



**South Carolina Department
of Probation, Parole and
Pardon Services**

**ANNUAL
STATISTICAL
REPORT**

FISCAL YEAR 2020

**JERRY B. ADGER
AGENCY DIRECTOR**

Proprietary Disclaimer

This document (including all data and statistical information represented herein) was compiled and reported by the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services. Any reproduction or republication of said report, data, or statistics is permitted only if reproduced in its entirety without modification, or omission, and must identify the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services as the source.

Jerry B. Adger, Director

293 Greystone Blvd, Columbia, SC 29210

PO BOX 207 Columbia, SC 29202

803-734-9220

www.dppps.sc.gov

Research and Evaluation

LaQuenta Weldon, Statistical and Research Analyst

Marchar Stagg, Senior Statistical and Research Analyst

TO THE READER

Fiscal Year 2020 (FY 2020) began July 1, 2019 and ended June 30, 2020. This report provides a statistical representation of the work of 707 employees of the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services (SCDPPPS). During the fiscal year, 80% of our probationers and 85% of our parolees successfully completed supervision.

The Department operates its offender programs within a clear framework of public safety in supervising the 62,421 offenders under our legal jurisdiction. Legal jurisdiction includes offenders who were transferred out of state, absconded with active warrants, and others who are not under the active day-to-day supervision. At the end of the fiscal year, 25,950 offenders were under active supervision of the Department. The description of active supervision represents only those offenders who had at least one active case on June 30, 2020. Our responses to offender risks and needs in the community are focused to address present or potential problems that may interfere with the successful completion of supervision without compromising public safety. We maintain a fundamental belief that given support, resources, and service interventions, the offender has the ability to make positive changes in his or her life.

The following tables provide a description of the offender population and answer some commonly asked questions regarding the Department's programmatic efforts. Each table is preceded by a short description of its contents. The reader should be aware that there are different ways of reporting units of data depending upon the purpose. Admissions include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. Closures information reflects only the last order to close during the fiscal year.

In addition, due to rounding, some of the totals will not equal 100%. For additional information or clarification, please contact LaQuenta Weldon in Research and Evaluation at 803-734-4057.

EXPLANATION OF PROGRAM TYPES

Probation: Includes Probation, Conditional Discharged to Probation, Probation Terminated Upon Payment (PTUP), Split Probation (admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison), and Monitor for the Court

Parole: Includes Parole .

Other: Includes YOA, Community Supervision Program, Supervised Furlough-2, Supervised Furlough-2A, Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and early release program cases.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION A---TOTAL POPULATION

TABLE 1-A	Total Admissions by Program Type.....	8
TABLE 2-A	Total Admissions by Type of Offense	9
TABLE 3-A	Total Admissions by Age	10
TABLE 4-A	Total Admissions by Gender & Race.....	11
FIGURE 1	Total Admissions by Gender & Race.....	12
TABLE 5-A	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision	13
FIGURE 2	Active Offenders by Level of Supervision	14
TABLE 6-A	Total Closures by Type.....	15

SECTION B---PROBATION

TABLE 1-B	Probation Admissions by Type of Offense	18
TABLE 2-B	Probation Admissions by Gender & Race	19
TABLE 3-B	Probation Admissions by Age	20
TABLE 4-B	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	21
FIGURE 3	Active Probation Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	22
TABLE 5-B	Probation Closures by Type.....	23

SECTION C---PAROLE

TABLE 1-C	Parole Admissions by Type of Offense.....	26
TABLE 2-C	Parole Admissions by Gender & Race	27
TABLE 3-C	Parole Admissions by Age	28
TABLE 4-C	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	29
FIGURE 4	Active Parole Offenders by Level of Supervision.....	30
TABLE 5-C	Parole Closures by Type.....	31

SECTION D---OTHER

TABLE 1-D	Other Admissions by Type of Offense	34
TABLE 2-D	Other Admissions by Gender & Race.....	35
TABLE 3-D	Other Admissions by Age	36
TABLE 4-D	Active Other Offenders by Level of Supervision	37
FIGURE 5	Active Other Offenders by Level of Supervision	38
TABLE 5-D	Other Closures by Type	39

SECTION E---SEX OFFENDERS

TABLE 1-E	Active Sex Offenders	42
FUGURE 6	Sex Offenders by Supervision Status	43

SECTION F---VIOLATIONS

TABLE 1-F	Violations by County	46
TABLE 2-F	Closures by Type	47
TABLE 3-F	Administrative Hearing Collections	48

SECTION G---ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE

FIGURE 7	Offenders on Active GPS	51
----------	-------------------------------	----

SECTION H---SUMMARY

FIGURE 8	Monthly DNA Collections	54
TABLE 1-H	Offender Drug Testing	55
TABLE 2-H	Population Characteristics	56
FIGURE 9	Admissions: A 20-Year Comparison	57

SECTION A

**TOTAL
POPULATION**

FISCAL YEAR 2020

TOTAL POPULATION

Tables 1-A through 4-A and Figure 1 represent the total admissions to the SCDPPPS during FY 2020. These tables count admissions to a particular sanction, and include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. These tables also include only the main case even though an offender may have been admitted with more than one case. In FY 2020, there were 13,204 admissions. A state and county total is provided for each category of admission. Within the racial categories, due to the small number of offenders classified as "Asian, Hispanic, Native American, or Other", they have been grouped together and classified as "Other".

- Table 1-A provides information on total admissions by program type. Charleston, Greenville, York and Spartanburg counties had the greatest number of total admissions, together accounting for 34% of all admissions.
- Table 2-A presents information on total admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. Total admissions during the fiscal year were predominately non-violent with only 12% admissions for violent offenses.
- Table 3-A describes offender admissions by age category. Majority of those admitted during FY 2020 (83%) were 25 years or older at time of admission.
- Table 4-A and Figure 1 illustrate total admissions by gender and race. Admissions overall continue to be predominately male at 79%, with a racial composition of 45% black, 53% white, and 2% of other races.

Table 5-A and Figure 2 describe all active offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2020. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. The level of supervision determines how often the Agent has contact with the offender. Standard risk supervision accounted for 55% of the active population, 15% were medium risk, and 21% were high-risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1% and sex offender supervision accounted for 3% while domestic violence supervision accounted for 4% of all active offenders.

Table 6-A shows total closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2020 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for all offenders closing during FY 2020 was 82%. The unsuccessful rate, 18%, is defined as those offenders whose supervision was revoked due to a technical violation or new offense.

Note: Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 1-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY PROGRAM TYPE**

COUNTY	PROBATION	PERCENT PROBATION	PAROLE	PERCENT PAROLE	OTHER	PERCENT OTHER	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	55	77%	9	13%	7	10%	71
AIKEN	369	83%	42	9%	34	8%	445
ALLENDALE	17	81%	1	5%	3	14%	21
ANDERSON	468	86%	35	6%	39	7%	542
BAMBERG	15	83%	1	6%	2	11%	18
BARNWELL	45	85%	3	6%	5	9%	53
BEAUFORT	143	84%	14	8%	14	8%	171
BERKELEY	414	89%	23	5%	29	6%	466
CALHOUN	40	87%	2	4%	4	9%	46
CHARLESTON	760	82%	88	9%	79	9%	927
CHEROKEE	367	91%	19	5%	16	4%	402
CHESTER	96	84%	12	11%	6	5%	114
CHESTERFIELD	63	73%	11	13%	12	14%	86
CLARENDON	57	80%	7	10%	7	10%	71
COLLETON	73	81%	7	8%	10	11%	90
DARLINGTON	136	81%	23	14%	9	5%	168
DILLON	60	81%	4	5%	10	14%	74
DORCHESTER	263	86%	15	5%	27	9%	305
EDGEFIELD	69	81%	11	13%	5	6%	85
FAIRFIELD	62	87%	3	4%	6	8%	71
FLORENCE	217	66%	71	21%	43	13%	331
GEORGETOWN	72	71%	15	15%	14	14%	101
GREENVILLE	1477	88%	89	5%	119	7%	1,685
GREENWOOD	248	87%	21	7%	17	6%	286
HAMPTON	38	95%	2	5%	0	0%	40
HORRY	545	78%	103	15%	55	8%	703
JASPER	58	77%	13	17%	4	5%	75
KERSHAW	133	88%	7	5%	11	7%	151
LANCASTER	179	85%	18	9%	13	6%	210
LAURENS	239	87%	24	9%	13	5%	276
LEE	31	82%	4	11%	3	8%	38
LEXINGTON	366	80%	51	11%	41	9%	458
McCORMICK	27	93%	1	3%	1	3%	29
MARION	68	74%	12	13%	12	13%	92
MARLBORO	60	80%	9	12%	6	8%	75
NEWBERRY	108	84%	13	10%	8	6%	129
OCONEE	243	92%	9	3%	11	4%	263
ORANGEBURG	249	84%	21	7%	28	9%	298
PICKENS	359	90%	20	5%	21	5%	400
RICHLAND	521	72%	90	13%	109	15%	720
SALUDA	39	83%	6	13%	2	4%	47
SPARTANBURG	1027	86%	94	8%	80	7%	1,201
SUMTER	251	71%	59	17%	44	12%	354
UNION	102	83%	12	10%	9	7%	123
WILLIAMSBURG	52	65%	17	21%	11	14%	80
YORK	602	85%	61	9%	42	6%	705
TRANSITIONAL	36	33%	32	30%	40	37%	108
STATE TOTAL	10,919	83%	1,204	9%	1,081	8%	13,204

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	11	15%	60	85%	71
AIKEN	60	13%	385	87%	445
ALLENDALE	5	24%	16	76%	21
ANDERSON	60	11%	482	89%	542
BAMBERG	2	11%	16	89%	18
BARNWELL	7	13%	46	87%	53
BEAUFORT	17	10%	154	90%	171
BERKELEY	40	9%	426	91%	466
CALHOUN	6	13%	40	87%	46
CHARLESTON	121	13%	806	87%	927
CHEROKEE	34	8%	368	92%	402
CHESTER	11	10%	103	90%	114
CHESTERFIELD	11	13%	75	87%	86
CLARENDON	12	17%	59	83%	71
COLLETON	16	18%	74	82%	90
DARLINGTON	13	8%	155	92%	168
DILLON	9	12%	65	88%	74
DORCHESTER	40	13%	265	87%	305
EDGEFIELD	7	8%	78	92%	85
FAIRFIELD	10	14%	61	86%	71
FLORENCE	52	16%	279	84%	331
GEORGETOWN	16	16%	85	84%	101
GREENVILLE	171	10%	1514	90%	1685
GREENWOOD	29	10%	257	90%	286
HAMPTON	0	0%	40	100%	40
HORRY	106	15%	597	85%	703
JASPER	8	11%	67	89%	75
KERSHAW	16	11%	135	89%	151
LANCASTER	24	11%	186	89%	210
LAURENS	22	8%	254	92%	276
LEE	5	13%	33	87%	38
LEXINGTON	54	12%	404	88%	458
McCORMICK	1	3%	28	97%	29
MARION	7	8%	85	92%	92
MARLBORO	9	12%	66	88%	75
NEWBERRY	11	9%	118	91%	129
OCONEE	15	6%	248	94%	263
ORANGEBURG	47	16%	251	84%	298
PICKENS	26	7%	374	94%	400
RICHLAND	125	17%	595	83%	720
SALUDA	2	4%	45	96%	47
SPARTANBURG	157	13%	1044	87%	1201
SUMTER	49	14%	305	86%	354
UNION	9	7%	114	93%	123
WILLIAMSBURG	15	19%	65	81%	80
YORK	81	11%	624	89%	705
TRANSITIONAL	48	44%	60	56%	108
STATE TOTAL	1,597	12%	11,607	88%	13,204

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	18	25%	53	75%
AIKEN	78	18%	367	82%
ALLENDALE	7	33%	14	67%
ANDERSON	74	14%	468	86%
BAMBERG	1	6%	17	94%
BARNWELL	8	15%	45	85%
BEAUFORT	40	23%	131	77%
BERKELEY	82	18%	384	82%
CALHOUN	10	22%	36	78%
CHARLESTON	146	16%	781	84%
CHEROKEE	60	15%	342	85%
CHESTER	15	13%	99	87%
CHESTERFIELD	15	17%	71	83%
CLARENDON	19	27%	52	73%
COLLETON	16	18%	74	82%
DARLINGTON	30	18%	138	82%
DILLON	16	22%	58	78%
DORCHESTER	57	19%	248	81%
EDGEFIELD	20	24%	65	76%
FAIRFIELD	10	14%	61	86%
FLORENCE	43	13%	288	87%
GEORGETOWN	20	20%	81	80%
GREENVILLE	249	15%	1436	85%
GREENWOOD	55	19%	231	81%
HAMPTON	6	15%	34	85%
HORRY	139	20%	564	80%
JASPER	17	23%	58	77%
KERSHAW	21	14%	130	86%
LANCASTER	38	18%	172	82%
LAURENS	34	12%	242	88%
LEE	9	24%	29	76%
LEXINGTON	68	15%	390	85%
McCORMICK	6	21%	23	79%
MARION	20	22%	72	78%
MARLBORO	12	16%	63	84%
NEWBERRY	26	20%	103	80%
OCONEE	36	14%	227	86%
ORANGEBURG	73	24%	225	76%
PICKENS	61	15%	339	85%
RICHLAND	150	21%	570	79%
SALUDA	10	21%	37	79%
SPARTANBURG	156	13%	1045	87%
SUMTER	77	22%	277	78%
UNION	15	12%	108	88%
WILLIAMSBURG	15	19%	65	81%
YORK	141	20%	564	80%
TRANSITIONAL	9	8%	99	92%
STATE TOTAL	2,228	17%	10,976	83%


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-A
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
	Male	Female	Black	Other	White
ABBEVILLE	76%	24%	35%	0%	65%
AIKEN	78%	22%	37%	1%	62%
ALLENDALE	86%	14%	86%	0%	14%
ANDERSON	77%	23%	28%	1%	71%
BAMBERG	89%	11%	44%	0%	56%
BARNWELL	81%	19%	58%	0%	42%
BEAUFORT	81%	19%	52%	7%	41%
BERKELEY	82%	18%	36%	6%	58%
CALHOUN	80%	20%	48%	2%	50%
CHARLESTON	84%	16%	64%	1%	35%
CHEROKEE	71%	29%	26%	1%	73%
CHESTER	88%	12%	49%	1%	50%
CHESTERFIELD	86%	14%	49%	1%	50%
CLARENDON	92%	8%	68%	0%	32%
COLLETON	84%	16%	43%	2%	54%
DARLINGTON	82%	18%	59%	0%	41%
DILLON	76%	24%	47%	12%	41%
DORCHESTER	82%	18%	48%	4%	49%
EDGEFIELD	84%	16%	39%	0%	61%
FAIRFIELD	82%	18%	68%	1%	31%
FLORENCE	79%	21%	63%	1%	36%
GEORGETOWN	83%	17%	54%	1%	45%
GREENVILLE	77%	23%	41%	4%	56%
GREENWOOD	76%	24%	49%	0%	51%
HAMPTON	85%	15%	80%	0%	20%
HORRY	78%	22%	38%	3%	60%
JASPER	81%	19%	65%	0%	35%
KERSHAW	84%	16%	48%	1%	51%
LANCASTER	73%	27%	43%	1%	56%
LAURENS	74%	26%	32%	0%	68%
LEE	87%	13%	74%	3%	24%
LEXINGTON	82%	18%	29%	2%	70%
McCORMICK	86%	14%	59%	3%	38%
MARION	83%	17%	76%	0%	24%
MARLBORO	81%	19%	59%	12%	29%
NEWBERRY	82%	18%	59%	2%	39%
OCONEE	74%	26%	13%	2%	86%
ORANGEBURG	85%	15%	73%	0%	27%
PICKENS	70%	30%	14%	2%	84%
RICHLAND	85%	15%	76%	0%	24%
SALUDA	85%	15%	34%	2%	64%
SPARTANBURG	75%	25%	35%	2%	62%
SUMTER	83%	17%	67%	1%	33%
UNION	70%	30%	45%	1%	54%
WILLIAMSBURG	86%	14%	73%	0%	28%
YORK	72%	28%	38%	2%	60%
TRANSITIONAL	79%	21%	54%	0%	46%
STATE TOTAL	79%	21%	45%	2%	53%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.


FIGURE 1
TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE
FISCAL YEAR 2020



**TABLE 5-A
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	80%	8%	6%	2%	3%	1%	0%	172
AIKEN	74%	8%	13%	2%	3%	0%	0%	1,030
ALLENDALE	90%	8%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	62
ANDERSON	58%	11%	22%	0%	2%	7%	0%	1,506
BAMBERG	68%	8%	16%	3%	5%	0%	0%	75
BARNWELL	57%	9%	29%	1%	4%	0%	0%	108
BEAUFORT	19%	54%	25%	1%	2%	0%	0%	239
BERKELEY	56%	10%	29%	1%	3%	0%	0%	1,243
CALHOUN	81%	5%	7%	2%	6%	0%	0%	105
CHARLESTON	46%	19%	26%	1%	3%	5%	0%	1,905
CHEROKEE	35%	20%	28%	1%	3%	13%	0%	556
CHESTER	62%	11%	24%	0%	3%	0%	0%	203
CHESTERFIELD	65%	17%	13%	1%	5%	0%	0%	156
CLARENDON	58%	10%	27%	1%	5%	0%	0%	175
COLLETON	59%	18%	20%	0%	3%	0%	0%	264
DARLINGTON	71%	14%	11%	0%	3%	0%	0%	326
DILLON	80%	9%	6%	0%	5%	0%	0%	133
DORCHESTER	65%	10%	12%	2%	5%	7%	0%	656
EDGEFIELD	69%	10%	18%	0%	3%	0%	0%	171
FAIRFIELD	67%	12%	15%	0%	5%	0%	0%	169
FLORENCE	60%	21%	15%	1%	3%	0%	0%	610
GEORGETOWN	69%	8%	19%	0%	4%	0%	0%	234
GREENVILLE	36%	24%	30%	0%	3%	7%	0%	2,890
GREENWOOD	59%	9%	19%	0%	1%	11%	0%	494
HAMPTON	65%	10%	20%	1%	4%	0%	0%	113
HORRY	71%	9%	13%	0%	6%	0%	0%	1,181
JASPER	28%	32%	39%	0%	1%	0%	0%	133
KERSHAW	61%	12%	20%	2%	5%	0%	0%	276
LANCASTER	56%	17%	23%	0%	3%	0%	0%	330
LAURENS	63%	10%	18%	0%	2%	7%	0%	549
LEE	75%	14%	8%	0%	3%	0%	0%	93
LEXINGTON	42%	24%	23%	2%	3%	5%	0%	1,138
McCORMICK	87%	2%	4%	4%	4%	0%	0%	53
MARION	89%	7%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	160
MARLBORO	64%	9%	25%	1%	1%	0%	0%	102
NEWBERRY	64%	18%	16%	1%	1%	0%	0%	175
OCONEE	71%	6%	21%	0%	2%	0%	0%	625
ORANGEBURG	66%	9%	13%	1%	3%	8%	0%	731
PICKENS	50%	16%	32%	0%	2%	0%	0%	814
RICHLAND	49%	15%	24%	1%	3%	5%	2%	1,461
SALUDA	70%	13%	16%	0%	1%	0%	0%	86
SPARTANBURG	52%	14%	21%	0%	3%	10%	0%	2,251
SUMTER	71%	12%	13%	1%	4%	0%	0%	656
UNION	57%	18%	22%	1%	3%	0%	0%	198
WILLIAMSBURG	74%	9%	15%	0%	3%	0%	0%	178
YORK	55%	13%	19%	1%	3%	9%	0%	1,137
TRANSITIONAL	39%	14%	43%	4%	0%	0%	0%	28
STATE TOTAL	55%	15%	21%	1%	3%	4%	0%	-
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	14,358	3,880	5,532	211	801	1,135	33	25,950
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.								

FIGURE 2
ACTIVE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2020



CONTACT STANDARDS

STANDARD

- Following the initial home contact, additional field contacts will be conducted in the event of community complaints or a violation investigation.

MEDIUM

- One Progress report every other month
- One additional progress report must be conducted every six months as a Field Visit or Home Visit

HIGH

- One Progress Report Every Other Month
- An additional progress report must be conducted as a Field Visit or Home Visit every quarter

INTENSIVE

- Two Progress Reports per Month
- One of the two progress reports must be conducted as a Field Visit or Home Visit

**TABLE 6-A
TOTAL CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	83	94%	5	6%
AIKEN	423	77%	124	23%
ALLENDALE	26	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	593	82%	133	18%
BAMBERG	27	90%	3	10%
BARNWELL	50	77%	15	23%
BEAUFORT	170	83%	34	17%
BERKELEY	596	84%	113	16%
CALHOUN	48	84%	9	16%
CHARLESTON	1048	89%	124	11%
CHEROKEE	352	74%	126	26%
CHESTER	119	73%	43	27%
CHESTERFIELD	94	89%	12	11%
CLARENDON	100	88%	14	12%
COLLETON	139	76%	45	24%
DARLINGTON	168	82%	37	18%
DILLON	82	89%	10	11%
DORCHESTER	258	87%	38	13%
EDGEFIELD	67	79%	18	21%
FAIRFIELD	84	88%	12	13%
FLORENCE	451	82%	101	18%
GEORGETOWN	116	79%	30	21%
GREENVILLE	1650	82%	357	18%
GREENWOOD	300	86%	50	14%
HAMPTON	35	67%	17	33%
HORRY	514	75%	173	25%
JASPER	103	86%	17	14%
KERSHAW	148	73%	54	27%
LANCASTER	276	89%	34	11%
LAURENS	321	80%	80	20%
LEE	52	85%	9	15%
LEXINGTON	516	75%	172	25%
MCCORMICK	38	86%	6	14%
MARION	92	89%	11	11%
MARLBORO	77	88%	11	13%
NEWBERRY	108	82%	23	18%
OCONEE	214	80%	54	20%
ORANGEBURG	429	84%	80	16%
PICKENS	428	81%	102	19%
RICHLAND	773	81%	179	19%
SALUDA	52	88%	7	12%
SPARTANBURG	1105	76%	353	24%
SUMTER	341	79%	90	21%
UNION	155	81%	37	19%
WILLIAMSBURG	114	88%	15	12%
YORK	659	89%	79	11%
TRANSITIONAL	374	99%	2	1%
STATE TOTAL	13,968	82%	3,058	18%
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.				

SECTION B

PROBATION

**FISCAL YEAR
2020**

PROBATION

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders placed on probation by the Court. Probation is a court-ordered community sanction which suspends the imposition of all or part of the original sentence of incarceration. It requires the offender, under SCDPPPS supervision in the community, to adhere to a set of conditions which limit the offender's freedom, to make reparation to victims if so ordered, and to provide for judicial revocation for violation of those conditions.

Tables 1-B through 3-B represents all probation admissions during FY 2020. Probation includes Probation, PTUP (Probation Terminated upon Payment), Split Probation admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison, and Monitor for the Court.

- Table 1-B shows probation admissions in terms of offense type, violent or non-violent. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. For FY 2020, 5% of all probation admissions were for violent offenses.
- Table 2-B provides information on probation admissions by gender and race. Probation admissions were predominately male (77%) with a racial composition of 55% white, 43% black, and 2% other.
- Table 3-B reflects probation admissions by age category. Majority (82%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-B and Figure 3 describe active probation offenders by level of supervision on June 30, 2020. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Standard risk supervision accounted for 55% of the active population, 15% were medium risk, and 22% were high-risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 1%, sex offender supervision accounted for 2%, and domestic violence supervision accounted for 5% of all active probation offenders.

Table 5-B provides data for probation closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2020 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for probationers was 80%, slightly lower than the total offender population success rate of 82%.

**TABLE 1-B
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	4	7%	51	93%	55
AIKEN	26	7%	343	93%	369
ALLENDALE	3	18%	14	82%	17
ANDERSON	17	4%	451	96%	468
BAMBERG	1	7%	14	93%	15
BARNWELL	4	9%	41	91%	45
BEAUFORT	5	3%	138	97%	143
BERKELEY	13	3%	401	97%	414
CALHOUN	1	3%	39	98%	40
CHARLESTON	39	5%	721	95%	760
CHEROKEE	17	5%	350	95%	367
CHESTER	4	4%	92	96%	96
CHESTERFIELD	2	3%	61	97%	63
CLARENDON	4	7%	53	93%	57
COLLETON	4	5%	69	95%	73
DARLINGTON	5	4%	131	96%	136
DILLON	1	2%	59	98%	60
DORCHESTER	18	7%	245	93%	263
EDGEFIELD	2	3%	67	97%	69
FAIRFIELD	4	6%	58	94%	62
FLORENCE	11	5%	206	95%	217
GEORGETOWN	3	4%	69	96%	72
GREENVILLE	68	5%	1409	95%	1477
GREENWOOD	17	7%	231	93%	248
HAMPTON	0	0%	38	100%	38
HORRY	40	7%	505	93%	545
JASPER	3	5%	55	95%	58
KERSHAW	5	4%	128	96%	133
LANCASTER	12	7%	167	93%	179
LAURENS	11	5%	228	95%	239
LEE	0	0%	31	100%	31
LEXINGTON	15	4%	351	96%	366
McCORMICK	0	0%	27	100%	27
MARION	2	3%	66	97%	68
MARLBORO	4	7%	56	93%	60
NEWBERRY	2	2%	106	98%	108
OCONEE	7	3%	236	97%	243
ORANGEBURG	22	9%	227	91%	249
PICKENS	4	1%	355	99%	359
RICHLAND	16	3%	505	97%	521
SALUDA	1	3%	38	97%	39
SPARTANBURG	74	7%	953	93%	1027
SUMTER	9	4%	242	96%	251
UNION	4	4%	98	96%	102
WILLIAMSBURG	3	6%	49	94%	52
YORK	42	7%	560	93%	602
TRANSITIONAL	3	8%	33	92%	36
STATE TOTAL	552	5%	10,367	95%	10,919

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE
TABLE 2-B**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	75%	25%	33%	0%	67%
AIKEN	76%	24%	38%	1%	61%
ALLENDALE	82%	18%	88%	0%	12%
ANDERSON	76%	24%	27%	1%	72%
BAMBERG	87%	13%	47%	0%	53%
BARNWELL	80%	20%	60%	0%	40%
BEAUFORT	80%	20%	50%	8%	42%
BERKELEY	80%	20%	34%	7%	59%
CALHOUN	78%	23%	45%	3%	53%
CHARLESTON	82%	18%	63%	1%	36%
CHEROKEE	71%	29%	25%	1%	75%
CHESTER	86%	14%	48%	0%	52%
CHESTERFIELD	84%	16%	48%	0%	52%
CLARENDON	89%	11%	68%	0%	32%
COLLETON	84%	16%	40%	3%	58%
DARLINGTON	82%	18%	57%	0%	43%
DILLON	72%	28%	42%	15%	43%
DORCHESTER	80%	20%	46%	5%	50%
EDGEFIELD	81%	19%	39%	0%	61%
FAIRFIELD	79%	21%	66%	2%	32%
FLORENCE	73%	27%	58%	1%	41%
GEORGETOWN	83%	17%	54%	1%	44%
GREENVILLE	75%	25%	39%	4%	57%
GREENWOOD	75%	25%	49%	0%	51%
HAMPTON	84%	16%	82%	0%	18%
HORRY	75%	25%	38%	2%	60%
JASPER	76%	24%	60%	0%	40%
KERSHAW	83%	17%	47%	1%	52%
LANCASTER	71%	29%	42%	1%	57%
LAURENS	72%	28%	31%	0%	69%
LEE	90%	10%	71%	0%	29%
LEXINGTON	80%	20%	26%	2%	73%
McCORMICK	85%	15%	56%	4%	41%
MARION	76%	24%	76%	0%	24%
MARLBORO	77%	23%	57%	10%	33%
NEWBERRY	80%	20%	56%	3%	42%
OCONEE	74%	26%	12%	0%	87%
ORANGEBURG	82%	18%	71%	0%	29%
PICKENS	69%	31%	14%	1%	85%
RICHLAND	83%	17%	75%	1%	24%
SALUDA	85%	15%	33%	3%	64%
SPARTANBURG	73%	27%	35%	3%	62%
SUMTER	80%	20%	64%	0%	35%
UNION	70%	30%	42%	0%	58%
WILLIAMSBURG	87%	13%	63%	0%	37%
YORK	71%	29%	37%	2%	61%
TRANSITIONAL	75%	25%	47%	0%	53%
STATE TOTAL	77%	23%	43%	2%	55%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-B
PROBATION ADMISSIONS BY AGE**


COUNTY	Age 24 & Under	Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	15	27%	40	73%
AIKEN	73	20%	296	80%
ALLENDALE	6	35%	11	65%
ANDERSON	71	15%	397	85%
BAMBERG	1	7%	14	93%
BARNWELL	7	16%	38	84%
BEAUFORT	36	25%	107	75%
BERKELEY	80	19%	334	81%
CALHOUN	8	20%	32	80%
CHARLESTON	131	17%	629	83%
CHEROKEE	58	16%	309	84%
CHESTER	13	14%	83	86%
CHESTERFIELD	11	17%	52	83%
CLARENDON	16	28%	41	72%
COLLETON	15	21%	58	79%
DARLINGTON	28	21%	108	79%
DILLON	14	23%	46	77%
DORCHESTER	52	20%	211	80%
EDGEFIELD	19	28%	50	72%
FAIRFIELD	10	16%	52	84%
FLORENCE	30	14%	187	86%
GEORGETOWN	19	26%	53	74%
GREENVILLE	230	16%	1247	84%
GREENWOOD	49	20%	199	80%
HAMPTON	6	16%	32	84%
HORRY	128	23%	417	77%
JASPER	15	26%	43	74%
KERSHAW	20	15%	113	85%
LANCASTER	34	19%	145	81%
LAURENS	27	11%	212	89%
LEE	7	23%	24	77%
LEXINGTON	57	16%	309	84%
McCORMICK	6	22%	21	78%
MARION	15	22%	53	78%
MARLBORO	11	18%	49	82%
NEWBERRY	21	19%	87	81%
OCONEE	35	14%	208	86%
ORANGEBURG	63	25%	186	75%
PICKENS	59	16%	300	84%
RICHLAND	126	24%	395	76%
SALUDA	8	21%	31	79%
SPARTANBURG	147	14%	880	86%
SUMTER	53	21%	198	79%
UNION	14	14%	88	86%
WILLIAMSBURG	9	17%	43	83%
YORK	131	22%	471	78%
TRANSITIONAL	3	8%	33	92%
STATE TOTAL	1,987	18%	8,932	82%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-B
ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	82%	8%	6%	2%	2%	1%	0%	143
AIKEN	76%	7%	12%	2%	2%	0%	0%	849
ALLENDALE	93%	6%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	54
ANDERSON	58%	10%	22%	0%	2%	7%	0%	1,368
BAMBERG	68%	9%	17%	3%	3%	0%	0%	65
BARNWELL	59%	9%	27%	1%	4%	0%	0%	92
BEAUFORT	20%	53%	25%	1%	1%	0%	0%	189
BERKELEY	56%	11%	29%	1%	3%	0%	0%	1,110
CALHOUN	80%	5%	8%	2%	4%	0%	0%	92
CHARLESTON	45%	18%	27%	2%	2%	6%	0%	1,617
CHEROKEE	33%	20%	30%	1%	2%	14%	0%	497
CHESTER	62%	11%	24%	0%	4%	0%	0%	170
CHESTERFIELD	71%	14%	12%	1%	2%	0%	0%	126
CLARENDON	59%	10%	29%	0%	2%	0%	0%	147
COLLETON	58%	18%	20%	0%	3%	0%	0%	232
DARLINGTON	73%	13%	11%	0%	3%	0%	0%	265
DILLON	85%	7%	7%	0%	2%	0%	0%	104
DORCHESTER	63%	10%	12%	2%	5%	8%	0%	573
EDGEFIELD	70%	9%	18%	0%	2%	0%	0%	148
FAIRFIELD	69%	12%	15%	0%	3%	0%	0%	145
FLORENCE	59%	22%	15%	1%	2%	0%	0%	433
GEORGETOWN	69%	8%	21%	0%	2%	0%	0%	170
GREENVILLE	34%	25%	30%	0%	2%	8%	0%	2,534
GREENWOOD	59%	9%	19%	0%	1%	12%	0%	435
HAMPTON	68%	10%	19%	1%	3%	0%	0%	102
HORRY	73%	9%	13%	0%	4%	0%	0%	924
JASPER	32%	28%	39%	0%	1%	0%	0%	109
KERSHAW	59%	15%	21%	2%	3%	0%	0%	231
LANCASTER	57%	18%	23%	0%	3%	0%	0%	273
LAURENS	63%	10%	18%	0%	1%	8%	0%	467
LEE	77%	13%	8%	0%	3%	0%	0%	77
LEXINGTON	41%	25%	24%	2%	2%	6%	0%	971
McCORMICK	89%	0%	4%	4%	2%	0%	0%	47
MARION	93%	4%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	124
MARLBORO	65%	9%	24%	1%	1%	0%	0%	82
NEWBERRY	66%	19%	13%	1%	1%	0%	0%	149
OCONEE	71%	7%	21%	0%	1%	0%	0%	574
ORANGEBURG	67%	8%	13%	0%	2%	9%	0%	643
PICKENS	50%	16%	32%	0%	1%	0%	0%	751
RICHLAND	47%	15%	25%	2%	3%	6%	2%	1,092
SALUDA	70%	12%	17%	0%	1%	0%	0%	69
SPARTANBURG	50%	14%	22%	0%	2%	11%	0%	1,932
SUMTER	74%	11%	11%	1%	3%	0%	0%	485
UNION	60%	17%	21%	0%	2%	0%	0%	163
WILLIAMSBURG	75%	7%	18%	0%	1%	0%	0%	137
YORK	54%	14%	18%	1%	2%	11%	0%	949
TRANSITIONAL	42%	12%	42%	4%	0%	0%	0%	26
STATE TOTAL	55%	15%	22%	1%	2%	5%	0%	-
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	12,049	3,283	4,756	192	516	1,119	20	21,935
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.								

FIGURE 3
ACTIVE PROBATION OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2020



PROBATION CLOSURES BY TYPE

TABLE 5-B

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	75	94%	5	6%
AIKEN	345	74%	123	26%
ALLENDALE	25	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	520	80%	132	20%
BAMBERG	24	92%	2	8%
BARNWELL	39	75%	13	25%
BEAUFORT	141	82%	30	18%
BERKELEY	531	83%	109	17%
CALHOUN	42	86%	7	14%
CHARLESTON	870	89%	109	11%
CHEROKEE	309	72%	123	28%
CHESTER	97	72%	37	28%
CHESTERFIELD	81	87%	12	13%
CLARENDON	90	87%	13	13%
COLLETON	128	75%	43	25%
DARLINGTON	134	81%	31	19%
DILLON	56	90%	6	10%
DORCHESTER	214	86%	34	14%
EDGEFIELD	60	80%	15	20%
FAIRFIELD	71	88%	10	12%
FLORENCE	335	78%	92	22%
GEORGETOWN	87	78%	24	22%
GREENVILLE	1451	81%	346	19%
GREENWOOD	259	84%	48	16%
HAMPTON	27	61%	17	39%
HORRY	383	71%	155	29%
JASPER	89	85%	16	15%
KERSHAW	125	71%	52	29%
LANCASTER	244	89%	29	11%
LAURENS	281	78%	79	22%
LEE	45	83%	9	17%
LEXINGTON	420	72%	162	28%
MCCORMICK	33	85%	6	15%
MARION	56	86%	9	14%
MARLBORO	63	88%	9	13%
NEWBERRY	94	81%	22	19%
OCONEE	196	79%	53	21%
ORANGEBURG	392	84%	77	16%
PICKENS	391	80%	99	20%
RICHLAND	580	79%	156	21%
SALUDA	45	90%	5	10%
SPARTANBURG	925	73%	342	27%
SUMTER	273	77%	81	23%
UNION	134	80%	33	20%
WILLIAMSBURG	88	85%	15	15%
YORK	554	88%	78	12%
TRANSITIONAL	279	99%	2	1%
STATE TOTAL	11,701	80%	2,870	20%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION C

PAROLE

**FISCAL YEAR
2020**

PAROLE

The Department is charged with the responsibility of supervising those offenders paroled by the South Carolina Board of Pardons and Paroles. Parole is the conditional release of an individual from imprisonment, but not from the legal custody of the state, to complete his or her sentence outside a correctional institution under conditions and provisions of supervision determined by the Board. Should an individual be granted parole, he or she must agree to abide by certain conditions of community supervision. The violation of any of these conditions is sufficient grounds for revocation of parole by the Board, and the imposition of the remainder of the original sentence of incarceration.

Tables 1-C through 3-C represents all parole admissions during FY 2020.

- Table 1-C shows parole admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. A larger percent of parole admissions, 21%, fall into the violent category, as compared to 5% for probation admissions (see Table 1-B).
- Table 2-C describes all parole admissions by gender and race. Parole admissions consisted primarily of males (85%) with a racial composition of 48% black, 51% white, and 1% other.
- Table 3-C reflects parole admissions by age category. Majority (85%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-C and Figure 4 describe active parolees by level of supervision on June 30, 2020. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences. Standard risk supervision accounted for 62% of the active population, 14% were medium risk, and 19% were high-risk offenders. Intensive supervision represented only 0% and sex offender supervision accounted for 4% of all active parole offenders. Less than 1% of the active parole population were under domestic violence supervision or mental health supervision.

Table 5-C provides data for parole closures by type (successful or unsuccessful). Closures include only those offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the last order to close during FY 2020 and within that order only the main case, even though an offender may have had more than one case, was included. The overall success rate for parolees (85%) was higher than that of probationers (80%, see Table 5-B).

**TABLE 1-C
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	1	11%	8	89%	9
AIKEN	11	26%	31	74%	42
ALLENDALE	0	0%	1	100%	1
ANDERSON	11	31%	24	69%	35
BAMBERG	0	0%	1	100%	1
BARNWELL	0	0%	3	100%	3
BEAUFORT	3	21%	11	79%	14
BERKELEY	8	35%	15	65%	23
CALHOUN	1	50%	1	50%	2
CHARLESTON	23	26%	65	74%	88
CHEROKEE	5	26%	14	74%	19
CHESTER	3	25%	9	75%	12
CHESTERFIELD	2	18%	9	82%	11
CLARENDON	3	43%	4	57%	7
COLLETON	3	43%	4	57%	7
DARLINGTON	1	4%	22	96%	23
DILLON	0	0%	4	100%	4
DORCHESTER	3	20%	12	80%	15
EDGEFIELD	2	18%	9	82%	11
FAIRFIELD	1	33%	2	67%	3
FLORENCE	12	17%	59	83%	71
GEORGETOWN	3	20%	12	80%	15
GREENVILLE	16	18%	73	82%	89
GREENWOOD	2	10%	19	90%	21
HAMPTON	0	0%	2	100%	2
HORRY	28	27%	75	73%	103
JASPER	4	31%	9	69%	13
KERSHAW	3	43%	4	57%	7
LANCASTER	5	28%	13	72%	18
LAURENS	2	8%	22	92%	24
LEE	2	50%	2	50%	4
LEXINGTON	9	18%	42	82%	51
McCORMICK	0	0	1	1	1
MARION	1	8%	11	92%	12
MARLBORO	2	22%	7	78%	9
NEWBERRY	2	15%	11	85%	13
OCONEE	1	11%	8	89%	9
ORANGEBURG	3	14%	18	86%	21
PICKENS	6	30%	14	70%	20
RICHLAND	17	19%	73	81%	90
SALUDA	1	17%	5	83%	6
SPARTANBURG	20	21%	74	79%	94
SUMTER	9	15%	50	85%	59
UNION	1	8%	11	92%	12
WILLIAMSBURG	3	18%	14	82%	17
YORK	12	20%	49	80%	61
TRANSITIONAL	9	28%	23	72%	32
STATE TOTAL	254	21%	950	79%	1,204

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-C
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	89%	11%	33%	0%	67%
AIKEN	79%	21%	29%	2%	69%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
ANDERSON	74%	26%	23%	3%	74%
BAMBERG	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
BARNWELL	100%	0%	33%	0%	67%
BEAUFORT	93%	7%	43%	7%	50%
BERKELEY	96%	4%	52%	0%	48%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
CHARLESTON	94%	6%	60%	2%	38%
CHEROKEE	53%	47%	26%	5%	68%
CHESTER	92%	8%	42%	0%	58%
CHESTERFIELD	91%	9%	36%	0%	64%
CLARENDON	100%	0%	29%	0%	71%
COLLETON	100%	0%	71%	0%	29%
DARLINGTON	74%	26%	65%	0%	35%
DILLON	75%	25%	75%	0%	25%
DORCHESTER	93%	7%	40%	0%	60%
EDGEFIELD	91%	9%	27%	0%	73%
FAIRFIELD	100%	0%	67%	0%	33%
FLORENCE	87%	13%	69%	0%	31%
GEORGETOWN	73%	27%	67%	0%	33%
GREENVILLE	87%	13%	46%	1%	53%
GREENWOOD	76%	24%	33%	5%	62%
HAMPTON	100%	0%	50%	0%	50%
HORRY	89%	11%	32%	1%	67%
JASPER	100%	0%	85%	0%	15%
KERSHAW	71%	29%	43%	0%	57%
LANCASTER	72%	28%	33%	0%	67%
LAURENS	88%	13%	33%	0%	67%
LEE	50%	50%	100%	0%	0%
LEXINGTON	94%	6%	27%	0%	73%
McCORMICK	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
MARION	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
MARLBORO	100%	0%	67%	11%	22%
NEWBERRY	92%	8%	77%	0%	23%
OCONEE	56%	44%	11%	11%	78%
ORANGEBURG	100%	0%	71%	0%	29%
PICKENS	80%	20%	10%	0%	90%
RICHLAND	82%	18%	67%	0%	33%
SALUDA	83%	17%	17%	0%	83%
SPARTANBURG	84%	16%	34%	0%	66%
SUMTER	92%	8%	68%	0%	32%
UNION	67%	33%	67%	0%	33%
WILLIAMSBURG	76%	24%	88%	0%	12%
YORK	75%	25%	36%	0%	64%
TRANSITIONAL	75%	25%	50%	0%	50%
STATE TOTAL	85%	15%	48%	1%	51%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-C
PAROLE ADMISSIONS BY AGE**

COUNTY	Age 24 Under	& Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	3	33%	6	67%
AIKEN	2	5%	40	95%
ALLENDALE	1	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	2	6%	33	94%
BAMBERG	0	0%	1	100%
BARNWELL	1	33%	2	67%
BEAUFORT	3	21%	11	79%
BERKELEY	1	4%	22	96%
CALHOUN	1	50%	1	50%
CHARLESTON	11	13%	77	88%
CHEROKEE	1	5%	18	95%
CHESTER	2	17%	10	83%
CHESTERFIELD	3	27%	8	73%
CLARENDON	3	43%	4	57%
COLLETON	0	0%	7	100%
DARLINGTON	2	9%	21	91%
DILLON	2	50%	2	50%
DORCHESTER	2	13%	13	87%
EDGEFIELD	1	9%	10	91%
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	3	100%
FLORENCE	12	17%	59	83%
GEORGETOWN	1	7%	14	93%
GREENVILLE	11	12%	78	88%
GREENWOOD	6	29%	15	71%
HAMPTON	0	0%	2	100%
HORRY	9	9%	94	91%
JASPER	2	15%	11	85%
KERSHAW	0	0%	7	100%
LANCASTER	1	6%	17	94%
LAURENS	7	29%	17	71%
LEE	1	25%	3	75%
LEXINGTON	5	10%	46	90%
McCORMICK	0	0%	1	100%
MARION	2	17%	10	83%
MARLBORO	1	11%	8	89%
NEWBERRY	5	38%	8	62%
OCONEE	0	0%	9	100%
ORANGEBURG	7	33%	14	67%
PICKENS	1	5%	19	95%
RICHLAND	20	22%	70	78%
SALUDA	2	33%	4	67%
SPARTANBURG	6	6%	88	94%
SUMTER	21	36%	38	64%
UNION	1	8%	11	92%
WILLIAMSBURG	4	24%	13	76%
YORK	9	15%	52	85%
TRANSITIONAL	5	16%	27	84%
STATE TOTAL	180	15%	1,024	85%


Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-C
ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DV OFFENDER	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	84%	5%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	19
AIKEN	67%	9%	17%	1%	6%	0%	0%	139
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5
ANDERSON	60%	13%	24%	1%	1%	0%	0%	82
BAMBERG	86%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	7
BARNWELL	55%	9%	36%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11
BEAUFORT	15%	58%	27%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33
BERKELEY	64%	2%	28%	0%	5%	0%	0%	81
CALHOUN	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8
CHARLESTON	62%	19%	16%	1%	2%	0%	0%	187
CHEROKEE	51%	23%	20%	0%	3%	3%	0%	35
CHESTER	63%	11%	26%	0%	0%	0%	0%	27
CHESTERFIELD	35%	29%	24%	0%	12%	0%	0%	17
CLARENDON	63%	6%	13%	0%	19%	0%	0%	16
COLLETON	71%	19%	5%	0%	5%	0%	0%	21
DARLINGTON	68%	18%	10%	0%	4%	0%	0%	50
DILLON	76%	24%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17
DORCHESTER	75%	12%	10%	0%	4%	0%	0%	52
EDGEFIELD	63%	11%	16%	0%	11%	0%	0%	19
FAIRFIELD	71%	7%	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14
FLORENCE	65%	16%	15%	1%	3%	0%	0%	129
GEORGETOWN	74%	7%	12%	0%	7%	0%	0%	43
GREENVILLE	50%	20%	26%	0%	4%	0%	0%	214
GREENWOOD	58%	18%	20%	0%	3%	3%	0%	40
HAMPTON	45%	9%	36%	0%	9%	0%	0%	11
HORRY	65%	10%	12%	1%	12%	0%	0%	197
JASPER	10%	50%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20
KERSHAW	72%	0%	17%	0%	10%	0%	0%	29
LANCASTER	53%	11%	27%	0%	9%	0%	0%	45
LAURENS	61%	15%	21%	0%	2%	2%	0%	61
LEE	55%	27%	9%	0%	9%	0%	0%	11
LEXINGTON	53%	19%	22%	2%	4%	0%	0%	114
McCORMICK	60%	20%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	5
MARION	86%	10%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	21
MARLBORO	54%	15%	31%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13
NEWBERRY	53%	21%	26%	0%	0%	0%	0%	19
OCONEE	72%	0%	21%	0%	7%	0%	0%	29
ORANGEBURG	57%	21%	16%	0%	5%	0%	0%	61
PICKENS	54%	5%	27%	0%	15%	0%	0%	41
RICHLAND	54%	15%	25%	0%	3%	0%	2%	217
SALUDA	69%	15%	15%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13
SPARTANBURG	72%	11%	16%	1%	1%	1%	0%	200
SUMTER	67%	14%	15%	0%	3%	0%	0%	123
UNION	46%	25%	21%	4%	4%	0%	0%	24
WILLIAMSBURG	77%	17%	3%	0%	3%	0%	0%	30
YORK	69%	10%	16%	0%	4%	1%	0%	141
TRANSITIONAL	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
STATE TOTAL	62%	14%	19%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	1,662	390	501	11	118	6	5	2,693

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 4
ACTIVE PAROLE OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2020



**PAROLE CLOSURES BY TYPE
TABLE 5-C**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	6	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	32	97%	1	3%
ALLENDALE	0	---	0	---
ANDERSON	21	95%	1	5%
BAMBERG	1	100%	0	0%
BARNWELL	6	86%	1	14%
BEAUFORT	12	86%	2	14%
BERKELEY	20	83%	4	17%
CALHOUN	3	100%	0	0%
CHARLESTON	61	84%	12	16%
CHEROKEE	21	88%	3	13%
CHESTER	11	79%	3	21%
CHESTERFIELD	4	100%	0	0%
CLARENDON	2	67%	1	33%
COLLETON	7	88%	1	13%
DARLINGTON	17	85%	3	15%
DILLON	13	76%	4	24%
DORCHESTER	19	86%	3	14%
EDGEFIELD	4	67%	2	33%
FAIRFIELD	5	83%	1	17%
FLORENCE	48	86%	8	14%
GEORGETOWN	15	75%	5	25%
GREENVILLE	68	91%	7	9%
GREENWOOD	17	94%	1	6%
HAMPTON	5	100%	0	0%
HORRY	62	81%	15	19%
JASPER	6	86%	1	14%
KERSHAW	11	85%	2	15%
LANCASTER	10	67%	5	33%
LAURENS	18	95%	1	5%
LEE	4	100%	0	0%
LEXINGTON	31	76%	10	24%
MCCORMICK	1	100%	0	0%
MARION	13	87%	2	13%
MARLBORO	5	71%	2	29%
NEWBERRY	9	90%	1	10%
OCONEE	8	89%	1	11%
ORANGEBURG	17	85%	3	15%
PICKENS	15	88%	2	12%
RICHLAND	55	77%	16	23%
SALUDA	1	33%	2	67%
SPARTANBURG	59	86%	10	14%
SUMTER	29	78%	8	22%
UNION	13	76%	4	24%
WILLIAMSBURG	13	100%	0	0%
YORK	45	98%	1	2%
TRANSITIONAL	28	100%	0	0%
STATE TOTAL	871	85%	149	15%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**SECTION
D**

OTHER

**FISCAL YEAR
2020**

OTHER

The “other” category includes YOA, Community Supervision Program, Supervised Furlough-2 (SF-2), Supervised Furlough-2A (SF-2A), Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and Supervised Reentry Program (SRP) cases.

- **YOA** refers to inmates aged 17 through 24, sentenced under the South Carolina Youthful Offender Act (YOA) to an indeterminate period of incarceration, not to exceed six years, within the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC). *This program is being taken over by the South Carolina Department of Corrections. SCDPPPS will no longer receive admissions to this program.*
- **Community Supervision Program (CSP)** is a mandatory release program for offenders who have been sentenced to a “No Parole” offense and have served 85% of their sentence at SCDC. Offenders released to the Community Supervision Program have a two-year period of supervision. If at any time they violate the terms of supervision, a Circuit Court Judge may revoke any part of the remaining incarcerative portion of the sentence for up to one year at a time.
- **SF-2** is an early release program which allows certain inmates to be released into the community to serve the last six months of their sentence. The difference between SF2 and SF-2A is most are required to be on Electronic Monitoring for the duration of their furlough under **SF-2A**.
- **DJJ** is a program where SCDPPPS supervises offenders who are at least 17 years of age, but less than 21, who have been adjudicated delinquent by a Family Court and who have been conditionally released from SCDC by the Juvenile Parole Board.
- **SRP** refers to an inmate not required to participate in CSP. Inmates who have been incarcerated for a minimum of two years shall be released to reentry supervision 180 before their release date.

Tables 1-D through 3-D represents all other admissions during FY 2020.

- Table 1-D displays other admissions by type of offense. Violent refers to those offenses as defined by the Omnibus Crime Act, Section 16-1-60. Seventy-three (73%) of all admission in ‘other’ category were violent.
- Table 2-D describes other admissions by gender and race. Admissions were predominately male (92%) and black (63%).
- Table 3-D describes other admissions by age category. Majority (94%) of offenders were 25 years or older at time of admission.

Table 4-D and Figure 5 describe the active population for other release offenders in terms of level of supervision on June 30, 2020. Of the total active other population, 49% were supervised at standard level, 16% at medium, 21% at high, 1% at intensive, 13% at the sex offender supervision level, and 1% at both the domestic violence and mental health supervision levels.

Table 5-D shows 97% of other offenders closing successfully compared to 85% of the parole population (See Table 5-C) and 80% of the probation population (See Table 5-B).

**TABLE 1-D
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE**

COUNTY	OMNIBUS VIOLENT	PERCENT VIOLENT	NONVIOLENT	PERCENT NONVIOLENT	TOTAL ADMISSIONS
ABBEVILLE	6	86%	1	14%	7
AIKEN	23	68%	11	32%	34
ALLENDALE	2	67%	1	33%	3
ANDERSON	32	82%	7	18%	39
BAMBERG	1	50%	1	50%	2
BARNWELL	3	60%	2	40%	5
BEAUFORT	9	64%	5	36%	14
BERKELEY	19	66%	10	34%	29
CALHOUN	4	100%	0	0%	4
CHARLESTON	59	75%	20	25%	79
CHEROKEE	12	75%	4	25%	16
CHESTER	4	67%	2	33%	6
CHESTERFIELD	7	58%	5	42%	12
CLARENDON	5	71%	2	29%	7
COLLETON	9	90%	1	10%	10
DARLINGTON	7	78%	2	22%	9
DILLON	8	80%	2	20%	10
DORCHESTER	19	70%	8	30%	27
EDGEFIELD	3	60%	2	40%	5
FAIRFIELD	5	83%	1	17%	6
FLORENCE	29	67%	14	33%	43
GEORGETOWN	10	71%	4	29%	14
GREENVILLE	87	73%	32	27%	119
GREENWOOD	10	59%	7	41%	17
HAMPTON	---	---	---	---	---
HORRY	38	69%	17	31%	55
JASPER	1	25%	3	75%	4
KERSHAW	8	73%	3	27%	11
LANCASTER	7	54%	6	46%	13
LAURENS	9	69%	4	31%	13
LEE	3	100%	0	0%	3
LEXINGTON	30	73%	11	27%	41
McCORMICK	1	100%	0	0%	1
MARION	4	33%	8	67%	12
MARLBORO	3	50%	3	50%	6
NEWBERRY	7	88%	1	13%	8
OCONEE	7	64%	4	36%	11
ORANGEBURG	22	79%	6	21%	28
PICKENS	16	76%	5	24%	21
RICHLAND	92	84%	17	16%	109
SALUDA	0	0%	2	100%	2
SPARTANBURG	63	79%	17	21%	80
SUMTER	31	70%	13	30%	44
UNION	4	44%	5	56%	9
WILLIAMSBURG	9	82%	2	18%	11
YORK	27	64%	15	36%	42
TRANSITIONAL	36	90%	4	10%	40
STATE TOTAL	791	73%	290	27%	1,081

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 2-D
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY GENDER AND RACE**

COUNTY	PERCENT MALE	PERCENT FEMALE	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT OTHER	PERCENT WHITE
ABBEVILLE	71%	29%	57%	0%	43%
AIKEN	91%	9%	41%	0%	59%
ALLENDALE	100%	0%	67%	0%	33%
ANDERSON	90%	10%	38%	3%	59%
BAMBERG	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
BARNWELL	80%	20%	60%	0%	40%
BEAUFORT	79%	21%	79%	0%	21%
BERKELEY	97%	3%	55%	3%	41%
CALHOUN	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
CHARLESTON	92%	8%	76%	3%	22%
CHEROKEE	94%	6%	63%	0%	38%
CHESTER	100%	0%	83%	17%	0%
CHESTERFIELD	92%	8%	67%	8%	25%
CLARENDON	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
COLLETON	80%	20%	50%	0%	50%
DARLINGTON	100%	0%	67%	0%	33%
DILLON	100%	0%	70%	0%	30%
DORCHESTER	93%	7%	70%	0%	30%
EDGEFIELD	100%	0%	60%	0%	40%
FAIRFIELD	100%	0%	83%	0%	17%
FLORENCE	93%	7%	77%	2%	21%
GEORGETOWN	93%	7%	43%	0%	57%
GREENVILLE	96%	4%	63%	3%	34%
GREENWOOD	88%	12%	65%	0%	35%
HAMPTON	---	---	---	---	---
HORRY	89%	11%	49%	7%	44%
JASPER	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
KERSHAW	100%	0%	64%	0%	36%
LANCASTER	100%	0%	69%	8%	23%
LAURENS	85%	15%	38%	0%	62%
LEE	100%	0%	67%	33%	0%
LEXINGTON	90%	10%	56%	2%	41%
McCORMICK	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
MARION	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
MARLBORO	100%	0%	67%	33%	0%
NEWBERRY	100%	0%	75%	0%	25%
OCONEE	91%	9%	18%	18%	64%
ORANGEBURG	96%	4%	93%	0%	7%
PICKENS	90%	10%	24%	5%	71%
RICHLAND	94%	6%	86%	0%	14%
SALUDA	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
SPARTANBURG	89%	11%	39%	4%	58%
SUMTER	86%	14%	80%	2%	18%
UNION	78%	22%	44%	11%	44%
WILLIAMSBURG	100%	0%	91%	0%	9%
YORK	95%	5%	67%	0%	33%
TRANSITIONAL	85%	15%	63%	0%	38%
STATE TOTAL	92%	8%	63%	2%	34%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 3-D
OTHER ADMISSIONS BY AGE**


COUNTY	Age 24 Under	& Percent 24 & Under	Age 25 & Over	Percent 25 & Over
ABBEVILLE	0	0%	7	100%
AIKEN	3	9%	31	91%
ALLENDALE	0	0%	3	100%
ANDERSON	1	3%	38	97%
BAMBERG	0	0%	2	100%
BARNWELL	0	0%	5	100%
BEAUFORT	1	7%	13	93%
BERKELEY	1	3%	28	97%
CALHOUN	1	25%	3	75%
CHARLESTON	4	5%	75	95%
CHEROKEE	1	6%	15	94%
CHESTER	0	0%	6	100%
CHESTERFIELD	1	8%	11	92%
CLARENDON	0	0%	7	100%
COLLETON	1	10%	9	90%
DARLINGTON	0	0%	9	100%
DILLON	0	0%	10	100%
DORCHESTER	3	11%	24	89%
EDGEFIELD	0	0%	5	100%
FAIRFIELD	0	0%	6	100%
FLORENCE	1	2%	42	98%
GEORGETOWN	0	0%	14	100%
GREENVILLE	8	7%	111	93%
GREENWOOD	0	0%	17	100%
HAMPTON	---	---	---	---
HORRY	2	4%	53	96%
JASPER	0	0%	4	100%
KERSHAW	1	9%	10	91%
LANCASTER	3	23%	10	77%
LAURENS	0	0%	13	100%
LEE	1	33%	2	67%
LEXINGTON	6	15%	35	85%
McCORMICK	0	0%	1	100%
MARION	3	25%	9	75%
MARLBORO	0	0%	6	100%
NEWBERRY	0	0%	8	100%
OCONEE	1	9%	10	91%
ORANGEBURG	3	11%	25	89%
PICKENS	1	5%	20	95%
RICHLAND	4	4%	105	96%
SALUDA	0	0%	2	100%
SPARTANBURG	3	4%	77	96%
SUMTER	3	7%	41	93%
UNION	0	0%	9	100%
WILLIAMSBURG	2	18%	9	82%
YORK	1	2%	41	98%
TRANSITIONAL	1	3%	39	98%
STATE TOTAL	61	6%	1,020	94%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

**TABLE 4-D
ACTIVE OTHER OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	STANDARD	MEDIUM	HIGH	INTENSIVE	SEX OFFENDER	DV OFFENDER	MENTAL HEALTH	TOTAL
ABBEVILLE	50%	20%	10%	0%	20%	0%	0%	10
AIKEN	57%	7%	21%	0%	14%	0%	0%	42
ALLENDALE	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
ANDERSON	46%	14%	21%	0%	14%	4%	0%	56
BAMBERG	33%	0%	33%	0%	33%	0%	0%	3
BARNWELL	40%	20%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5
BEAUFORT	18%	53%	18%	0%	12%	0%	0%	17
BERKELEY	44%	10%	40%	0%	6%	0%	0%	52
CALHOUN	60%	0%	0%	0%	40%	0%	0%	5
CHARLESTON	40%	27%	26%	0%	8%	0%	0%	101
CHEROKEE	63%	17%	4%	0%	17%	0%	0%	24
CHESTER	67%	17%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6
CHESTERFIELD	38%	23%	8%	0%	31%	0%	0%	13
CLARENDON	50%	8%	17%	8%	17%	0%	0%	12
COLLETON	45%	9%	36%	0%	9%	0%	0%	11
DARLINGTON	45%	27%	9%	0%	18%	0%	0%	11
DILLON	50%	8%	8%	0%	33%	0%	0%	12
DORCHESTER	74%	6%	10%	3%	6%	0%	0%	31
EDGEFIELD	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4
FAIRFIELD	40%	20%	10%	0%	30%	0%	0%	10
FLORENCE	52%	23%	19%	0%	6%	0%	0%	48
GEORGETOWN	52%	10%	19%	0%	19%	0%	0%	21
GREENVILLE	43%	19%	27%	0%	9%	1%	0%	142
GREENWOOD	68%	5%	26%	0%	0%	0%	0%	19
HAMPTON	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
HORRY	0%	3%	3%	0%	10%	0%	0%	60
JASPER	250%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4
KERSHAW	44%	25%	6%	0%	25%	0%	0%	16
LANCASTER	125%	8%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12
LAURENS	24%	0%	0%	0%	10%	5%	0%	21
LEE	400%	280%	200%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5
LEXINGTON	2%	0%	0%	4%	13%	0%	0%	53
McCORMICK	1000%	400%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1
MARION	33%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	15
MARLBORO	43%	0%	43%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7
NEWBERRY	186%	29%	57%	0%	14%	0%	0%	7
OCONEE	77%	14%	5%	0%	14%	0%	0%	22
ORANGEBURG	30%	19%	19%	4%	19%	0%	0%	27
PICKENS	341%	109%	127%	0%	18%	0%	0%	22
RICHLAND	2%	1%	0%	1%	11%	0%	5%	152
SALUDA	1250%	375%	575%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4
SPARTANBURG	19%	4%	8%	1%	22%	3%	0%	119
SUMTER	10%	2%	8%	2%	19%	0%	0%	48
UNION	45%	18%	9%	0%	9%	0%	0%	11
WILLIAMSBURG	182%	27%	155%	0%	27%	0%	0%	11
YORK	43%	0%	0%	0%	13%	2%	0%	47
TRANSITIONAL	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
STATE TOTAL	49%	16%	21%	1%	13%	1%	1%	0
ACTIVE OFFENDERS	647	207	275	8	167	10	8	1,322
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.								

FIGURE 5
ACTIVE OTHER OFFENDERS BY LEVEL OF SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2020



**TABLE 5-D
OTHER CLOSURES BY TYPE**

COUNTY	SUCCESSFUL	SUCCESSFUL RATE	UNSUCCESSFUL	UNSUCCESSFUL RATE
ABBEVILLE	2	100%	0	0%
AIKEN	46	100%	0	0%
ALLENDALE	1	100%	0	0%
ANDERSON	52	100%	0	0%
BAMBERG	2	67%	1	33%
BARNWELL	5	83%	1	17%
BEAUFORT	17	89%	2	11%
BERKELEY	45	100%	0	0%
CALHOUN	3	60%	2	40%
CHARLESTON	117	98%	3	3%
CHEROKEE	22	100%	0	0%
CHESTER	11	79%	3	21%
CHESTERFIELD	9	100%	0	0%
CLARENDON	8	100%	0	0%
COLLETON	4	80%	1	20%
DARLINGTON	17	85%	3	15%
DILLON	13	100%	0	0%
DORCHESTER	25	96%	1	4%
EDGEFIELD	3	75%	1	25%
FAIRFIELD	8	89%	1	11%
FLORENCE	68	99%	1	1%
GEORGETOWN	14	93%	1	7%
GREENVILLE	131	97%	4	3%
GREENWOOD	24	96%	1	4%
HAMPTON	3	100%	0	0%
HORRY	69	96%	3	4%
JASPER	8	100%	0	0%
KERSHAW	12	100%	0	0%
LANCASTER	22	100%	0	0%
LAURENS	22	100%	0	0%
LEE	3	100%	0	0%
LEXINGTON	65	100%	0	0%
MCCORMICK	4	100%	0	0%
MARION	23	100%	0	0%
MARLBORO	9	100%	0	0%
NEWBERRY	5	100%	0	0%
OCONEE	10	100%	0	0%
ORANGEBURG	20	100%	0	0%
PICKENS	22	96%	1	4%
RICHLAND	138	95%	7	5%
SALUDA	6	100%	0	0%
SPARTANBURG	121	99%	1	1%
SUMTER	39	98%	1	3%
UNION	8	100%	0	0%
WILLIAMSBURG	13	100%	0	0%
YORK	60	100%	0	0%
TRANSITIONAL	67	1	0	0
STATE TOTAL	1,396	97%	39	3%

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

SECTION E

**SEX
OFFENDERS**

FISCAL YEAR 2020

SEX OFFENDERS

The Department is responsible for supervising those offenders sentenced to community supervision by the Court of General Sessions or released from incarceration on other supervision programs who have been convicted of sex offenses.

SCDPPPS utilizes the Sex Offender Management Program to supervise those sex offenders who are currently serving an active sentence for a sex offense. For those offenders currently under supervision for an offense that is not a sex offense but who are required to register as a sex offender for a previous offense, SCDPPPS provides general supervision according to the offender's risk assessment score. Table 1-E and Figure 6 compares the number of sex offenders supervised under general supervision (21%) with those in the Sex Offender Management Program (79%).

A male sex offender's level of supervision is determined by his score on the Static-99 risk assessment. The three levels of male sex offender (SO) supervision are SO-High, SO-Intensive, and SO-Containment. Female sex offenders are supervised at the SO-High level of supervision for the entirety of their supervision period.

SEX OFFENDER CONTACT STANDARDS


SO-HIGH	SO-INTENSIVE	SO-CONTAINMENT
1 Home Visit Progress Audit per month	1 Home Visit Progress Audit per month	1 Home Visit Progress Audit per month
1 Home Visits OR Field Visit per month	2 Home Visits OR Field Visit per month (either)	2 Home Visits per month
1 Employment Verification per Month	1 Employment Verification per Month	1 Employment Verification per Month
1 Treatment Provider Contact per Month	1 Treatment Provider Contact per Month	1 Additional Progress Audit (any type)
		1 Treatment Provider Contact per Month

**TABLE 1-E
ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION**

COUNTY	SEX OFFENDER MANAGEMENT SUPERVISION		GENERAL SUPERVISION		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS
ABBEVILLE	4	100%	0	0%	4
AIKEN	34	71%	14	29%	48
ALLENDALE	0	0%	3	100%	3
ANDERSON	35	69%	16	31%	51
BAMBERG	4	80%	1	20%	5
BARNWELL	4	57%	3	43%	7
BEAUFORT	4	80%	1	20%	5
BERKELEY	39	81%	9	19%	48
CALHOUN	6	100%	0	0%	6
CHARLESTON	51	70%	22	30%	73
CHEROKEE	14	61%	9	39%	23
CHESTER	6	100%	0	0%	6
CHESTERFIELD	7	100%	0	0%	7
CLARENDON	8	89%	1	11%	9
COLLETON	9	75%	3	25%	12
DARLINGTON	11	85%	2	15%	13
DILLON	6	100%	0	0%	6
DORCHESTER	33	92%	3	8%	36
EDGEFIELD	5	63%	3	38%	8
FAIRFIELD	7	100%	0	0%	7
FLORENCE	16	84%	3	16%	19
GEORGETOWN	10	100%	0	0%	10
GREENVILLE	79	77%	24	23%	103
GREENWOOD	5	45%	6	55%	11
HAMPTON	4	80%	1	20%	5
HORRY	70	95%	4	5%	74
JASPER	1	50%	1	50%	2
KERSHAW	14	82%	3	18%	17
LANCASTER	11	85%	2	15%	13
LAURENS	8	100%	0	0%	8
LEE	3	100%	0	0%	3
LEXINGTON	34	83%	7	17%	41
McCORMICK	2	100%	0	0%	2
MARION	2	100%	0	0%	2
MARLBORO	1	20%	4	80%	5
NEWBERRY	2	40%	3	60%	5
OCONEE	12	57%	9	43%	21
ORANGEBURG	16	100%	0	0%	16
PICKENS	19	66%	10	34%	29
RICHLAND	50	91%	5	9%	55
SALUDA	1	50%	1	50%	2
SPARTANBURG	74	76%	24	24%	98
SUMTER	26	84%	5	16%	31
UNION	6	86%	1	14%	7
WILLIAMSBURG	5	71%	2	29%	7
YORK	35	88%	5	13%	40
TRANSITIONAL	---	---	---	---	---
STATE TOTAL	793	79%	210	21%	1,003

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 6
ACTIVE SEX OFFENDERS UNDER SUPERVISION
JUNE 30, 2020



SECTION F

VIOLATIONS

FISCAL YEAR 2020

VIOLATIONS & CLOSURES

Offenders charged by their supervising Agents with violations of the conditions of supervision are reviewed through a violations matrix to determine the most appropriate response, while ensuring a consistent application of sanctions statewide.

Table 1-F provides data by county on the violation process. Statewide, 3,936 violation hearings were held during the fiscal year. At those hearings, 2,029 cases were continued or recommended for continuation, while 1,907 cases were revoked or recommended for revocation.

Table 2-F shows fees collected in FY 2020 as a result of the Administrative Hearing Process. Offenders pay restitution, supervision fees and fines just prior to their Administrative Hearing to avoid incarceration. During the year, \$100,267.87 was collected in delinquent restitution payments, \$123,314.61 in supervision fees and \$26,150.27 in court ordered fines and fees. The total to \$249,727.15 collected demonstrates the effectiveness of the administrative hearing process in bringing offenders who have the means to become compliant with their monetary obligations.

Table 3-F provides a comparison of changes in active population and the types of closure for FY 2016 to FY 2020. Of the 17,026 closures during FY 2020, 82% closed successfully. Majority (64%) of unsuccessful closures resulted in compliance revocations.

**TABLE 1-F
VIOLATIONS BY COUNTY**

COUNTY	Cases Heard	Cases Revoked or Recommended for Revocation Cases	Continued or Recommended for Continuation
ABBEVILLE	0	0	0
AIKEN	35	8	27
ALLENDALE	4	1	3
ANDERSON	203	78	125
BAMBERG	11	7	4
BARNWELL	17	5	12
BEAUFORT	11	8	3
BERKELEY	56	28	28
CALHOUN	6	5	1
CHARLESTON	342	209	133
CHEROKEE	235	99	136
CHESTER	57	33	24
CHESTERFIELD	4	2	2
CLARENDON	30	20	10
COLLETON	57	32	25
DARLINGTON	22	17	5
DILLON	12	4	8
DORCHESTER	153	80	73
EDGEFIELD	23	9	14
FAIRFIELD	17	9	8
FLORENCE	133	52	81
GEORGETOWN	10	7	3
GREENVILLE	538	215	323
GREENWOOD	82	48	34
HAMPTON	19	13	6
HORRY	107	64	43
JASPER	20	16	4
KERSHAW	53	31	22
LANCASTER	42	29	13
LAURENS	67	40	27
LEE	4	1	3
LEXINGTON	258	99	159
McCORMICK	6	1	5
MARION	7	3	4
MARLBORO	0	0	0
NEWBERRY	58	25	33
OCONEE	144	57	87
ORANGEBURG	65	20	45
PICKENS	94	37	57
RICHLAND	264	147	117
SALUDA	35	15	20
SPARTANBURG	363	206	157
SUMTER	55	30	25
UNION	69	30	39
WILLIAMSBURG	5	1	4
YORK	143	66	77
TRANSITIONAL			
STATE TOTAL	3,936	1,907	2,029

TABLE 2-F				
FEES COLLECTED THROUGH ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS PROCESS				
Month	Supervision Fee	Fine/Court Cost	Restitution	Total
July 2019	\$15,927.00	\$3,108.65	\$26,333.99	\$45,369.64
August	\$25,497.06	\$4,209.65	\$6,175.30	\$ 35,882.01
September	\$13,840.50	\$2,693.08	\$12,413.77	\$ 28,947.35
October	\$10,376.00	\$1,957.86	\$4,600.00	\$ 16,933.86
November	\$14,758.00	\$2,574.20	\$11,194.88	\$ 28,527.08
December	\$9,298.25	\$2,858.98	\$17,134.08	\$ 29,291.31
January 2020	\$10,859.20	\$503.75	\$7,166.21	\$ 18,529.16
February	\$9,585.60	\$3,809.25	\$5,190.33	\$ 18,585.18
March	\$8,153.00	\$2,834.25	\$4,203.31	\$ 15,190.56
April	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ -
May	\$610.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 610.00
June 2020	\$4,410.00	\$1,601.00	\$5,850.00	\$ 11,861.00
Total	\$ 123,314.61	\$ 26,150.67	\$ 100,261.87	\$ 249,727.15

CLOSURES BY TYPE

TABLE 3-F

FY 2020	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I ¹	JC-I ²	Rev-C ³	Rev-T ⁴	Ret-CD ⁶	Unsuccessful
Probation	21,935	11,701	12	2	376	1,889	591	2,870
Parole	2,693	871	6	0	25	118	0	149
Other Releases	1,322	1,396	24	0	0	15	0	39
Total	25,950	13,968	42	2	401	2,022	591	3,058
% Unsuccessful			1.3%	0.1%	12.6%	63.6%	18.6%	

FY 2019	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I ¹	JC-I ²	Rev-C ³	Rev-T ⁴	Ret-CD ⁶	Unsuccessful
Probation	24,506	11,792	10	1	427	1,983	511	2,932
Parole	2,804	836	5	0	21	159	0	185
Other Releases	1,372	1,490	27	0	1	33	0	61
Total	28,682	14,118	42	1	449	2,175	511	3,178
% Unsuccessful			1.3%	0.0%	14.1%	68.4%	16.1%	

FY 2018	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I ¹	JC-I ²	Rev-C ³	Rev-T ⁴	Ret-CD ⁶	Unsuccessful
Probation	25,039	11,871	13	3	423	1,783	599	2,821
Parole	2,637	675	6	0	28	101	0	135
Other Releases	1,495	1,609	33	0	3	42	0	78
Total	29,171	14,155	52	3	454	1,926	599	3,034
% Unsuccessful			1.7%	0.1%	15.0%	63.5%	19.7%	

FY 2017	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I ¹	JC-I ²	Rev-C ³	Rev-T ⁴	Ret-CD ⁶	Unsuccessful
Probation	25,776	10,783	13	2	478	2,207	480	3,180
Parole	2,271	511	5	0	18	96	0	119
Other Releases	1,597	1,571	36	0	2	46	0	84
Total	29,644	12,865	54	2	498	2,349	480	3,383
% Unsuccessful			1.6%	0.1%	14.7%	69.4%	14.2%	

FY 2016	Active							Total
	Population	Successful	Exp-I ¹	JC-I ²	Rev-C ³	Rev-T ⁴	Ret-CD ⁶	Unsuccessful
Probation	25,132	11,051	20	3	549	2,922	387	3,881
Parole	2,030	490	4	0	20	71	0	95
YOA	240	499	0	0	18	80	0	98
Other Releases	1,341	1,575	108	0	0	24	0	132
Total	28,743	13,615	132	3	587	3,097	387	4,206
% Unsuccessful			3.1%	0.1%	14.0%	73.6%	9.2%	

Footnotes:	
1 Exp-I - Expired Offender in Institution	4 Rev-T - Revoke, Technical Charges
2 JC-I - Judicial Closure in Institution	5 Rev TC - Revoke, Technical Charges & New Charges Pending
3 Rev-C - Revoke, New Conviction	6 Ret-CD Returned - Conditional Discharge
Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.	

SECTION G


**ELECTRONIC
SURVEILLANCE**

FISCAL YEAR 2020

Electronic Surveillance

The Department utilizes electronic surveillance to monitor certain offenders. On June 8, 2006, Jessie's Law, a bill aimed at protecting the state's children through tougher penalties for sexual predators was signed into law with an effective date of July 1, 2006. Named after Jessica Marie Lunsford -- who was murdered in 2005 by a registered sex offender in Florida -- the law imposes a mandatory minimum of 25 years in prison for sexual predators and mandates active Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) monitoring for sex offenders convicted of certain offenses. GPS can pinpoint within 15 meters a person's position on Earth using 24 satellites in orbit at 11,000 nautical miles above the Earth. The satellites are owned and operated by the U. S. Department of Defense and continuously transmit signals which can be detected by anyone possessing a GPS receiver. The use of Active-GPS enhances public safety and provides a more modern and efficient way to ensure accountability and enforce home detention and curfews for those offenders requiring a heightened supervision strategy. Of the 967 offenders on Active GPS on June 11, 2020, 724 (75%) offenders were on GPS under Jessie's Law.

FIGURE 7
OFFENDERS ON ACTIVE GPS
FISCAL YEAR 2020



SECTION H

SUMMARY

FISCAL YEAR
2020

SUMMARY

Figure 8 compares monthly DNA collections during FY 2020.

Table 1-H shows drug testing activity during FY 2020. This table represents the number of individual offenders tested (16,433), the number of individuals testing positive (7,679), the total number of positive tests (15,723) and the number of times offenders were tested (21,537).


Table 2-H summarizes the population characteristics of SCDPPPS offenders by supervision programs as well as offender involvement in drug testing.

Population Overview:

- The proportion of violent offenses among parole (21%) slightly increased while probation (5%) admissions slightly increased between FY 2019 and FY 2020.
- Overall, the most utilized level of supervision was standard (55%), followed by high (21%), medium (15%), domestic violence (4%), sex offender (3%), and intensive (1%) for all active cases. Less than 1% were supervised under mental health supervision.
- The overall success rate for closures was 82%, the same from the previous fiscal year. The overall success rate for parolees increased to 85%. Probationers (80%) had slightly less successful closures rates than parolees.
- Of the 16,433 offenders tested for drug use while under supervision, 7,679 or 47% tested positive for drugs.

Figure 9 compares the number of admissions for each fiscal year from 2001 to 2020.

FIGURE 8
MONTHLY DNA COLLECTIONS
FISCAL YEAR 2020



**TABLE 1-H
OFFENDER DRUG TESTING**

COUNTY	INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	TOTAL NUMBER OF POSITIVE TESTS	NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS WERE TESTED
ABBEVILLE	49	10	20%	18	52
AIKEN	352	179	51%	335	375
ALLENDALE	27	12	44%	22	28
ANDERSON	584	312	53%	721	652
BAMBERG	26	12	46%	23	27
BARNWELL	81	42	52%	78	104
BEAUFORT	417	149	36%	244	839
BERKELEY	373	133	36%	215	398
CALHOUN	87	36	41%	65	117
CHARLESTON	1190	580	49%	1079	1432
CHEROKEE	669	272	41%	584	929
CHESTER	159	74	47%	132	187
CHESTERFIELD	127	60	47%	156	193
CLARENDON	32	13	41%	24	36
COLLETON	128	58	45%	90	135
DARLINGTON	159	87	55%	190	172
DILLON	51	12	24%	15	52
DORCHESTER	405	190	47%	415	560
EDGEFIELD	149	94	63%	209	212
FAIRFIELD	82	46	56%	75	83
FLORENCE	544	227	42%	436	779
GEORGETOWN	90	47	52%	70	99
GREENVILLE	2053	914	45%	1968	2614
GREENWOOD	399	197	49%	385	516
HAMPTON	38	14	37%	16	41
HORRY	815	260	32%	476	1021
JASPER	134	66	49%	95	168
KERSHAW	207	115	56%	261	277
LANCASTER	292	179	61%	447	392
LAURENS	397	225	57%	546	614
LEE	44	23	52%	36	51
LEXINGTON	843	451	53%	1183	1317
MCCORMICK	26	3	12%	4	28
MARION	88	43	49%	52	90
MARLBORO	59	41	69%	61	63
NEWBERRY	138	72	52%	142	165
OCONEE	392	234	60%	598	483
ORANGEBURG	466	224	48%	365	600
PICKENS	489	238	49%	605	593
RICHLAND	1060	491	46%	858	1463
SALUDA	59	29	49%	65	78
SPARTANBURG	1258	546	43%	1124	1693
SUMTER	465	223	48%	395	563
UNION	206	109	53%	247	315
WILLIAMSBURG	106	46	43%	76	136
YORK	612	289	47%	519	789
CENTRAL	6	2	33%	3	6
					0
STATE TOTAL	16,433	7,679	47%	15,723	21,537

**TABLE 2-H
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS**

ADMISSIONS								
CATEGORY	Probation		Parole		Other		Total	
	FY 19	FY 20	FY 19	FY 20	FY 19	FY 20	FY 19	FY 20
<u>RACE:</u>								
BLACK	43%	43%	51%	48%	63%	63%	45%	45%
WHITE	55%	55%	48%	51%	34%	34%	53%	53%
OTHER	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%
<u>GENDER:</u>								
MALE	76%	77%	86%	85%	93%	92%	78%	79%
FEMALE	24%	23%	14%	15%	7%	8%	22%	21%
<u>OFFENSE TYPE:</u>								
VIOLENT	4%	5%	15%	21%	60%	73%	9%	12%
NON-VIOLENT	96%	95%	85%	79%	40%	27%	91%	88%

ACTIVES								
LEVEL OF SUPERVISION:	Probation		Parole		Other		Total	
	FY 19	FY 20	FY 19	FY 20	FY 19	FY 20	FY 19	FY 20
STANDARD	57%	55%	61%	62%	51%	49%	57%	55%
MEDIUM	13%	15%	14%	14%	13%	16%	13%	15%
HIGH	23%	22%	19%	19%	22%	21%	22%	21%
INTENSIVE	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
SEX OFFENDER	2%	2%	4%	4%	11%	13%	3%	3%
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	5%	5%	0%	0%	1%	1%	4%	4%
MENTAL HEALTH	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%


CLOSURES								
CASE OUTCOME:	Probation		Parole		Other		Total	
	FY 19	FY 20	FY 19	FY 20	FY 19	FY 20	FY 19	FY 20
SUCCESSFUL	80%	80%	82%	85%	96%	97%	82%	82%
UNSUCCESSFUL	20%	20%	18%	15%	4%	3%	18%	18%

DRUG TESTING		
	FY 19	FY 20
INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTED	20,412	16,433
INDIVIDUALS TESTING POSITIVE	9,702	7,679
PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS TESTING POSITIVE	47.53%	46.73%
TOTAL POSITIVE TESTS	20,222	15,723
NUMBER OF TIMES OFFENDERS TESTED	28,034	21,537

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 9

ADMISSIONS: A 20-YEAR COMPARISON



1st Printing/SCDPPPS
15 copies of this document were published: \$5.07 per copy/\$76.05 total.