	45%	12%	39%	0%	4%	51
CALHOUN	25%	0%	0%	0%	75%	4
CHARLESTON	39%	14%	34%	1%	12%	138
CHEROKEE	59%	17%	21%	0%	3%	29
CHESTER	54%	0%	31%	0%	15%	13
CHESTERFIELD	56%	0%	33%	11%	0%	9
CLARENDON	56%	11%	22%	0%	11%	9
COLLETON	58%	0%	26%	0%	16%	19
DARLINGTON	64%	0%	23%	5%	9%	22
DILLON	73%	9%	9%	0%	9%	11
DORCHESTER	48%	14%	21%	0%	17%	29
EDGEFIELD	43%	0%	29%	0%	29%	7
FAIRFIELD	63%	13%	25%	0%	0%	8
FLORENCE	37%	28%	24%	0%	11%	46
GEORGETOWN	44%	13%	34%	0%	9%	32
GREENVILLE	47%	17%	26%	2%	7%	149
GREENWOOD	57%	14%	21%	0%	7%	14
HAMPTON	50%	0%	25%	0%	25%	4
HORRY	57%	18%	17%	0%	8%	65
JASPER	62%	8%	31%	0%	0%	13
KERSHAW	42%	29%	29%	0%	0%	24
LANCASTER	53%	12%	29%	0%	6%	17
LAURENS	59%	13%	22%	0%	6%	32
LEE	43%	14%	29%	0%	14%	7
LEXINGTON	46%	18%	23%	1%	11%	87
McCORMICK	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
MARION	44%	22%	17%	6%	11%	18
MARLBORO	29%	29%	43%	0%	0%	7
NEWBERRY	63%	25%	0%	13%	0%	8
OCONEE	50%	17%	11%	6%	17%	18
ORANGEBURG	63%	6%	22%	2%	6%	49
PICKENS	37%	13%	33%	0%	17%	30
RICHLAND	40%	17%	34%	2%	8%	181
SALUDA	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	8
SPARTANBURG	46%	11%	23%	1%	19%	136
SUMTER	60%	13%	18%	0%	9%	45
UNION	42%	8%	42%	0%	8%	12
WILLIAMSBURG	55%	9%	36%	0%	0%	22
YORK	54%	21%	18%	0%	7%	57
TRANSITIONAL	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1
STATE TOTAL	49%	14%	25%	1%	10%	
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	786	227	404	18	162	1,597
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.						

**FIGURE 5**  
**ACTIVE OTHER OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**  
**JUNE 30, 2017**



**TABLE 5-D  
OTHER CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	9	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	39	98%	1	3%
ALLENDALE	4	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	57	100%	0	0%
BAMBERG	3	75%	1	25%
BARNWELL	12	92%	1	8%
BEAUFORT	15	88%	2	12%
BERKELEY	32	94%	2	6%
CALHOUN	6	100%	0	0%
CHARLESTON	107	95%	6	5%
CHEROKEE	26	90%	3	10%
CHESTER	14	78%	4	22%
CHESTERFIELD	10	91%	1	9%
CLARENDON	12	100%	0	0%
COLLETON	21	95%	1	5%
DARLINGTON	12	92%	1	8%
DILLON	11	100%	0	0%
DORCHESTER	31	79%	8	21%
EDGEFIELD	9	90%	1	10%
FAIRFIELD	7	88%	1	13%
FLORENCE	50	91%	5	9%
GEORGETOWN	38	97%	1	3%
GREENVILLE	126	96%	5	4%
GREENWOOD	28	97%	1	3%
HAMPTON	8	100%	0	0%
HORRY	95	97%	3	3%
JASPER	9	100%	0	0%
KERSHAW	17	100%	0	0%
LANCASTER	18	95%	1	5%
LAURENS	28	90%	3	10%
LEE	6	100%	0	0%
LEXINGTON	76	95%	4	5%
MCCORMICK	1	100%	0	0%
MARION	12	92%	1	8%
MARLBORO	13	100%	0	0%
NEWBERRY	6	86%	1	14%
OCONEE	13	100%	0	0%
ORANGEBURG	36	97%	1	3%
PICKENS	26	96%	1	4%
RICHLAND	155	91%	15	9%
SALUDA	9	100%	0	0%
SPARTANBURG	140	99%	1	1%
SUMTER	50	93%	4	7%
UNION	13	93%	1	7%
WILLIAMSBURG	18	100%	0	0%
YORK	77	96%	3	4%
TRANSITIONAL	66	1	0	0
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,571</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>5%</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**SECTION E**

**SEX  
OFFENDERS**

**FISCAL YEAR 2017**



## SEX OFFENDERS

The Department is responsible for supervising those offenders sentenced to community supervision by the Court of General Sessions or released from incarceration on other supervision programs who have been convicted of sex offenses.

SCDPPPS utilizes the Sex Offender Management Program to supervise those sex offenders who are currently serving an active sentence for a sex offense. For those offenders currently under supervision for an offense that is not a sex offense but who are required to register as a sex offender for a previous offense, SCDPPPS provides general supervision according to the offender's risk assessment score. Table 1-E and Figure 6 compares the number of sex offenders supervised under general supervision (29%) with those in the Sex Offender Management Program (71%).

A male sex offender's level of supervision is determined by his score on the Static-99 risk assessment. The three levels of male sex offender (SO) supervision are SO-High, SO-Intensive, and SO-Containment. Female sex offenders are supervised at the SO-High level of supervision for the entirety of their supervision period.

### SEX OFFENDER CONTACT STANDARDS


SO-HIGH	SO-INTENSIVE	SO-CONTAINMENT
1 Home Visit Every Other Month	1 Home Visit per Month	2 Home Visits per Month
1 Employment Verification per Month	1 Employment Verification per Month	1 Employment Verification per Month
1 Field, Home, or Office Visit per Month	1 Field, Home, or Office Visit per Month	1 Field, Home or Office Visit per Month
1 Treatment Provider Contact per Month	1 Treatment Provider Contact per Month	1 Treatment Provider Contact per Month
1 Computer Search Every Six Months, if Applicable	1 Computer Search Every Other Month, if Applicable	1 Computer Search per Month, if Applicable

**TABLE 1-E  
ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT SUPERVISION		GENERAL SUPERVISION		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS
ABBEVILLE	4	50%	4	50%	8
AIKEN	24	80%	6	20%	30
ALLENDALE	2	100%	0	0%	2
ANDERSON	44	69%	20	31%	64
BAMBERG	3	75%	1	25%	4
BARNWELL	9	100%	0	0%	9
BEAUFORT	10	83%	2	17%	12
BERKELEY	37	88%	5	12%	42
CALHOUN	6	86%	1	14%	7
CHARLESTON	45	61%	29	39%	74
CHEROKEE	13	48%	14	52%	27
CHESTER	7	100%	0	0%	7
CHESTERFIELD	5	83%	1	17%	6
CLARENDON	5	71%	2	29%	7
COLLETON	11	73%	4	27%	15
DARLINGTON	8	80%	2	20%	10
DILLON	4	100%	0	0%	4
DORCHESTER	25	83%	5	17%	30
EDGEFIELD	7	78%	2	22%	9
FAIRFIELD	4	80%	1	20%	5
FLORENCE	21	95%	1	5%	22
GEORGETOWN	10	91%	1	9%	11
GREENVILLE	70	71%	28	29%	98
GREENWOOD	7	70%	3	30%	10
HAMPTON	4	100%	0	0%	4
HORRY	64	94%	4	6%	68
JASPER	6	86%	1	14%	7
KERSHAW	16	89%	2	11%	18
LANCASTER	5	45%	6	55%	11
LAURENS	11	65%	6	35%	17
LEE	2	50%	2	50%	4
LEXINGTON	30	86%	5	14%	35
McCORMICK	2	50%	2	50%	4
MARION	5	100%	0	0%	5
MARLBORO	4	57%	3	43%	7
NEWBERRY	1	20%	4	80%	5
OCONEE	16	55%	13	45%	29
ORANGEBURG	8	62%	5	38%	13
PICKENS	21	70%	9	30%	30
RICHLAND	40	70%	17	30%	57
SALUDA	4	80%	1	20%	5
SPARTANBURG	77	78%	22	22%	99
SUMTER	20	77%	6	23%	26
UNION	3	75%	1	25%	4
WILLIAMSBURG	6	43%	8	57%	14
YORK	21	72%	8	28%	29
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>747</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>1,004</b>

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**FIGURE 6**  
**ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION**  
**JUNE 30, 2017**



**SECTION F**

**VIOLATIONS**

**FISCAL YEAR 2017**

## **VIOLATIONS & CLOSURES**

Offenders charged by their supervising Agents with violations of the conditions of supervision are reviewed through a violations matrix to determine the most appropriate response, while ensuring a consistent application of sanctions statewide.

Table 1-F provides data by county on the violation process. Statewide, a total of 4,794 violation hearings were held during the fiscal year. At those hearings, 2,780 cases were continued or recommended for continuation, while 2,014 cases were revoked or recommended for revocation.

Table 2-F shows fees collected in FY 2017 as a result of the Administrative Hearing Process. Offenders pay restitution, supervision fees and fines just prior to their Administrative Hearing to avoid incarceration. During the year, \$200,618.65 was collected in delinquent restitution payments, \$181,247.23 in supervision fees and \$48,346.57 in court ordered fines and fees. The total to \$430,212.45 collected demonstrates the effectiveness of the administrative hearing process in bringing offenders who have the means to become compliant with their monetary obligations.

Table 3-F provides a comparison of changes in active population and the types of closure for FY 2012 to FY 2017. Of the 16,248 closures during FY 2017, 79% closed successfully. Majority (69%) of unsuccessful closures resulted in compliance revocations.

**TABLE 1-F  
VIOLATIONS BY COUNTY**

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>Cases Heard</b>	<b>Cases Revoked or Recommended for Revocation Cases</b>	<b>Continued or Recommended for Continuation</b>
ABBEVILLE	1	1	0
AIKEN	28	4	24
ALLENDALE	6	3	3
ANDERSON	545	168	377
BAMBERG	7	0	7
BARNWELL	24	3	21
BEAUFORT	13	7	6
BERKELEY	145	65	80
CALHOUN	5	1	4
CHARLESTON	487	336	151
CHEROKEE	141	28	113
CHESTER	38	21	17
CHESTERFIELD	2	1	1
CLARENDON	40	12	28
COLLETON	48	31	17
DARLINGTON	4	0	4
DILLON	5	3	2
DORCHESTER	232	107	125
EDGEFIELD	29	7	22
FAIRFIELD	6	3	3
FLORENCE	73	37	36
GEORGETOWN	23	18	5
GREENVILLE	936	360	576
GREENWOOD	27	14	13
HAMPTON	11	6	5
HORRY	76	37	39
JASPER	47	20	27
KERSHAW	51	19	32
LANCASTER	20	13	7
LAURENS	28	19	9
LEE	8	4	4
LEXINGTON	288	61	227
McCORMICK	12	8	4
MARION	8	5	3
MARLBORO	18	8	10
NEWBERRY	83	34	49
OCONEE	58	19	39
ORANGEBURG	111	33	78
PICKENS	73	38	35
RICHLAND	300	94	206
SALUDA	57	29	28
SPARTANBURG	376	240	136
SUMTER	98	31	67
UNION	25	11	14
WILLIAMSBURG	25	10	15
YORK	156	45	111
TRANSITIONAL	0	0	0
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>4,794</b>	<b>2,014</b>	<b>2,780</b>

<b>TABLE 2-F</b>				
<b>FEES COLLECTED THROUGH ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS PROCESS</b>				
<b>Month</b>	<b>Supervision Fee</b>	<b>Fine/Court Cost</b>	<b>Restitution</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>July 2016</b>	\$ 17,204.61	\$ 3,680.71	\$ 12,328.31	<b>\$ 33,213.63</b>
<b>August</b>	\$ 22,606.06	\$ 6,173.28	\$ 20,876.10	<b>\$ 49,655.44</b>
<b>September</b>	\$ 20,524.87	\$ 4,272.68	\$ 16,296.82	<b>\$ 41,094.37</b>
<b>October</b>	\$ 12,565.00	\$ 3,791.00	\$ 12,421.31	<b>\$ 28,777.31</b>
<b>November</b>	\$ 15,282.63	\$ 4,274.94	\$ 25,596.78	<b>\$ 45,154.35</b>
<b>December</b>	\$ 10,513.65	\$ 5,493.70	\$ 6,140.09	<b>\$ 22,147.44</b>
<b>January 2017</b>	\$ 16,354.00	\$ 4,203.35	\$ 12,695.67	<b>\$ 33,253.02</b>
<b>February</b>	\$ 16,457.04	\$ 3,802.15	\$ 24,957.13	<b>\$ 45,216.32</b>
<b>March</b>	\$ 15,136.83	\$ 4,045.45	\$ 20,121.03	<b>\$ 39,303.31</b>
<b>April</b>	\$ 15,362.40	\$ 5,210.05	\$ 24,165.52	<b>\$ 44,737.97</b>
<b>May</b>	\$ 9,918.71	\$ 1,860.36	\$ 5,925.01	<b>\$ 17,704.08</b>
<b>June 2017</b>	\$ 9,321.43	\$ 1,538.90	\$ 19,094.88	<b>\$ 29,955.21</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 181,247.23</b>	<b>\$ 48,346.57</b>	<b>\$ 200,618.65</b>	<b>\$430,212.45</b>

**CLOSURES BY TYPE**  
**TABLE 3-F**

<b>FY 2017</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>JC-I <sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Rev-C <sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Rev-T <sup>4</sup></b>	<b>Ret-CD <sup>6</sup></b>	
Probation	25,776	10,783	13	2	478	2,207	480	3,180
Parole	2,271	511	5	0	18	96	0	119
Other Releases	1,597	1,571	36	0	2	46	0	84
<b>Total</b>	<b>29,644</b>	<b>12,865</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>498</b>	<b>2,349</b>	<b>480</b>	<b>3,383</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.6%	0.1%	14.7%	69.4%	14.2%	

<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>JC-I <sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Rev-C <sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Rev-T <sup>4</sup></b>	<b>Ret-CD <sup>6</sup></b>	
Probation	25,132	11,051	20	3	549	2,922	387	3,881
Parole	2,030	490	4	0	20	71	0	95
YOA	240	499	0	0	18	80	0	98
Other Releases	1,341	1,575	108	0	0	24	0	132
<b>Total</b>	<b>28,743</b>	<b>13,615</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>587</b>	<b>3,097</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>4,206</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			3.1%	0.1%	14.0%	73.6%	9.2%	

<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>JC-I <sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Rev-C <sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Rev-T <sup>4</sup></b>	<b>Ret-CD <sup>6</sup></b>	
Probation	26,806	10,987	13	3	504	2,374	311	3,205
Parole	2,007	409	7	0	11	45	0	63
YOA	591	397	7	0	45	168	0	220
Other Releases	1,525	1,414	32	0	2	11	0	45
<b>Total</b>	<b>30,929</b>	<b>13,207</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>562</b>	<b>2,598</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>3,533</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.7%	0.1%	15.9%	73.5%	8.8%	

<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>JC-I <sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Rev-C <sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Rev-T <sup>4</sup></b>	<b>Ret-CD <sup>6</sup></b>	
Probation	28,021	10,535	16	2	624	2,356	217	3,215
Parole	1,618	405	1	0	11	31	0	43
YOA	1,052	486	7	0	97	214	0	318
Other Releases	1,517	1,138	43	0	1	4	0	48
<b>Total</b>	<b>32,208</b>	<b>12,564</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>733</b>	<b>2,605</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>3,624</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.8%	0.1%	20.2%	71.9%	6.0%	

<b>FY 2013</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>JC-I <sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Rev-C <sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Rev-T <sup>4</sup></b>	<b>Ret-CD <sup>6</sup></b>	
Probation	29,173	9,557	7	5	700	2,323	211	3,246
Parole	1,622	472	5	0	22	34	0	61
YOA	1,636	615	8	0	101	268	0	377
Other Releases	1,411	912	46	0	0	1	0	47
<b>Total</b>	<b>33,842</b>	<b>11,556</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>823</b>	<b>2,626</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>3,731</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			1.8%	0.1%	22.1%	70.4%	5.7%	

<b>FY 2012</b>	<b>Active</b>							<b>Total</b>
	<b>Population</b>	<b>Successful</b>	<b>Exp-I <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>JC-I <sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Rev-C <sup>3</sup></b>	<b>Rev-T <sup>4</sup></b>	<b>Rev-TC <sup>5</sup></b>	
Probation	27,824	8,614	16	12	703	2,888	0	3,619
Parole	1,626	516	10	0	11	60	0	81
YOA	2,001	666	12	0	136	373	0	521
Other Releases	1,220	853	46	0	0	1	0	47
<b>Total</b>	<b>32,671</b>	<b>10,649</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>850</b>	<b>3,322</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,268</b>
<b>% Unsuccessful</b>			2.0%	0.3%	19.9%	77.8%	0.0%	

**Footnotes:**

1 Exp-I - Expired Offender in Institution	4 Rev-T - Revoke, Technical Charges
2 JC-I - Judicial Closure in Institution	5 Rev TC - Revoke, Technical Charges & New Charges Pending
3 Rev-C - Revoke, New Conviction	6 Ret-CD Returned - Conditional Discharge

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.



**SECTION G**


**ELECTRONIC  
SURVEILLANCE**

**FISCAL YEAR 2017**

## **ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE**

The Department utilizes electronic surveillance to monitor certain offenders. On June 8, 2006, Jessie's Law, a bill aimed at protecting the state's children through tougher penalties for sexual predators was signed into law with an effective date of July 1, 2006. Named after Jessica Marie Lunsford -- who was murdered in 2005 by a registered sex offender in Florida -- the law imposes a mandatory minimum of 25 years in prison for sexual predators and mandates active Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) monitoring for sex offenders convicted of certain offenses. GPS can pinpoint within 15 meters a person's position on Earth using 24 satellites in orbit at 11,000 nautical miles above the Earth. The satellites are owned and operated by the U. S. Department of Defense and continuously transmit signals which can be detected by anyone possessing a GPS receiver. The use of Active-GPS enhances public safety and provides a more modern and efficient way to ensure accountability and enforce home detention and curfews for those offenders requiring a heightened supervision strategy. Of the 763 offenders on Active GPS on June 15, 2017, 564 (74%) offenders were placed on GPS under Jessie's Law.

**FIGURE 7**  
**OFFENDERS ON ACTIVE GPS**  
**FISCAL YEAR 2017**



# **SECTION H**

## **SUMMARY**

**FISCAL YEAR  
2017**

## SUMMARY

Figure 8 compares monthly DNA collections during FY 2017.

Table 1-H shows drug testing activity during FY 2017. This table represents the number of individual offenders tested (15,526), the number of individuals testing positive (7,090), the total number of positive tests (13,098) and the number of times offenders were tested (18,847).


Table 2-H summarizes the population characteristics of SCDPPPS offenders by supervision programs as well as offender involvement in drug testing.

### Population Overview:

- The proportion of violent offenses among parole admissions (17%) decreased between FY 2016 and FY 2017 while probationers (4%) remained the same.
- Overall, the most utilized level of supervision was standard (62%), followed by high (21%), medium (13%), sex offender (3%), and intensive (2%) for all active cases.
- The overall success rate for closures was 79%, a nominal decrease from the previous fiscal year. The overall success rate for parolees decreased to 81%. Probationers (77%) had less successful closures rates than parolees.
- Of the 15,526 offenders tested for drug use while under supervision, 7,090 or 45.67% tested positive for drugs.

Figure 9 compares the number of admissions for each fiscal year from 1998 to 2017.

**FIGURE 8**  
**MONTHLY DNA COLLECTIONS**  
**FISCAL YEAR 2017**



**TABLE 1-H  
OFFENDER DRUG TESTING**

COUNTY	INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	TOTAL NUMBER OF POSITIVE TESTS	NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS WERE TESTED
ABBEVILLE	77	29	38%	43	79
AIKEN	236	86	36%	188	254
ALLENDALE	29	16	55%	23	30
ANDERSON	872	444	51%	999	1130
BAMBERG	37	13	35%	25	49
BARNWELL	141	69	49%	192	208
BEAUFORT	500	202	40%	328	853
BERKELEY	429	148	34%	273	494
CALHOUN	69	27	39%	50	82
CHARLESTON	964	516	54%	934	1193
CHEROKEE	452	215	48%	449	516
CHESTER	101	46	46%	73	122
CHESTERFIELD	98	44	45%	132	174
CLARENDON	34	19	56%	37	43
COLLETON	115	61	53%	86	125
DARLINGTON	191	110	58%	239	217
DILLON	64	7	11%	13	67
DORCHESTER	421	220	52%	403	515
EDGEFIELD	159	88	55%	157	196
FAIRFIELD	148	77	52%	104	174
FLORENCE	646	259	40%	468	824
GEORGETOWN	161	77	48%	115	185
GREENVILLE	1244	542	44%	988	1440
GREENWOOD	380	155	41%	261	480
HAMPTON	64	29	45%	34	68
HORRY	733	255	35%	438	859
JASPER	107	49	46%	74	121
KERSHAW	283	155	55%	303	346
LANCASTER	341	164	48%	283	423
LAURENS	365	140	38%	241	429
LEE	61	27	44%	48	78
LEXINGTON	790	356	45%	794	1013
MCCORMICK	33	16	48%	38	42
MARION	165	73	44%	95	195
MARLBORO	75	37	49%	52	76
NEWBERRY	188	109	58%	205	232
OCONEE	151	62	41%	124	174
ORANGEBURG	510	277	54%	455	577
PICKENS	297	157	53%	342	329
RICHLAND	1184	515	43%	853	1410
SALUDA	46	12	26%	21	52
SPARTANBURG	1363	604	44%	1160	1591
SUMTER	466	224	48%	363	557
UNION	126	55	44%	98	130
WILLIAMSBURG	68	31	46%	43	79
YORK	536	269	50%	450	610
CENTRAL	6	4	67%	4	6
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>15,526</b>	<b>7,090</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>13,098</b>	<b>18,847</b>

**TABLE 2-H  
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS**

<b>ADMISSIONS</b>								
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>Probation</b>		<b>Parole</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>	
	<b>FY 16</b>	<b>FY 17</b>	<b>FY 16</b>	<b>FY 17</b>	<b>FY 16</b>	<b>FY 17</b>	<b>FY 16</b>	<b>FY 17</b>
<b><u>RACE:</u></b>								
BLACK	47%	44%	52%	50%	64%	65%	49%	47%
WHITE	51%	54%	46%	49%	33%	33%	49%	51%
OTHER	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%
<b><u>GENDER:</u></b>								
MALE	76%	76%	86%	87%	94%	94%	78%	78%
FEMALE	24%	24%	14%	13%	6%	6%	22%	22%
<b><u>OFFENSE TYPE:</u></b>								
VIOLENT	4%	4%	17%	17%	50%	56%	9%	10%
NON-VIOLENT	96%	96%	83%	83%	50%	44%	91%	90%

<b>ACTIVES</b>								
<b>LEVEL OF SUPERVISION:</b>	<b>Probation</b>		<b>Parole</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>	
	<b>FY 16</b>	<b>FY 17</b>	<b>FY 16</b>	<b>FY 17</b>	<b>FY 16</b>	<b>FY 17</b>	<b>FY 16</b>	<b>FY 17</b>
STANDARD	64%	62%	65%	62%	53%	49%	64%	62%
MEDIUM	13%	13%	12%	11%	15%	14%	13%	13%
HIGH	19%	21%	14%	16%	20%	25%	19%	21%
INTENSIVE	1%	1%	5%	7%	1%	1%	1%	2%
SEX OFFENDER	2%	2%	5%	4%	10%	10%	3%	3%
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	0%	0%	---	---	---	---	0%	0%


<b>CLOSURES</b>								
<b>CASE OUTCOME:</b>	<b>Probation</b>		<b>Parole</b>		<b>Other</b>		<b>Total</b>	
	<b>FY 16</b>	<b>FY 17</b>	<b>FY 16</b>	<b>FY 17</b>	<b>FY 16</b>	<b>FY 17</b>	<b>FY 16</b>	<b>FY 17</b>
SUCCESSFUL	74%	77%	84%	81%	90%	95%	76%	79%
UNSUCCESSFUL	26%	23%	16%	19%	10%	5%	24%	21%

<b>DRUG TESTING</b>		
	<b>FY 16</b>	<b>FY 17</b>
<b>INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED</b>	21,134	15,526
<b>INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE</b>	9,984	7,090
<b>PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE</b>	47.24%	45.67%
<b>TOTAL POSITIVE TESTS</b>	19,315	13,098
<b>NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS TESTED</b>	28,500	18,847

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.



**FIGURE 9**  
**ADMISSIONS: A 20-YEAR COMPARISON**



**1<sup>st</sup> Printing/SCDPPPS**  
**15 copies of this document were published: \$5.07 per copy/\$76.05 total.**